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#### Abstract

The derivation constant $K(A) \geq \frac{1}{2}$ has been extensively studied for unital noncommutative $C^{*}$-algebras. In this paper, we investigate properties of $K(M(A))$ where $M(A)$ is the multiplier algebra of a non-unital $C^{*}$-algebra $A$. A number of general results are obtained which are then applied to the group $C^{*}$-algebras $A=C^{*}\left(G_{N}\right)$ where $G_{N}$ is the motion group $\mathbb{R}^{N} \rtimes S O(N)$. Utilising the rich topological structure of the unitary dual $\widehat{G_{N}}$, it is shown that, for $N \geq 3$, $$
K\left(M\left(C^{*}\left(G_{N}\right)\right)\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left\lceil\frac{N}{2}\right\rceil .
$$
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## 1. Introduction.

For a $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebra $A$, an elementary application of the triangle inequality shows that

$$
\|D(a, A)\| \leq 2 d(a, Z(A))
$$

for all $a \in A$, where $D(a, A)$ is the inner derivation generated by $a$ and $d(a, Z(A))$ is the distance from $a$ to $Z(A)$, the centre of $A$. This leads naturally to the definition of $K(A)$ as the smallest number in $[0, \infty]$ such that

$$
K(A)\|D(a, A)\| \geq d(a, Z(A))
$$
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for all $a \in A[3,28]$. If the elements $a$ are restricted to be self-adjoint then the corresponding constant is denoted by $K_{s}(A)$. If $A=B(H)$ (or, more generally, a non-commutative von Neumann algebra on a Hilbert space $H \neq \mathbb{C})$ then $K(A)=\frac{1}{2}$ [37, 38]. For unital noncommutative $C^{*}$-algebras, $K_{s}(A)=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Orc}(A)$ [35], where the connecting order $\operatorname{Orc}(A) \in$ $\mathbb{N} \cup\{\infty\}$ is determined by a graph structure in the primitive ideal space $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$ (see Section 2), and for the constant $K(A)$ it has been shown that the only possible positive values less than or equal to $\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ are:

$$
\frac{1}{2}, \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \quad 1, \quad \frac{3+8 \sqrt{2}}{14}, \quad \frac{4}{\sqrt{15}}, \quad \frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}
$$

$[36,10,11]$. These results use the fine structure of the topology on $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$ together with spectral constructions and the constrained optimization of the bounding radii of planar sets.

If $A$ is a non-unital $C^{*}$-algebra then, as discussed in [6], the multiplier algebra $M(A)$ is the natural unitization to consider in the context of inner derivations. For example, it is well-known that if $A$ is a primitive $C^{*}$-algebra then so is $M(A)$ (cf. [6, Example 5.5]) and so $K(M(A))=\frac{1}{2}$ [37, Theorem 5]. In particular, $K(M(A))=\frac{1}{2}$ for every simple $C^{*}$-algebra $A$.

In general, in order to apply to $M(A)$ the results for unital algebras, there is a prima facie requirement for more detailed information on $\operatorname{Prim}(M(A))$. However, this space is usually much larger and more complicated than the dense open $\operatorname{subset} \operatorname{Prim}(A)$. This is illustrated by the complexity of the Stone-Čech compactification $\beta \mathbb{N}$ of the natural numbers $\mathbb{N}$ and also by the results in [13], which apply to the motion group $C^{*}$-algebras considered in this paper (see the remarks after Theorem 3.3). However, when $A$ is $\sigma$-unital, the normality of the complete regularization of $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$ enables ideal structure in $M(A)$ to be linked to ideal structure in $A$ without having full knowledge of $\operatorname{Prim}(M(A))$ (Proposition 2.1). It follows from this that, in several cases of interest, the value of $K(M(A))$ is determined by the ideal structure in $A$ itself and hence by the topological properties of the $T_{0}$-space $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$ [20, 3.1]. This allows the possibility of computing $K(M(A))$ for $A=C^{*}(G)$ in cases where $G$ is a locally compact group whose unitary dual $\widehat{G}$ is well-understood as a topological space. In [6], we obtained two general $C^{*}$-theoretic results for $K(M(A))$ which enabled us to show that

$$
K\left(M\left(C^{*}(G)\right)\right)=K_{s}\left(M\left(C^{*}(G)\right)\right)=1
$$

for a number of well-known locally compact groups $G$, including $S L(2, \mathbb{R}), S L(2, \mathbb{C})$ and the classical motion group of the plane $G_{2}=\mathbb{R}^{2} \rtimes S O(2)$.

In this paper, we focus on $C^{*}\left(G_{N}\right)$ where $G_{N}$ is the motion group $\mathbb{R}^{N} \rtimes S O(N)(N \geq 3)$. Since $G_{N}$ is a Type I group, $\operatorname{Prim}\left(C^{*}\left(G_{N}\right)\right)$ is homeomorphic to the unitary dual $\widehat{G_{N}}$, which is known to have a rich topological structure [15, 29, 22]. Indeed, the $C^{*}$-algebra $C^{*}\left(G_{N}\right)$ has recently been identified, via the Fourier transform, with an explicit algebra of operator fields over $\widehat{G_{N}}[1]$. We use the topological structure of $\widehat{G_{N}}$ in showing that, for $N \geq 3$,

$$
K\left(M\left(C^{*}\left(G_{N}\right)\right)\right)=K_{s}\left(M\left(C^{*}\left(G_{N}\right)\right)\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left\lceil\frac{N}{2}\right\rceil .
$$

Somewhat surprisingly, this formula is not valid for the case $N=2$, despite the fact that $C^{*}\left(G_{2}\right)$ is the most well-behaved of the motion group $C^{*}$-algebras (by [29] it is the only one which is quasi-standard in the sense of [8]). In contrast to the results above, note that it
follows from [6, Proposition 2.1] that $K\left(C^{*}\left(G_{N}\right)\right)=K_{s}\left(C^{*}\left(G_{N}\right)\right)=1$ for all $N \geq 2$. Thus $K(M(A))$ gives much more information than $K(A)$ for the algebras $A=C^{*}\left(G_{N}\right)$.

In the course of determining the values for $K\left(M\left(C^{*}\left(G_{N}\right)\right)\right.$ ), we obtain in Sections 4, 5 and 7 several new results for general $C^{*}$-algebras $A$, sometimes under the assumption that $A$ is $\sigma$-unital and that the complete regularization map on $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$ is closed. For example, in Theorem 4.6 we give sufficient conditions (which are satisfied in the case $A=C^{*}\left(G_{N}\right)$ ) for the inequality

$$
\operatorname{Orc}(A) \leq \operatorname{Orc}(M(A))
$$

Section 5 gives some general upper bounds for $K(M(A))$, including a new result for unital $C^{*}$ algebras (Theorem 5.2). The inequality $\operatorname{Orc}(A) \leq \operatorname{Orc}(M(A))$ from Section 4 then combines with the results from Section 5 to determine $K\left(M\left(C^{*}\left(G_{N}\right)\right)\right)$ in the case where $N$ is even (Section 6).

When $N$ is odd, it turns out that we need a sharper estimate for $\operatorname{Orc}\left(M\left(C^{*}\left(G_{N}\right)\right)\right)$. Accordingly, in Section 7, we introduce a new constant $D(A)$ arising from a graph structure on $\operatorname{Sub}(A)$, a subset of the set of primal ideals of a $C^{*}$-algebra $A$. This is closely linked to the way in which $\operatorname{Orc}(A)$ is obtained from the graph structure on $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$. Indeed, either $|\operatorname{Orc}(A)-D(A)| \in\{0,1\}$ or $\operatorname{Orc}(A)=D(A)=\infty$. In Theorem 7.6, we give sufficient conditions (which are satisfied by $A=C^{*}\left(G_{N}\right)$ ) for $N \geq 3$ ) for the equality

$$
\operatorname{Orc}(M(A))=D(A)+1
$$

This exemplifies our earlier contention that, when $A$ is $\sigma$-unital, ideal structure in $M(A)$ can be usefully related to ideal structure in $A$. This equality then combines with the results from Section 5 to determine $K\left(M\left(C^{*}\left(G_{N}\right)\right)\right.$ ) in the case where $N$ is odd (Section 8).

## 2. Preliminaries.

We begin by recalling some terminology from [35]. Let $X$ be a topological space. For $x, y \in X$ we write $x \sim y$ if $x$ and $y$ cannot be separated by disjoint open sets. The relation $\sim$ is reflexive and symmetric but it is not always transitive. We will view $X$ as a graph in which two points $x$ and $y$ are adjacent if and only if $x \sim y$. For $x, y \in X$ let $d(x, y)$ denote the distance from $x$ to $y$ in the graph $(X, \sim)$. If there is no walk from $x$ to $y$ we write $d(x, y)=\infty$. We define the diameter of a $\sim$-connected component of $X$ to be the supremum of the distances between pairs of points in the component, except that we adopt the non-standard convention that the diameter of a singleton component is 1 (rather than 0 ). Define $\operatorname{Orc}(X)$, the connecting order of $X$, to be the supremum of the diameters of $\sim-$ connected components of $X$. By virtue of our non-standard convention, $\operatorname{Orc}(X)=1$ when $X$ is a Hausdorff space. In the case when $X$ is the primitive ideal space of a $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebra $A$ we write $\operatorname{Orc}(A)$ instead of $\operatorname{Orc}(\operatorname{Prim}(A))$; and sometimes we write $d_{A}$, in place of $d$, for the distance function when we need to emphasize the algebra we are working in. If $\sim$ is an open equivalence relation on $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$ (that is, $\sim$ is an equivalence relation and the corresponding quotient map is open) then the $C^{*}$-algebra $A$ is said to be quasi-standard (see [8], where several equivalent conditions and examples are given). Note that if $A$ is quasi-standard then $\operatorname{Orc}(A)=1$.

It was shown in [35, Theorem 4.4] that, if $A$ is a unital $C^{*}$-algebra, $K_{s}(A)=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Orc}(A)$. It follows that if $A$ is any $C^{*}$-algebra then $K_{s}(M(A))=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Orc}(M(A))$ and so $\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Orc}(M(A)) \leq$ $K(M(A))$. It turns out that equality holds in the case where $A=C^{*}\left(G_{N}\right)(N \geq 3)$. We shall show this by establishing, for the four cases modulo 4 , that

$$
K(M(A)) \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\lceil\frac{N}{2}\right\rceil \quad \text { and also } \quad\left\lceil\frac{N}{2}\right\rceil \leq \operatorname{Orc}(M(A))
$$

We now recall some properties of the complete regularization of $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$ for a $C^{*}$-algebra $A$ (see [16] for further details). For $P, Q \in \operatorname{Prim}(A)$ let $P \approx Q$ if and only if $f(P)=f(Q)$ for all $f \in C^{b}(\operatorname{Prim}(A))$. Then $\approx$ is an equivalence relation on $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$ and the equivalence classes are closed subsets of $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$. It follows that there is a one-to-one correspondence between $\operatorname{Prim}(A) / \approx$ and a set of closed two-sided ideals of $A$ given by

$$
[P] \rightarrow \bigcap[P] \quad(P \in \operatorname{Prim}(A))
$$

where $\bigcap[P]$ is the intersection of the ideals in the equivalence class $[P]$ of $P$. The set of ideals obtained in this way is denoted by $\operatorname{Glimm}(A)$ and we identify this set with $\operatorname{Prim}(A) / \approx$ by the correspondence above. If $A$ is unital then $\operatorname{Glimm}(A)$ consists of the ideals of $A$ generated by the maximal ideals of the centre of $A$, as studied by Glimm [26]. The quotient map $\phi_{A}: \operatorname{Prim}(A) \rightarrow \operatorname{Glimm}(A)$ is called the complete regularization map. The standard topology on $\operatorname{Glimm}(A)$ is the topology $\tau_{c r}$, which is the weakest topology for which the functions on $\operatorname{Glimm}(A)$ induced by $C^{b}(\operatorname{Prim}(A))$ are all continuous. This topology is completely regular, Hausdorff, weaker than the quotient topology (and equal to it when $A$ is $\sigma$-unital [31, Theorem 2.6]) and hence makes $\phi_{A}$ continuous. The ideals in $\operatorname{Glimm}(A)$ are called Glimm ideals and the equivalence classes for $\approx \operatorname{in} \operatorname{Prim}(A)$ will sometimes be referred to as Glimm classes.

Note that if $P, Q \in \operatorname{Prim}(A), G \in \operatorname{Glimm}(A)$ and $P \supseteq G=\bigcap[Q]$ then, since $[Q]$ is closed, $P \in[Q]$ and so $\phi_{A}(P)=\phi_{A}(Q)=G$. It follows that, for $P \in \operatorname{Prim}(A)$ and $G \in \operatorname{Glimm}(A)$, $P \supseteq G$ if and only if $\phi_{A}(P)=G$. For $P, Q \in \operatorname{Prim}(A)$, it is clear that $P \sim Q$ implies that $P \approx Q$. The converse implication holds whenever $A$ is quasi-standard [8, Proposition 3.2]. In general, a Glimm class is said to be $\sim$-connected if it consists of a single $\sim$-component.

We recall that $A$ is said to be $\sigma$-unital if it contains a strictly positive element or, equivalently, a countable approximate unit $[34,3.10 .5]$. If $A$ is $\sigma$-unital with a strictly positive element $u$ then $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$ is the union of the compact sets $\{P \in \operatorname{Prim}(A):\|u+P\| \geq 1 / n\}$ $(n \geq 1)$. Since $\phi_{A}$ is continuous, $\operatorname{Glimm}(\mathrm{A})$ is $\sigma$-compact, hence Lindelöf, and therefore normal by (complete) regularity (see [25, 3D] or [33, Ch.2, Proposition 1.6]).

There is a homeomorphism $\iota$ from $\beta \operatorname{Glimm}(A)$ onto $\operatorname{Glimm}(M(A))$ such that $\iota\left(\phi_{A}(P)\right)=$ $\phi_{M(A)}(\tilde{P})$ where, for $P \in \operatorname{Prim}(A), \tilde{P}$ is the unique primitive ideal of $M(A)$ such that $\tilde{P} \cap A=P$ (see, for example, [2, p. 88] and [12, Proposition 4.7]). For $G \in \operatorname{Glimm}(A)$, we write $H_{G}=\iota(G)$. The next result [6, Proposition 3.2] is a technical step which is used to move from a general element of $\operatorname{Glimm}(M(A))$ to an element of the dense subset $\iota(\operatorname{Glimm}(A))$. We are grateful to the referee for pointing out that the proof in [6] applies to the case $n=1$ as well as to the case $n \geq 2$.
Proposition 2.1. Let $A$ be a $C^{*}$-algebra with $\operatorname{Glimm}(A)$ normal. Let $n \geq 1$, $H \in \operatorname{Glimm}(M(A))$ and $Q_{i} \in \operatorname{Prim}(M(A) / H)(1 \leq i \leq n)$. For $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $N_{i}$ be
an open neighbourhood of $Q_{i}$ in $\operatorname{Prim}(M(A))$. Then there exists $K \in \operatorname{Glimm}(A)$ and $Q_{i}^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Prim}\left(M(A) / H_{K}\right)$ such that $Q_{i}^{\prime} \in N_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n)$.

## 3. Topological properties of $\widehat{G_{N}}$.

In this section, we collect some facts concerning the fine structure of $\widehat{G_{N}}(N \geq 2)$. Recall that $G_{N}=\mathbb{R}^{N} \rtimes S O(N)$ where $S O(N)$ acts on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ by rotation. We embed $S O(N-1)$ into $S O(N)$ by $S O(N-1) \rightarrow \operatorname{diag}(1, S O(N-1))$. Thus $S O(N-1)$ is the stability group of characters $\chi_{t}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ corresponding to vectors $(t, 0, \ldots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, t \neq 0$. For $t>0$ and $\left.\sigma \in S O(N-1)^{\wedge}\right\}$, let $\pi_{t, \sigma}=\operatorname{ind}_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \rtimes S O(N-1)}^{G_{N}} \chi_{t} \times \sigma$, the irreducible representation of $G_{N}$ induced by the representation $\chi_{t} \times \sigma$ of $\mathbb{R}^{N} \rtimes S O(N-1)$. Then

$$
\widehat{G_{N}}=S O(N)^{\wedge} \cup\left\{\pi_{t, \sigma}: t>0, \sigma \in S O(N-1)^{\wedge}\right\}
$$

where $S O(N)^{\wedge}$ is considered as a subset of $\widehat{G_{N}}$ since $G_{N} / \mathbb{R}^{N}=S O(N)$.
The topology on $\widehat{G_{N}}$ is described in [15] (see also [29], [22], [1]). Let

$$
\mathcal{U}_{N}:=\left\{\pi_{t, \sigma}: t>0, \sigma \in S O(N-1)^{\wedge}\right\}=\widehat{G_{N}} \backslash S O(N)^{\wedge} .
$$

The relative topology on $\mathcal{U}_{N}$ is the topology induced from the product topology on $(0, \infty) \times$ $S O(N-1)^{\wedge}$ and of course the relative topology on the closed subset $S O(N)^{\wedge}$ is discrete. Furthermore, a sequence $\left(\pi_{t_{n}, \sigma_{n}}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ in $\mathcal{U}_{N}$ is convergent to some $\pi \in S O(N)^{\wedge}$ if and only if $t_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and eventually $\sigma_{n}$ is contained in $\left.\pi\right|_{S O(N-1)}$ (see, for example, [22, Theorem 3.4]).

If $\pi_{t, \sigma}$ belongs to the Hausdorff space $\mathcal{U}_{N}$ then $B:=\left\{\pi_{s, \sigma}: s \geq t / 2\right\}$ is a neighbourhood of $\pi_{t, \sigma}$ and is closed in $\widehat{G_{N}}$. It follows that $\pi_{t, \sigma}$ is a separated point of $\widehat{G_{N}}$ and also that ker $\pi_{t, \sigma}$ is a Glimm ideal of $C^{*}\left(G_{N}\right)$ (cf. [17, Proposition 7] and [29, Proposition 4.9]). In summary, $\widehat{G_{N}}$ consists of the closed subset $S O(N)^{\wedge}$ which is relatively discrete, together with a dense open subset of separated points which is the disjoint union of a countably infinite collection of open half-lines.

Bearing in mind that $S O(2)^{\wedge}=\widehat{\mathbb{T}}=\mathbb{Z}$, we next recall the representation theory of the groups $S O(N)$ for $N \geq 3[32]$ (see also [1, 22, 30]). Let $k=\left\lfloor\frac{N}{2}\right\rfloor$. The irreducible representations of $S O(N)$ are parametrized by signatures $\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{k}$, where

$$
\begin{gathered}
m_{1} \geq m_{2} \geq \ldots \geq m_{k-1} \geq\left|m_{k}\right| \text { if } N=2 k \\
\text { and } m_{1} \geq m_{2} \geq \ldots \geq m_{k} \geq 0 \text { if } N=2 k+1 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Moreover, if $N=2 k$, then

$$
\left.\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}\right)\right|_{S O(N-1)}=\sum_{m_{i} \geq q_{i} \geq\left|m_{i+1}\right|(1 \leq i \leq k-1)}\left(q_{1}, \ldots, q_{k-1}\right),
$$

and, if $N=2 k+1$, then

$$
\left.\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}\right)\right|_{S O(N-1)}=\sum_{m_{i} \geq p_{i} \geq m_{i+1}}(1 \leq i \leq k-1), m_{k} \geq p_{k} \geq-m_{k} .
$$

Note that in both cases, the combinatorial condition shows that the number of summands on the right-hand side is finite. This is, of course, consistent with the fact that the representation on the left-hand side is finite dimensional.

Since $\widehat{G_{N}} \backslash S O(N)^{\wedge}$ consists of separated points of $\widehat{G_{N}}$, the next result gives a full description of the relation $\sim$ of inseparability on $\widehat{G_{N}}$.
Lemma 3.1. Let $N \geq 2$ and $\pi_{1}, \pi_{2} \in S O(N)^{\wedge} \subseteq \widehat{G_{N}}$. Then $\pi_{1} \sim \pi_{2}$ if and only if $\left.\pi_{1}\right|_{S O(N-1)}$ and $\left.\pi_{2}\right|_{S O(N-1)}$ have a common irreducible subrepresentation.
Proof. Suppose that $\left.\pi_{1}\right|_{S O(N-1)}$ and $\left.\pi_{2}\right|_{S O(N-1)}$ both contain some $\sigma \in S O(N-1)^{\wedge}$. Let $t_{n}=1 / n(n \geq 1)$. Then $\pi_{t_{n}, \sigma} \rightarrow \pi_{1}, \pi_{2}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and so $\pi_{1} \sim \pi_{2}$.

Conversely, suppose that $\pi_{1} \sim \pi_{2}$. Since $C^{*}\left(G_{N}\right)$ is separable and $\mathcal{U}_{N}$ is dense in $\widehat{G_{N}}$, it follows from [7, Lemma 1.2] that there is a sequence $\left(\pi_{t_{n}, \sigma_{n}}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ in $\mathcal{U}_{N}$ which is convergent to both $\pi_{1}$ and $\pi_{2}$. Then eventually $\sigma_{n}$ is contained in both $\left.\pi_{1}\right|_{S O(N-1)}$ and $\left.\pi_{2}\right|_{S O(N-1)}$.

We can now determine $\operatorname{Orc}(A)$ in the case where $A=C^{*}\left(G_{N}\right)$. Since $C^{*}\left(G_{2}\right)$ is quasistandard, $\operatorname{Orc}\left(C^{*}\left(G_{2}\right)\right)=1$.

Proposition 3.2. Let $G_{N}$ be a motion group $(N \geq 3)$ and suppose that $k=\lfloor N / 2\rfloor$.
(i) $d\left(\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}\right),\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)\right) \leq k$ for $\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}\right),\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right) \in \widehat{S O(N)}$;
(ii) $d((1, \ldots, 1),(0, \ldots, 0)) \geq k$ for $(0, \ldots, 0),(1, \ldots, 1) \in S O(N)^{\wedge}$.

Proof. (i) For $1 \leq j \leq k$, let $s_{j}=\max \left\{m_{j}, n_{j}\right\}$. Suppose first of all that $N$ is even, so that $N=2 k$. Since $m_{k-1} \geq\left|m_{k}\right|$,

$$
\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}\right) \sim\left(s_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{k-1}, 0\right)
$$

because the restrictions to $S O(N-1)$ contain $\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k-1}\right)$. Similarly,

$$
\left(s_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{k-1}, 0\right) \sim\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, m_{3} \ldots, m_{k-2}, 0,0\right)
$$

because the restrictions to $S O(N-1)$ contain $\left(s_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{k-2}, 0\right)$.
Suppose that $k$ is even, say $k=2 r$ where $r \geq 1$. Then, proceeding as above, we obtain an $r$-step $\sim$-walk in $\widehat{G_{N}}$ from $\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}\right)$ to $\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)$ and so $d\left(\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}\right),\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)\right) \leq 2 r=k$.

Now suppose that $k$ is odd, say $k=2 r+1$ where $r \geq 1$. In this case, we obtain an $r$-step $\sim$-walk from $\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}\right)$ to $\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}, m_{r+1}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)$ and an $r$-step $\sim$-walk from $\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)$ to $\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}, n_{r+1}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)$. But

$$
\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}, m_{r+1}, 0, \ldots, 0\right) \sim\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}, n_{r+1}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)
$$

because the restrictions to $S O(N-1)$ contain $\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)$. Hence

$$
d\left(\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}\right),\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)\right) \leq 2 r+1=k .
$$

We now turn to the case where $N$ is odd, so that $N=2 k+1$. If $k=1,\left(m_{1}\right) \sim\left(n_{1}\right)$ in $\widehat{G_{3}}$ because the restrictions to $S O(2)$ contain the trivial representation (0) of $S O(2)$. So we now suppose $k \geq 2$. Since $0 \geq-m_{k}$,

$$
\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}\right) \sim\left(s_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{k-1}, 0\right)
$$

because the restrictions to $S O(N-1)$ contain $\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k-1}, 0\right)$. Similarly,

$$
\left(s_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{k-1}, 0\right) \sim\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, m_{3} \ldots, m_{k-2}, 0,0\right)
$$

because the restrictions to $S O(N-1)$ contain $\left(s_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{k-2}, 0,0\right)$. Thus if $k=2 r$, we obtain an $r$-step $\sim$-walk in $\widehat{G_{N}}$ from $\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}\right)$ to $\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)$ and similarly from $\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)$ to $\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)$. Hence $d\left(\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}\right),\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)\right) \leq 2 r=k$.

If $k=2 r+1$ (with $r \geq 1$ ), we obtain an $r$-step $\sim$-walk from $\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}\right)$ to $\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}, m_{r+1}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)$ and an $r$-step $\sim$-walk from $\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)$ to $\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}, n_{k+1}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)$. But

$$
\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}, m_{r+1}, 0, \ldots, 0\right) \sim\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}, n_{r+1}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)
$$

because the restrictions to $S O(N-1)$ contain $\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)$. Hence

$$
d\left(\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}\right),\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{k}\right)\right) \leq 2 r+1=k
$$

(ii) We consider first the case $N=2 k$ (so that $k \geq 2$ ). Suppose that $0 \leq i \leq k-2$, $\pi=\left(M_{1}, \ldots, M_{k}\right) \in S O(N)^{\wedge}, \pi^{\prime}=\left(M_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, M_{k}^{\prime}\right) \in S O(N)^{\wedge}, \pi \sim \pi^{\prime}$ in $\widehat{G_{N}}$ and $M_{j}=0$ for $i<j \leq k$. By Lemma 3.1, there exists $\sigma=\left(q_{1}, \ldots, q_{k-1}\right) \in S O(N-1)^{\wedge}$ such that

$$
M_{1} \geq q_{1} \geq M_{2} \geq \ldots \geq M_{i} \geq q_{i} \geq 0 \geq q_{i+1}
$$

and

$$
M_{1}^{\prime} \geq q_{1} \geq \ldots \geq M_{i+1}^{\prime} \geq q_{i+1} \geq \ldots \geq\left|M_{k}^{\prime}\right|
$$

Thus $q_{i+1}=\ldots=q_{k-1}=0$ and so $M_{i+2}^{\prime}=\ldots=M_{k}^{\prime}=0$. Applying this with $i=$ $0,1, \ldots, k-2$ in turn, we obtain that

$$
d((0, \ldots, 0),(1, \ldots, 1)) \geq k
$$

Now suppose that $N=2 k+1$. If $k=1$, then $(0) \sim(1)$ in $\widehat{G_{3}}$ because the restrictions to $S O(2)$ contain the trivial representation (0) of $S O(2)$. So we now assume $k \geq 2$. Suppose that $0 \leq i \leq k-2, \pi=\left(M_{1}, \ldots, M_{k}\right) \in S O(N)^{\wedge}, \pi^{\prime}=\left(M_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, M_{k}^{\prime}\right) \in S O(N)^{\wedge}, \pi \sim \pi^{\prime}$ in $\widehat{G_{N}}$ and $M_{j}=0$ for $i<j \leq k$. By Lemma 3.1, there exists $\sigma=\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k}\right) \in S O(N-1)^{\wedge}$ such that

$$
M_{1} \geq p_{1} \geq M_{2} \geq \ldots \geq M_{i} \geq p_{i} \geq 0 \geq p_{i+1} \geq \ldots \geq M_{k} \geq p_{k} \geq-M_{k}
$$

and

$$
M_{1}^{\prime} \geq p_{1} \geq \ldots \geq M_{i+1}^{\prime} \geq p_{i+1} \geq \ldots \geq M_{k}^{\prime} \geq p_{k} \geq-M_{k}
$$

Thus $p_{i+1}=\ldots=p_{k}=0$ and so $M_{i+2}^{\prime}=\ldots=M_{k}^{\prime}=0$. It follows that

$$
d((0, \ldots, 0),(1, \ldots, 1)) \geq k
$$

as required.
Part (ii) of the next result is contained in [29, Proposition 4.9].
Theorem 3.3. Let $G_{N}$ be a motion group with $N \geq 3$ and set $A=C^{*}\left(G_{N}\right)$.
(i) $S O(N)^{\wedge}$ is a $\sim$-connected subset of $\widehat{G_{N}}$ and $\operatorname{Orc}(A)=\lfloor N / 2\rfloor$.
(ii) $\operatorname{Glimm}(A)$ consists of the ideals $\operatorname{ker} \pi_{t, \sigma}\left(t>0, \sigma \in S O(N-1)^{\wedge}\right)$ and the ideal

$$
I_{0}:=\bigcap\left\{\operatorname{ker} \pi: \pi \in S O(N)^{\wedge}\right\}
$$

(iii) The complete regularization map $\phi_{A}: \operatorname{Prim}(A) \rightarrow \operatorname{Glimm}(A)$ is closed.

Proof. (i) This follows from parts (i) and (ii) of Proposition 3.2.
(ii) Let $\pi \in S O(N)^{\wedge}$. As noted earlier, each ideal ker $\pi_{t, \sigma}$ is a Glimm ideal and, in particular, $\operatorname{ker} \pi_{t, \sigma} \not \approx \operatorname{ker} \pi$. On the other hand, since $S O(N)^{\wedge}$ is $\sim$-connected, $\operatorname{ker} \pi^{\prime} \approx \operatorname{ker} \pi$ for all $\pi^{\prime} \in S O(N)^{\wedge}$. Thus $I_{0}$ is the only other Glimm ideal.
(iii) Let C be a closed subset of $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$. Then $\phi_{A}^{-1}\left(\phi_{A}(C)\right)$ is either $C$ or the union of $C$ with the closed set $\left\{\operatorname{ker} \pi: \pi \in S O(N)^{\wedge}\right\}$, depending on whether or not $C$ is disjoint from the latter set. Thus $\phi_{A}$ is closed with respect to the quotient topology $\tau_{q}$ on $\operatorname{Glimm}(A)$. Since $G_{N}$ is second countable, $A$ is separable and so $\tau_{q}$ coincides with $\tau_{c r}$ on $\operatorname{Glimm}(A)$ [31, Theorem 2.6] (see also [29, Proposition 4.9]).

We remark here that it can be easily seen that the Glimm ideal $I_{0}$ has no compact neighbourhood in the space $\operatorname{Glimm}(A)$. Thus, even though $C^{*}\left(G_{N}\right)$ is separable, $\operatorname{Glimm}(A)$ is neither locally compact nor first countable [31, Theorem 3.3]. In particular, $C^{*}\left(G_{N}\right)$ is not a $\mathcal{C} \mathcal{R}$-algebra in the sense of [21]. Moreover, it follows from Theorem 3.3(ii) that $A / G$ is non-unital for all $G \in \operatorname{Glimm}(A)$ and so the results of [13] show that there is substantial complexity in the ideal structure of $M(A)$. In particular there is an injective map from the lattice of $z$-filters on $\operatorname{Glimm}(A)$ to the lattice of closed ideals of $M(A)$ [13, Theorem 3.2] and each Glimm class of $\operatorname{Prim}(M(A))$ which meets the canonical image of $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$ contains at least $2^{c}$ maximal ideals of $M(A)$ [13, Theorem 5.3].

## 4. A lower bound for $\operatorname{Orc}(M(A))$.

In this section we establish a lower bound for $\operatorname{Orc}(M(A))$, showing that $\operatorname{Orc}(M(A)) \geq$ $\operatorname{Orc}(A)$ under fairly general conditions (Theorem 4.6). It follows from this that when $A=$ $C^{*}\left(G_{N}\right)$, where $G_{N}$ is a motion group, then $\left.\operatorname{Orc}(M(A))\right) \geq\lfloor N / 2\rfloor$ (Corollary 4.7).

For subsets $Y$ and $Z$ of a topological space $X$, let

$$
d(Y, Z)=\inf \{d(y, z): y \in Y, z \in Z\}
$$

where $d(y, z)$ is as defined at the start of Section 2. For $n \geq 0$, let

$$
Y^{n}=\{x \in X: d(\{x\}, Y) \leq n\}
$$

and $Y^{\infty}=\{x \in X: d(\{x\}, Y)<\infty\}$. Note that $Y^{0}=Y$, and that $Y^{\infty}=Y^{\operatorname{Orc}(A)}$ if $\operatorname{Orc}(A)<\infty$.

We shall be interested in $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebras $A$ which have the property that $X^{1}$ is closed in $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$ whenever $X$ is a closed subset of $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$. An elementary compactness argument shows that this property holds when $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$ is compact, see [35, Corollary 2.3], and hence holds whenever $A$ is unital or is the stabilization of a unital $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebra. It also often holds when $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$ is non-compact.

Lemma 4.1. Let $A$ be a $C^{*}$-algebra and suppose that there is a closed, relatively discrete subset $Y$ of $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$ such that $Y$ contains all non-singleton $\sim$-components of $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$. Then $X^{1}$ is closed whenever $X$ is a closed subset of $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$.
Proof. Let $X$ be a closed subset of $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$. Then $X^{1}=X \cup(X \cap Y)^{1}$ since $Y$ contains all the non-singleton $\sim$-components of $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$. But $(X \cap Y)^{1}$ is contained in $Y$ and hence is closed in $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$, because every subset of $Y$ is closed in $Y$ and therefore in $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$. Thus $X^{1}$ is the union of two closed sets.

When $A=C^{*}\left(G_{N}\right)$, with $G_{N}$ a motion group, we may take $Y$ to be the closed, relatively discrete set $\widehat{S O(N)}$. Then Lemma 4.1 implies that $X^{1}$ is closed whenever $X$ is a closed subset of $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$.

If $Y$ and $Z$ are compact subsets of a topological space $X$ such that $d(Y, Z) \geq 2$ then a routine compactness argument shows that there exist disjoint open subsets $U$ and $V$ of $X$ with $Y \subseteq U$ and $Z \subseteq V$ [35, Lemma 2.2]. The next result extends this argument. We say that a topological space is locally compact if every point has a neighbourhood base of compact sets.

Lemma 4.2. [14, Lemma 4.1] Let $X$ be a locally compact space and let $Y$ and $Z$ be subsets of $X$ which are Lindelöf in the relative topology. Then the following are equivalent:
(i) the closure of $Y^{1}$ does not meet $Z$ and the closure of $Z^{1}$ does not meet $Y$;
(ii) there exist disjoint open subsets $U$ and $V$ of $X$ with $Y \subseteq U$ and $Z \subseteq V$.

We will apply Lemma 4.2 to $X=\operatorname{Prim}(A)$, where $A$ is a $\sigma$-unital $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebra. Then $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$ is $\sigma$-compact, and hence Lindelöf, so every closed subset of $X$ is Lindelöf. Every compact subset of $X$ is Lindelöf too, of course.

When $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$ is compact and $\operatorname{Orc}(A)<\infty$, it is automatic that every Glimm class is $\sim_{-}$ connected [35, Corollary 2.7]. When $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$ is non-compact, however, there may be Glimm classes made up of more than one $\sim$-component, even when $\operatorname{Orc}(A)<\infty$. Furthermore, the complete regularization map $\phi_{A}$, which is automatically closed when $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$ is compact, need not be closed in the general case.

The next result shows that these difficulties do not arise if $\operatorname{Orc}(A)<\infty$ and $X^{1}$ is closed for every closed subset $X$ of $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$.
Proposition 4.3. Let $A$ be a $\sigma$-unital $C^{*}$-algebra. Then the following are equivalent:
(i) $X^{\infty}$ is closed whenever $X$ is a closed subset of $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$;
(ii) $\phi_{A}$ is a closed map and every Glimm class is $\sim$-connected.

In particular, if $\operatorname{Orc}(A)<\infty$ and $X^{1}$ is closed for every closed subset $X$ of $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$ then conditions (i) and (ii) both hold.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). For $P, Q \in \operatorname{Prim}(A)$ define $P \diamond Q$ if $d_{A}(P, Q)<\infty$. Then $\diamond$ is an equivalence relation on $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$ and, for $P, Q \in \operatorname{Prim}(A), P \diamond Q$ implies $P \approx Q$. Set $W=\operatorname{Prim}(A) / \diamond$ equipped with the quotient topology, and let $q: \operatorname{Prim}(A) \rightarrow W$ be the quotient map. If $X$ is a closed subset of $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$ then $q^{-1}(q(X))=X^{\infty}$, which is closed by (i). Hence $q$ is a closed map. If $P \in \operatorname{Prim}(A)$ and $Q \in \overline{\{P\}}$ then $Q \sim P$. Thus $\{q(P)\}=q(\overline{\{P\}})$, which is closed in $W$, and so $W$ is a $T_{1}$-space.

Let $Y$ and $Z$ be non-empty, disjoint closed subsets of $W$. Then $Y^{\prime}:=q^{-1}(Y)$ and $Z^{\prime}:=$ $q^{-1}(Z)$ are disjoint closed $\sim$-saturated subsets of $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$. Since $A$ is $\sigma$-unital, $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$ is $\sigma$-compact and hence Lindelöf. Thus $Y^{\prime}$ and $Z^{\prime}$ are Lindelöf, so Lemma 4.2 implies the existence of disjoint open sets $U$ and $V$ containing $Y^{\prime}$ and $Z^{\prime}$ respectively.

We now use a standard characterization (see [27, 7.2.14]): a quotient map $p: X \rightarrow D$ is closed if and only if whenever $d \in D$ and $G$ is an open set containing $p^{-1}(d)$ then there exists a saturated open set $H$ such that $p^{-1}(d) \subseteq H \subseteq G$ (where $H$ is saturated if $H=p^{-1}(p(H))$ ). Applying this characterization in the present case to each of the points of $Y$ and $Z$ relative to $U$ and $V$ we obtain $\diamond$-saturated open sets $U^{\prime}$ and $V^{\prime}$ such that $Y^{\prime} \subseteq U^{\prime} \subseteq U$ and $Z^{\prime} \subseteq V^{\prime} \subseteq V$.

Hence $q\left(U^{\prime}\right)$ and $q\left(V^{\prime}\right)$ are disjoint open sets of $W$ containing $Y$ and $Z$ respectively. Hence $W$ is normal.

Since $W$ is normal and $T_{1}$, any two distinct points in $W$ can be separated by a continuous real-valued function. It follows that $\diamond$ coincides with $\approx \operatorname{on} \operatorname{Prim}(A)$ so that $W=\operatorname{Glimm}(A)$ as sets and $q=\phi_{A}$. Thus each Glimm class is $\sim$-connected and $\phi_{A}$ is closed for the quotient topology on Glimm $(A)$. Since we have seen that the quotient topology is normal and $T_{1}$, hence completely regular, it coincides with $\tau_{c r}$ (see [31, Theorem 2.6] for a more general result).
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). (This does not need the $\sigma$-unital hypothesis). Let $X$ be a closed subset of $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$. Since $\phi_{A}$ is a closed and continuous map, $\phi_{A}^{-1}\left(\phi_{A}(X)\right)$ is closed. But since each Glimm class is $\sim$-connected, $\phi_{A}^{-1}\left(\phi_{A}(X)\right)=X^{\infty}$.

Finally, suppose that $\operatorname{Orc}(A)<\infty$ and that $X^{1}$ is closed for every closed subset $X$ of $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$. Then, for such $X$, the sets $X^{1},\left(X^{1}\right)^{1}, \ldots, X^{\operatorname{Orc}(A)}\left(=X^{\infty}\right)$ are all closed subsets of $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$.

For a topological space $X$, we now define a chain of length $n$ on $X$ to be a collection of $n$ closed subsets $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ with the following properties:
(i) $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}=X$;
(ii) $X_{i}$ and $X_{j}$ are disjoint if $|i-j|>1$;
(iii) if $n>1$ then the (open) sets $X_{1} \backslash X_{2}$ and $X_{n} \backslash X_{n-1}$ are non-empty.

A chain of length $n$ is said to be admissible if there exist $x \in X_{1} \backslash X_{2}$ and $y \in X_{n} \backslash X_{n-1}$ such that $d(x, y)<\infty$. Note that this further condition (in addition to (i) and (ii)) implies that $X_{i} \cap X_{i+1}$ is non-empty for $i=1, \ldots, n-1$, for otherwise $x$ and $y$ would belong to different clopen subsets of $X$. It was shown in [35, Lemma 2.1] that if $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ is a chain on $X$ of length $n>1$ and $x, y \in X$ with $x \in X_{1} \backslash X_{2}$ and $y \in X_{n} \backslash X_{n-1}$ then $d(x, y) \geq n$. Hence $\operatorname{Orc}(X)$ is greater than or equal to the length of any admissible chain on $X$.
Lemma 4.4. Let $A$ be a $\sigma$-unital $C^{*}$-algebra and suppose that $X^{1}$ is closed whenever $X$ is a closed subset of $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the class of subsets of $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$ which are either compact or closed. Suppose that $X, Y \in \mathcal{S}$ with $d(X, Y) \geq k \geq 2$ and with $X \cup Y$ contained in a single $\sim$-component. Then there is an admissible chain $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k}$ of closed subsets of $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$ with $X \subseteq X_{1} \backslash X_{2}$ and $Y \subseteq X_{k} \backslash X_{k-1}$.
Proof. We follow the method of [35, Lemma 2.4]. First note that if $X \in \mathcal{S}$ then $X^{1}$ is closed, either by assumption if $X$ is closed, or by [35, Corollary 2.3] if $X$ is compact. Thus the hypotheses imply that the sets $X^{n}$ and $Y^{n}$ are closed for all $n \geq 1$. Since $d\left(X, Y^{k-2}\right) \geq 2$, and $X$ and $Y^{k-2}$ are Lindelöf, it follows from Lemma 4.2 that there are disjoint open sets $U_{1} \supseteq X$ and $V_{1} \supseteq Y^{k-2}$. Set $X_{1}=\operatorname{Prim}(A) \backslash V_{1}$ and $Y_{2}=\operatorname{Prim}(A) \backslash U_{1}$ and note that $X_{1}$ and $Y_{2}$ are closed and that $X \subseteq X_{1} \backslash Y_{2}$ and $Y^{k-2} \subseteq Y_{2} \backslash X_{1}$. If $k=2$ then $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}=Y_{2}$ have the required properties.

Otherwise, if $k>2$ then $d\left(X_{1}, Y^{k-3}\right) \geq 2$ since $X_{1}$ is disjoint from $Y^{k-2}$, and $X_{1}$ and $Y^{k-3}$ are closed and hence Lindelöf. For $k>2$ we define inductively, for $i=2, \ldots, k-1$, $X_{i}=\left(\operatorname{Prim}(A) \backslash V_{i}\right) \cap Y_{i}$ and $Y_{i+1}=\operatorname{Prim}(A) \backslash U_{i}$, where $U_{i}$ and $V_{i}$ are disjoint open sets containing $X_{1} \cup \ldots \cup X_{i-1}$ and $Y^{k-(i+1)}$ respectively. Note that for $2 \leq i \leq k-2$, $d\left(\left(X_{1} \cup \ldots \cup X_{i}\right), Y^{k-(i+2)}\right) \geq 2$, and $X_{1} \cup \ldots \cup X_{i}$ and $Y^{k-(i+2)}$ are Lindelöf, so the induction can proceed. Finally set $X_{k}=Y_{k}$. Then $Y \subseteq X_{k} \backslash X_{k-1}$, and it is easy to check that $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k}$ is an admissible chain of length $k$.

The next lemma asserts a sort of 'normality' for $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$ when $A$ is a $\sigma$-unital $C^{*}$-algebra. Recall from Section 2 that, for $P \in \operatorname{Prim}(A), \tilde{P} \in \operatorname{Prim}(M(A))$ satisfies $\tilde{P} \cap A=P$. For $X \subseteq \operatorname{Prim}(A)$, let $\tilde{X}=\{\tilde{P}: P \in X\}$.

Lemma 4.5. [14, Lemma 2.1] Let $A$ be a $\sigma$-unital $C^{*}$-algebra and let $X$ and $Y$ be disjoint closed subsets of $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$. Then the closures of $\tilde{X}$ and $\tilde{Y}$ are disjoint in $\operatorname{Prim}(M(A))$.

Theorem 4.6. Let $A$ be a $\sigma$-unital $C^{*}$-algebra and suppose that $X^{1}$ is closed whenever $X$ is a closed subset of $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$. Then $\operatorname{Orc}(M(A)) \geq \operatorname{Orc}(A)$.
Proof. We may suppose that $\operatorname{Orc}(A) \geq 2$ for otherwise the result is trivial. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $2 \leq k \leq \operatorname{Orc}(A)$. Then there exist $P, Q \in \operatorname{Prim}(A)$ such that $k \leq d_{A}(P, Q)<\infty$. By Lemma 4.4, applied to the compact sets $\{P\}$ and $\{Q\}$, there is an admissible chain $X_{1}, \ldots X_{k}$ on $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$, of length $k$. For each $1 \leq i \leq k$, let $Y_{i}$ be the closure of $\tilde{X}_{i}=\left\{\tilde{R}: R \in X_{i}\right\}$ in $\operatorname{Prim}(M(A))$. It then follows, using Lemma 4.5 to check the preservation of disjointness, that $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{k}$ is a chain on $\operatorname{Prim}(M(A))$ of length $k$. Since $d_{M(A)}(\tilde{P}, \tilde{Q}) \leq d_{A}(P, Q)<\infty$, this chain is admissible. Hence $\operatorname{Orc}(M(A)) \geq k$ by [35, Lemma 2.1].
Corollary 4.7. Let $G_{N}$ be a motion group with $N \geq 3$ and set $A=C^{*}\left(G_{N}\right)$. Then $\operatorname{Orc}(M(A)) \geq\lfloor N / 2\rfloor$.

Proof. This follows from Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 4.6, and from Lemma 4.1 and the remark following.

## 5. UPPER BOUNDS FOR $K(M(A))$.

In this section, motivated by the motion groups $G_{N}$, we obtain some general $C^{*}$-theoretic results for the constants $K(A)$ and $K(M(A))$.

Let $\operatorname{Id}(A)$ be the set of all closed two-sided ideals of a $C^{*}$-algebra $A$. This is a compact Hausdorff space for the topology defined by Fell in [24, Section II]. We denote this topology by $\tau_{s}$ and we recall that a net $\left(J_{\alpha}\right)$ is $\tau_{s}$-convergent to $J$ in $\operatorname{Id}(A)$ if and only if $\left\|a+J_{\alpha}\right\| \rightarrow\|a+J\|$ for all $a \in A$ (see [24, Theorem 2.2]). A (closed two-sided) ideal $J$ of $A$ is said to be primal if whenever $n \geq 2$ and $J_{1}, J_{2}, \ldots, J_{n}$ are ideals of $A$ with product $J_{1} J_{2} \ldots J_{n}=\{0\}$ then at least one of the $J_{i}$ is contained in $J$. This concept arose in [5] where it was shown that a state of $A$ is a weak*-limit of factorial states if and only if the kernel of the associated GNS-representation is primal. It follows from [5, Proposition 3.2] that an ideal $J$ of $A$ is primal if and only if there exists a net in $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$ which is convergent to every point in some dense subset of $\operatorname{Prim}(A / J)$. The set of all primal ideals of $A$ is $\tau_{s}$-closed in $\operatorname{Id}(\mathrm{A})$ (see [4, p.531]). $\operatorname{Primal}^{\prime}(A)$ is the set of proper primal ideals of $A, \operatorname{Min}-\operatorname{Primal}(A)$ is the set of minimal primal ideals of $A$ and $\operatorname{Sub}(A)$ is the $\tau_{s}$-closure of $\operatorname{Min}-\operatorname{Primal}(A) \operatorname{in} \operatorname{Id}(\mathrm{A}) \backslash\{\mathrm{A}\}$ and is therefore contained in $\operatorname{Primal}^{\prime}(A)$ [9, p.84].

When $A$ is separable, $\left(\operatorname{Primal}^{\prime}(A), \tau_{s}\right)$ is metrizable [19, Lemme 2] and the set of separated points of $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$ is $\tau_{s}$-dense in $\operatorname{Min}-\operatorname{Primal}(A)$ [4, Corollary 4.6], so for $I \in \operatorname{Sub}(A)$ there is a sequence $\left(P_{n}\right)$ of separated points of $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$ such $P_{n} \rightarrow I\left(\tau_{s}\right)$. Hence $\operatorname{Prim}(A / I)$ is precisely the set of limits of $\left(P_{n}\right)$ in $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$ and every cluster point of $\left(P_{n}\right)$ is a limit (see [23,

Theorem 2.1] and [9, Lemma 1.4]). Conversely suppose that $\left(P_{n}\right)$ is a convergent sequence of separated points in $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$ and that every cluster point of $\left(P_{n}\right)$ is a limit. Let $X$ be the set of limits of $\left(P_{n}\right)$. Then $P_{n} \rightarrow I=\operatorname{ker} X\left(\tau_{s}\right)$ and so $I \in \operatorname{Sub}(A)$. Thus, given a separable $C^{*}$-algebra $A$ and a description of $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$ as a topological space, it is usually possible to identify $\operatorname{Sub}(A)$. If $A$ is quasi-standard then $\operatorname{Glimm}(A)=\operatorname{Min-Primal}(A)=\operatorname{Sub}(A)$ as sets and topological spaces [8, Theorem 3.3]. On the other hand, if $\operatorname{Glimm}(A)=\operatorname{Min-Primal}(A)$ (as sets) and if $A$ is not quasi-standard then $\operatorname{Sub}(A)$ strictly contains $\operatorname{Min-Primal}(A)[8$, Theorem $3.3((\mathrm{v}) \rightarrow(\mathrm{i}))]$. This phenomenon occurs for $C^{*}(S L(2, \mathbb{C}))$ [6, Example 4.1]. We shall determine $\operatorname{Sub}\left(C^{*}\left(G_{N}\right)\right)$ in Proposition 6.1.

The next result was obtained in [6, Theorem 5.2]. It will later be applied to the cases $N \equiv 0$ and $N \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$.

Theorem 5.1. Let $A$ be a $C^{*}$-algebra with $\operatorname{Glimm}(A)$ normal and $\phi_{A}$ closed. Suppose that there exists $n \geq 0$ such that whenever $G \in \operatorname{Glimm}(A)$ and $I^{(i)} \in \operatorname{Sub}(A)(1 \leq i \leq 3)$ with $I^{(1)} \cap I^{(2)} \cap I^{(3)} \supseteq G$ then there exist $S^{(i)} \in \operatorname{Prim}(A)(1 \leq i \leq 3)$ with $I^{(i)} \subseteq S^{(i)}$ and $T \in \operatorname{Prim}(A)$ with $d_{A}\left(S^{(i)}, T\right) \leq n(1 \leq i \leq 3)$. Then $K(M(A)) \leq n+1$.

Our second general result on $K(M(A)$ ), Theorem 5.3 below, will subsequently be applied to the remaining cases $N \equiv 1$ and $N \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$. But first of all, we need a new result for unital $C^{*}$-algebras.

Theorem 5.2. Let $A$ be a unital $C^{*}$-algebra and let $n$ be a positive integer. Suppose that whenever $P, Q, R$ are primitive ideals of $A$ lying in the same Glimm class there exist $S, T, U \in$ $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$ such that $d_{A}(P, S) \leq n, d_{A}(Q, T) \leq n, d_{A}(R, U) \leq n$, and $S \cap T \cap U$ is primal. Then $K(A) \leq n+1 / 2$.

Proof. Let $a \in A$ with $\|D(a, A)\| \leq 1$. We want to show that $d(a, Z(A)) \leq n+1 / 2$. Let $K \in \operatorname{Glimm}(A)$. Then by [36, Theorem 2.3] it suffices to show that $\left\|a_{K}-\lambda\left(a_{K}\right)\right\| \leq n+1 / 2$, where $a_{K}$ is the canonical image of $a$ in $A / K$ and $\lambda\left(a_{K}\right)$ is the unique scalar multiple of the identity in $A / K$ which is closest to $a_{K}$. Set $b=a-\lambda\left(a_{K}\right)$. Then $\|D(b, A)\| \leq 1$ and $\lambda\left(b_{K}\right)=0$. We show that $\left\|b_{K}\right\| \leq n+1 / 2$.

Write $r=\left\|b_{K}\right\|$. Let $C$ be the circle of radius $r$ centred at the origin. Then there exist $x, y, z \in C \cap U\left(b_{K}, A / K\right)$ and extreme points $f^{\prime}, g^{\prime}, h^{\prime}$ of $N(A / K)$ such that $C$ is the bounding circle of $\{x, y, z\}$ and $f^{\prime}\left(b_{K}\right)=x, g^{\prime}\left(b_{K}\right)=y, h^{\prime}\left(b_{K}\right)=z$. Let $\epsilon>0$ be given. By Milman's Theorem there exist $f, g, h \in G(A / K) \subseteq G(A)$ with $f(1), g(1), h(1)>0$ such that $\left|f^{\prime}(b)-f(b)\right|<\epsilon,\left|g^{\prime}(b)-g(b)\right|<\epsilon$, and $\left|h^{\prime}(b)-h(b)\right|<\epsilon$. Set $P=\Gamma(f), Q=\Gamma(g)$, and $R=\Gamma(h)$.

Since $f, g, h \in G(A / K)$, it follows that $P, Q, R \supseteq K$. Hence there exist $S, T, U \in \operatorname{Prim}(A)$ such that $d_{A}(P, S) \leq n, d_{A}(Q, T) \leq n, d_{A}(R, U) \leq n$, and $S \cap T \cap U$ primal. Let $V=S \cap T \cap U$.

Since $d_{A}(P, S) \leq n$, there exist $P_{1}, \ldots P_{n} \in \operatorname{Prim}(A)$ such that $P \sim P_{1} \sim \ldots \sim P_{n}=S$. By [10, Proposition 1.3],

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|\lambda\left(b_{P_{n}}\right)-\lambda\left(b_{V}\right)\right| \leq 1 / 2,  \tag{1}\\
\left|\lambda\left(b_{P \cap P_{1}}\right)-\lambda\left(b_{P_{1}}\right)\right| \leq 1 / 2, \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

and, for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\lambda\left(b_{P_{i}}\right)-\lambda\left(b_{P_{i+1}}\right)\right| \leq\left|\lambda\left(b_{P_{i}}\right)-\lambda\left(b_{P_{i} \cap P_{i+1}}\right)\right|+\left|\lambda\left(b_{P_{i} \cap P_{i+1}}\right)-\lambda\left(b_{P_{i+1}}\right)\right| \leq 1 . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Writing $\mu=\lambda\left(b_{V}\right)$, we obtain from (1), (2), and (3) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\lambda\left(b_{P \cap P_{1}}\right)-\mu\right| \leq 1 / 2+(n-1)+1 / 2=n . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, since $P \cap P_{1}$ is primal, it follows from [36, Proposition 2.6] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|b_{P \cap P_{1}}-\lambda\left(b_{P \cap P_{1}}\right)\right\| \leq 1 / 2 . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $f$ factors through $A / P$ and hence through $A /\left(P \cap P_{1}\right)$, it follows from (4) and (5) that

$$
\begin{gathered}
|x-f(1) \mu| \leq|x-f(b)|+\left|f\left(b_{P \cap P_{1}}\right)-\lambda\left(b_{P \cap P_{1}}\right) f(1)\right|+f(1)\left|\lambda\left(b_{P \cap P_{1}}\right)-\mu\right| \\
<\epsilon+\|f\| / 2+f(1) n \leq n+1 / 2+\epsilon .
\end{gathered}
$$

Similarly $|y-g(1) \mu| \leq n+1 / 2+\epsilon$ and $|z-h(1) \mu| \leq n+1 / 2+\epsilon$.
If $\mu=0$ then we have that $\left\|b_{K}\right\|=|x| \leq n+1 / 2+\epsilon$. If $\mu \neq 0$ then we produce the line from $\mu$ to 0 to meet the circle $C$ at a point $E$, say. Let $F H$ be the diameter of $C$ perpendicular to $O E$. Then the semicircle $F E H$ meets $\{x, y, z\}$ in $x$, say. Hence $\left\|b_{K}\right\| \leq|x-f(1) \mu| \leq n+1 / 2+\epsilon$. So in either case $\left\|b_{K}\right\| \leq n+1 / 2+\epsilon$. Since $\epsilon$ was arbitrary, $\left\|b_{K}\right\| \leq n+1 / 2$ as required.
Theorem 5.3. Let $A$ be a $C^{*}$-algebra such that $\operatorname{Glimm}(A)$ is normal and $\phi_{A}$ is closed. Suppose that there exists $n \geq 0$ such that whenever $G \in \operatorname{Glimm}(A)$ and $I^{(i)} \in \operatorname{Sub}(A)$ $(1 \leq i \leq 3)$ with $I^{(1)} \cap I^{(2)} \cap I^{(3)} \supseteq G$ then there exist $S^{(i)}, T^{(i)} \in \operatorname{Prim}(A)(1 \leq i \leq 3)$ with $I^{(i)} \subseteq S^{(i)}, d_{A}\left(S^{(i)}, T^{(i)}\right) \leq n(1 \leq i \leq 3)$, and $T^{(1)} \cap T^{(2)} \cap T^{(3)}$ primal. Then $K(M(A)) \leq n+3 / 2$.
Proof. We show that $M(A)$ satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 5.2 with $n$ replaced by $n+1$. Suppose that $H \in \operatorname{Glimm}(M(A))$ and $Q^{(i)} \in \operatorname{Prim}(M(A) / H)(1 \leq i \leq 3)$. Let $\mathcal{L}$ (respectively $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}$ ) be a base of open neighbourhoods of $Q^{(1)}$ (respectively $Q^{(2)}, Q^{(3)}$ ) in $\operatorname{Prim}(M(A))$. Let $\Delta=\mathcal{L} \times \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N}$ with the usual order.

Temporarily fix $\alpha=(L, M, N) \in \Delta$. Exactly as in the proof of Theorem 5.1 (see [6, Theorem 5.2]), we may apply Proposition 2.1 to obtain $K_{\alpha} \in \operatorname{Glimm}(A)$ and $I_{\alpha}^{(i)} \in \operatorname{Sub}(A)$ $(1 \leq i \leq 3)$ such that $K_{\alpha} \subseteq I_{\alpha}^{(1)} \cap I_{\alpha}^{(2)} \cap I_{\alpha}^{(3)}$. By hypothesis there exist $T_{\alpha, j}^{(i)} \in \operatorname{Prim}(A)$ $(1 \leq i \leq 3,1 \leq j \leq n+1)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\alpha}^{(i)} \subseteq T_{\alpha, 1}^{(i)} \sim \ldots \sim T_{\alpha, n+1}^{(i)} \quad(1 \leq i \leq 3) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\bigcap_{i=1}^{3} T_{\alpha, n+1}^{(i)}$ is a primal ideal of $A$.
We now let $\alpha$ vary. By the compactness of $\operatorname{Prim}(M(A))$ and by passing to successive subnets, we obtain $T_{j}^{(i)} \in \operatorname{Prim}(M(A))$ and commonly indexed subnets $\left(T_{\alpha(\beta), j}^{(i)}\right)$ in $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$ such that

$$
\tilde{T}_{\alpha(\beta), j}^{(i)} \rightarrow T_{j}^{(i)} \quad(1 \leq i \leq 3,1 \leq j \leq n+1) .
$$

It follows from (1) that

$$
T_{1}^{(i)} \sim \ldots \sim T_{n+1}^{(i)} \quad(1 \leq i \leq 3) .
$$

We show next that $\bigcap_{i=1}^{3} T_{n+1}^{(i)}$ is a primal ideal of $M(A)$. Let $V_{i}$ be an open neighbourhood of $T_{n+1}^{(i)}$ in $\operatorname{Prim}(M(A))(1 \leq i \leq 3)$. There exists $\beta$ such that

$$
\tilde{T}_{\alpha(\beta), n+1}^{(i)} \in V_{i} \quad(1 \leq i \leq 3)
$$

Since $\bigcap_{i=1}^{3} T_{\alpha(\beta), n+1}^{(i)}$ is primal, there is a net in $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$ convergent to all of $T_{\alpha(\beta), n+1}^{(1)}, T_{\alpha(\beta), n+1}^{(2)}$ and $T_{\alpha(\beta), n+1}^{(3)}$, and hence a net in $\operatorname{Prim}(M(A))$ convergent to all of $\tilde{T}_{\alpha(\beta), n+1}^{(i)}(1 \leq i \leq 3)$. Hence $V_{1} \cap V_{2} \cap V_{3}$ is non-empty as required.

Exactly as in the proof of Theorem 5.1 (see [6, Theorem 5.2] again), we have $Q^{(i)} \sim T_{1}^{(i)}$ $(1 \leq i \leq 3)$. Thus we have shown that $d_{M(A)}\left(Q^{(i)}, T_{n+1}^{(i)}\right) \leq n+1(1 \leq i \leq 3)$ and that $\bigcap_{i=1}^{3} T_{n+1}^{(i)}$ is a primal ideal of $M(A)$. It follows from Theorem 5.2 that $K(M(A)) \leq(n+$ 1) $+\frac{1}{2}=n+\frac{3}{2}$.

$$
\text { 6. The cases } N \equiv 0 \text { AND } N \equiv 2 \bmod 4 .
$$

In order to apply the results of the previous section, we need to begin by determining $\operatorname{Sub}\left(C^{*}\left(G_{N}\right)\right)$.

For $\sigma \in S O(N-1)^{\wedge}$, let

$$
I_{0, \sigma}=\bigcap\left\{\operatorname{ker} \pi: \pi \in S O(N)^{\wedge},\left.\pi\right|_{S O(N-1)} \geq \sigma\right\} .
$$

Since the closed subset $S O(N)^{\wedge}$ of $\widehat{G_{N}}$ is relatively discrete, the set
$\left\{\operatorname{ker} \pi: \pi \in S O(N)^{\wedge},\left.\pi\right|_{S O(N-1)} \geq \sigma\right\}$
is a closed subset of $\operatorname{Prim}\left(C^{*}\left(G_{N}\right)\right)$ and therefore is the hull of the ideal $I_{0, \sigma}$. Let $\left(t_{n}\right)$ be any null sequence in $(0, \infty)$. Then, for all $P$ in the hull of $I_{0, \sigma}$, $\operatorname{ker} \pi_{t_{n}, \sigma} \rightarrow P$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. On the other hand, suppose that $Q \in \operatorname{Prim}\left(C^{*}\left(G_{N}\right)\right)$ is a cluster point of $\left(\operatorname{ker} \pi_{t_{n}, \sigma}\right)_{n \geq 1}$. Since $C^{*}\left(G_{N}\right)$ is separable, $Q$ has a countable base of neighbourhoods in $\operatorname{Prim}\left(C^{*}\left(G_{N}\right)\right)$ and so there is a subsequence (ker $\left.\pi_{t_{n_{k}}, \sigma}\right)_{k \geq 1}$ convergent to $Q$. Since $t_{n_{k}} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, $Q=\operatorname{ker} \pi$ for some $\pi \in S O(N)^{\wedge}$ such that $\sigma$ is contained in $\left.\pi\right|_{S O(N-1)}$, and hence $Q \supseteq I_{0, \sigma}$. It now follows that $\operatorname{ker} \pi_{t_{n}, \sigma} \rightarrow_{\tau_{s}} I_{0, \sigma}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since each $\operatorname{ker} \pi_{t_{n}, \sigma}$ is a separated point of $\operatorname{Prim}\left(C^{*}\left(G_{N}\right)\right)$, and hence a minimal primal ideal of $C^{*}\left(G_{N}\right)$ [4, Proposition 4.5], we obtain that $I_{0, \sigma} \in \operatorname{Sub}\left(C^{*}\left(G_{N}\right)\right)$.
Proposition 6.1. Let $A=C^{*}\left(G_{N}\right)(N \geq 2)$. Then

$$
\operatorname{Sub}(A)=\left\{I_{0, \sigma}: \sigma \in S O(N-1)^{\wedge}\right\} \cup\left\{\operatorname{ker} \pi_{t, \sigma}: t>0, \sigma \in S O(N-1)^{\wedge}\right\} .
$$

In particular, $\operatorname{Sub}(A)=\operatorname{Min-Primal}(A)$ if and only if $N$ is even.
Proof. Suppose that $I \in \operatorname{Sub}(A)$ and consider $\pi \in \widehat{A}$ such that ker $\pi \supseteq I$. If $\pi \in \mathcal{U}_{N}$ then the minimal primal ideal ker $\pi$ contains the primal ideal $I$ and so $I=\operatorname{ker} \pi$. So we may assume from now on that hull $(I) \subseteq\left\{\operatorname{ker} \pi: \pi \in S O(N)^{\wedge}\right\}$. By the discussion at the start of Section 5 , there is a sequence $\left(P_{n}\right)$ of separated points of $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$ such that $P_{n} \rightarrow_{\tau_{s}} I$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In particular, for $P \in \operatorname{Prim}(A), P_{n} \rightarrow P$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ if and only if $P \supseteq I$.

For each $n \geq 1$ there exists $t_{n}>0$ and $\sigma_{n} \in S O(N-1)^{\wedge}$ such that $P_{n}=\operatorname{ker} \pi_{t_{n}, \sigma_{n}}$. Let $\pi \in S O(N)^{\wedge}$ such that $\operatorname{ker} \pi \supseteq I$. Then $\pi_{t_{n}, \sigma_{n}} \rightarrow \pi$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and so $\left(t_{n}\right)$ is a null sequence and eventually $\sigma_{n}$ is contained in $\left.\pi\right|_{S O(N-1)}$. Replacing $\left(P_{n}\right)$ by a subsequence (which will also be $\tau_{s}$-convergent to $I$ ), we may assume that $\sigma_{n}=\sigma$ (say) for all $n$. Then $P_{n}=\operatorname{ker} \pi_{t_{n}, \sigma} \rightarrow_{\tau_{s}} I_{0, \sigma}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, as observed at the start of this section. Since $\tau_{s}$ is Hausdorff, $I=I_{0, \sigma}$ as required.

The final statement of the proposition follows from the characterization of $\operatorname{Min}-\operatorname{Primal}(A)$ in [29, Proposition 4.6].

The next two results deal with the cases $N \equiv 0$ and $N \equiv 2 \bmod 4$. The strategies are similar in that both proofs use Corollary 4.7 for one estimate. However, for the other estimate, the first case uses Theorem 5.1 whereas the second case requires the more complicated Theorem 5.3. For the application of Theorems 5.1 and 5.3 , we recall that, for the separable $C^{*}$-algebra $A=C^{*}\left(G_{N}\right)(N \geq 3)$, $\operatorname{Glimm}(A)$ is normal (see Section 2) and $\phi_{A}$ is closed (Theorem 3.3).
Theorem 6.2. Let $A=C^{*}\left(G_{N}\right)$ where $N \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$. Then

$$
K(M(A))=K_{s}(M(A))=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Orc}(M(A))=N / 4
$$

Proof. We begin with the case $N>4$ so that $N / 4>1$. We aim to show that $A$ satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 5.1 with $n=\frac{N}{4}-1$. Let $I^{(1)}, I^{(2)}, I^{(3)} \in \operatorname{Sub}(A)$ with $I^{(1)}, I^{(2)}, I^{(3)}$ all containing the same Glimm ideal $G$. If $G$ is one of the separated points of $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$ then $I^{(1)}=I^{(2)}=I^{(3)}=G$ and we may take $S^{(1)}=S^{(2)}=S^{(3)}=T=G$.

We may suppose therefore that $G=I_{0}$ (see Theorem 3.3) and that $I^{(1)}=I_{0, \sigma}, I^{(2)}=I_{0, \sigma^{\prime}}$, and $I^{(3)}=I_{0, \sigma^{\prime \prime}}$ for some $\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime \prime} \in S O(N-1)^{\wedge}$ by Proposition 6.1. Let $\sigma=\left(q_{1}, \ldots, q_{k-1}\right)$, $\sigma^{\prime}=\left(q_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, q_{k-1}^{\prime}\right)$, and $\sigma^{\prime \prime}=\left(q_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, q_{k-1}^{\prime \prime}\right)$, where $k=N / 2$. Set $Q_{i}=\max \left\{q_{i}, q_{i}^{\prime}, q_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq N / 4$. Define $\pi=\left(Q_{1}, q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{k-2}, 0\right) \in S O(N)^{\wedge}$ and similarly $\pi^{\prime}$ and $\pi^{\prime \prime}$ (replacing the $q_{j}$ by $q_{j}^{\prime}$ and $q_{j}^{\prime \prime}$ respectively). Since $\left.\pi\right|_{S O(N-1)} \geq \sigma$, $\operatorname{ker} \pi \supseteq I^{(1)}$. Similarly, $\operatorname{ker} \pi^{\prime} \supseteq I^{(2)}$ and $\operatorname{ker} \pi^{\prime \prime} \supseteq I^{(3)}$.

There is an $\left(\frac{N}{4}-1\right)$-step $\sim$-walk from each of $\pi, \pi^{\prime}, \pi^{\prime \prime}$ to $\rho:=\left(Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{\frac{N}{4}}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)$ in $\widehat{G_{N}}$. To see this, note that for $1 \leq i \leq \frac{N}{4}-2$,

$$
\left(Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{i}, q_{i}, q_{i+1}, \ldots, q_{k-1-i}, 0, \ldots, 0\right) \sim\left(Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{i}, Q_{i+1}, q_{i+1}, \ldots, q_{k-2-i}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)
$$

because the restrictions to $S O(N-1)$ contain $\left(Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{i}, q_{i+1}, \ldots, q_{k-1-i}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)$, and finally

$$
\left(Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{\frac{N}{4}-1}, q_{\frac{N}{4}-1}, q_{\frac{N}{4}}, 0, \ldots, 0\right) \sim\left(Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{\frac{N}{4}}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)=\rho
$$

because the restrictions to $S O(N-1)$ contain $\left(Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{\frac{N}{4}-1}, q_{\frac{N}{4}}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)$. Similar arguments apply to $\pi^{\prime}$ and $\pi^{\prime \prime}$, replacing the $q_{j}$ by $q_{j}^{\prime}$ and $q_{j}^{\prime \prime}$ (respectively).

Thus taking $S^{(1)}=\operatorname{ker} \pi, S^{(2)}=\operatorname{ker} \pi^{\prime}, S^{(3)}=\operatorname{ker} \pi^{\prime \prime}$, and $T=\operatorname{ker} \rho$ we have satisfied the hypotheses of Theorem 5.1. Thus $K(M(A)) \leq \frac{N}{4}$. Combining this with Theorem 3.3(i), Corollary 4.7 and [35, Theorem 4.4], we have

$$
K(M(A)) \leq \frac{N}{4} \leq \frac{\operatorname{Orc}(A)}{2} \leq \frac{\operatorname{Orc}(M(A))}{2}=K_{s}(M(A)) \leq K(M(A))
$$

and hence equality throughout.
For the simpler case $N=4$, it again suffices to check the hypothesis of Theorem 5.1 for $G=I_{0}$ (the only non-maximal Glimm ideal of $A$ ). So let $I^{(1)}=I_{0,(q)}, I^{(2)}=I_{0,\left(q^{\prime}\right)}$ and $I^{(3)}=$ $I_{0,\left(q^{\prime \prime}\right)}$ where $(q),\left(q^{\prime}\right),\left(q^{\prime \prime}\right) \in S O(3)^{\wedge}$. Let $Q=\max \left\{q, q^{\prime}, q^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ and let $\pi=(Q, 0) \in S O(4)^{\wedge}$. Then $\left.\pi\right|_{S O(3)}$ contains $(q),\left(q^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(q^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and so $\operatorname{ker} \pi \supseteq I^{(1)}+I^{(2)}+I^{(3)}$. Using Theorem 5.1 in the case $n=0$ and arguing as above, we have

$$
K(M(A)) \leq 1 \leq \frac{\operatorname{Orc}(A)}{2} \leq \frac{\operatorname{Orc}(M(A))}{2}=K_{s}(M(A)) \leq K(M(A))
$$

and hence equality throughout.

Theorem 6.3. Let $A=C^{*}\left(G_{N}\right)$ where $N \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$ and $N \geq 6$. Then

$$
K(M(A))=K_{s}(M(A))=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Orc}(M(A))=N / 4
$$

Proof. Let $k=N / 2$ and $m=(N-2) / 4$, so that $k=2 m+1$. We begin with the case $N>6$ so that $m>1$. We aim to show that $A$ satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 5.3 with $n=m-1$. Let $I^{(1)}, I^{(2)}, I^{(3)} \in \operatorname{Sub}(A)$ with $I^{(1)}, I^{(2)}, I^{(3)}$ all containing the same Glimm ideal $G$. If $G$ is one of the separated points of $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$ then $I^{(1)}=I^{(2)}=I^{(3)}=G$ and we may take $S^{(i)}=T^{(i)}=G(1 \leq i \leq 3)$ so that $T^{(1)} \cap T^{(2)} \cap T^{(3)}=G$ which is primal.

We may suppose therefore that $G=I_{0}$ (see Theorem 3.3) and that $I^{(1)}=I_{0, \sigma}, I^{(2)}=I_{0, \sigma^{\prime}}$, and $I^{(3)}=I_{0, \sigma^{\prime \prime}}$ for some $\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime \prime} \in S O(N-1)^{\wedge}$ by Proposition 6.1. Let $\sigma=\left(q_{1}, \ldots, q_{k-1}\right)$, $\sigma^{\prime}=\left(q_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, q_{k-1}^{\prime}\right)$, and $\sigma^{\prime \prime}=\left(q_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, q_{k-1}^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Set $Q_{i}=\max \left\{q_{i}, q_{i}^{\prime}, q_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. Define $\pi=\left(Q_{1}, q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{k-2}, 0\right) \in S O(N)^{\wedge}$ and similarly $\pi^{\prime}$ and $\pi^{\prime \prime}$ (replacing the $q_{j}$ by $q_{j}^{\prime}$ and $q_{j}^{\prime \prime}$ respectively). Since $\left.\pi\right|_{S O(N-1)} \geq \sigma$, $\operatorname{ker} \pi \supseteq I^{(1)}$. Similarly, $\operatorname{ker} \pi^{\prime} \supseteq I^{(2)}$ and $\operatorname{ker} \pi^{\prime \prime} \supseteq I^{(3)}$.

There is an $(m-1)$-step $\sim$-walk in $\widehat{G_{N}}$ from $\pi$ to $\rho:=\left(Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{m}, q_{m+1} 0, \ldots, 0\right) \in$ $S O(N)^{\wedge}$. To see this (as in the proof of Theorem 6.2), note that for $1 \leq i \leq m-1$,

$$
\left(Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{i}, q_{i}, q_{i+1}, \ldots, q_{k-1-i}, 0, \ldots, 0\right) \sim\left(Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{i}, Q_{i+1}, q_{i+1}, \ldots, q_{k-2-i}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)
$$

because the restrictions to $S O(N-1)$ contain $\left(Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{i}, q_{i+1}, \ldots, q_{k-1-i}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)$, Similarly, there is an $(m-1)$-step $\sim$-walk from $\pi^{\prime}$ to $\rho^{\prime}:=\left(Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{m}, q_{m+1}^{\prime}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)$ and from $\pi^{\prime \prime}$ to $\rho^{\prime \prime}:=\left(Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{m}, q_{m+1}^{\prime \prime}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)$.

The restrictions of $\rho, \rho^{\prime}$ and $\rho^{\prime \prime}$ to $S O(N-1)$ contain $\mu:=\left(Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{m}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)$ as a common subrepresentation and so if $\left(t_{n}\right)$ is any null sequence in $(0, \infty)$ then $\pi_{t_{n}, \mu} \rightarrow \rho, \rho^{\prime}, \rho^{\prime \prime}$ in $\widehat{G_{N}}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $\operatorname{ker} \rho \cap \operatorname{ker} \rho^{\prime} \cap \operatorname{ker} \rho^{\prime \prime}$ is a primal ideal of $A$.

Taking $S^{(1)}=\operatorname{ker} \pi, S^{(2)}=\operatorname{ker} \pi^{\prime}, S^{(3)} \operatorname{ker} \pi^{\prime \prime}$ and $T^{(1)}=\operatorname{ker} \rho, T^{(2)}=\operatorname{ker} \rho^{\prime}, T^{(3)} \operatorname{ker} \rho^{\prime \prime}$, we have satisfied the hypotheses of Theorem 5.3. Thus $K(M(A)) \leq(m-1)+3 / 2=N / 4$. Combining this with Theorem 3.3(i), Corollary 4.7 and [35, Theorem 4.4], we have

$$
K(M(A)) \leq \frac{N}{4} \leq \frac{\operatorname{Orc}(A)}{2} \leq \frac{\operatorname{Orc}(M(A))}{2}=K_{s}(M(A)) \leq K(M(A))
$$

and hence equality throughout.
For the simpler case $N=6$, it again suffices to check the hypothesis of Theorem 5.3 for the Glimm ideal $G=I_{0}$. With notation as above, we have that the restrictions to $S O(N-1)$ of $\pi=\left(Q_{1}, q_{1}, 0\right), \pi^{\prime}=\left(Q_{1}, q_{1}^{\prime}, 0\right)$ and $\pi^{\prime \prime}=\left(Q_{1}, q_{1}^{\prime \prime}, 0\right)$ contain $\left(Q_{1}, 0\right)$ as a common subrepresentation and so the ideal $\operatorname{ker} \pi \cap \operatorname{ker} \pi^{\prime} \cap \operatorname{ker} \pi^{\prime \prime}$ is primal. Applying Theorem 5.3 in the case $n=0$, we have

$$
K(M(A)) \leq \frac{3}{2} \leq \frac{\operatorname{Orc}(A)}{2} \leq \frac{\operatorname{Orc}(M(A))}{2}=K_{s}(M(A)) \leq K(M(A))
$$

and hence equality throughout.

## 7. The constant $D(A)$ and the value of $\operatorname{Orc}(M(A))$.

If we apply the strategy of the previous section to the case where $N$ is odd, we find that $K\left(M\left(C^{*}\left(G_{N}\right)\right)\right) \in\left[\frac{N-1}{4}, \frac{N+1}{4}\right]$ rather than obtaining an exact value. This forces us to improve on the estimate for $\operatorname{Orc}\left(M\left(C^{*}\left(G_{N}\right)\right)\right)$ that was given in Corollary 4.7.

In the first part of this section we obtain an upper bound for $\operatorname{Orc}(M(A))$, in terms of the ideal structure of $A$, which is applicable to a fairly general class of $C^{*}$-algebras $A$. In the second part, we obtain the precise value of $\operatorname{Orc}(M(A))$ for a smaller class of $C^{*}$-algebras which does, however, contain the group $C^{*}$-algebras of the motion groups.

Recall from Section 5 that, for a $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebra $A, \operatorname{Sub}(A)$ is the $\tau_{s}$-closure of $\operatorname{Min}-\operatorname{Primal}(A)$ in $\operatorname{Primal}^{\prime}(A)$. We now define a graph structure on $\operatorname{Sub}(A)$. For $I, J \in \operatorname{Sub}(A)$ write $I * J$ if $I+J \neq A$. The relation $*$ defines a graph structure on $\operatorname{Sub}(A)$ analogous to the graph structure on $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$ defined by the relation $\sim$. Let $d^{*}(I, J)$ denote the distance between $I$ and $J$ in the graph $(\operatorname{Sub}(A), *)$. As before, we define the diameter of a $*$-component to be the supremum of the distances between points in the component, with the exception that this time we define the diameter of a singleton to be $0($ rather than 1 as in $(\operatorname{Prim}(A), \sim))$. Let $D(A)$ be the supremum of the diameters of $*$-connected components of $\operatorname{Sub}(A)$.

For example, let $A=C^{*}(S L(2, \mathbf{C})$ ) (see [6, Example 5.1] for notation). Then, apart from loops, the only edge in $(\operatorname{Sub}(A), *)$ is $J * P_{2,0}$. Hence $D(A)=1$.

It is natural to begin by investigating the case $D(A)=0$. This turns out to give a description of quasi-standard $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebras.

Lemma 7.1. Let $A$ be a $C^{*}$-algebra. Then $D(A)=0$ if and only if $A$ is quasi-standard.
Proof. Suppose that $A$ is quasi-standard. By $[8$, Theorem $3.3((\mathrm{i}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{v}))], \operatorname{Sub}(A)=$ Min$\operatorname{Primal}(A)$ and every primitive ideal of $A$ contains a unique minimal primal ideal. Hence $D(A)=0$.

Conversely, suppose that $D(A)=0$ and that $I \in \operatorname{Sub}(A)$. There exists a minimal primal ideal $J$ of $A$ such that $J \subseteq I$. Since $I * J$ and $D(A)=0, I=J$. Hence $\operatorname{Min-Primal}(A)$ is $\tau_{s}$-closed in $\operatorname{Primal}^{\prime}(A)$. Furthermore each primitive ideal of $A$ must contain a unique minimal primal ideal, for otherwise $D(A) \geq 1$. Hence $A$ is quasi-standard by $[8$, Theorem 3.3 ((v) $\Rightarrow$ (i))].

The only motion group $G_{N}$ for which the $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebra is quasi-standard is $G_{2}$, and this has been studied in [6, Example 4.1].

If $I * J$ then there exists $P \in \operatorname{Prim}(A)$ such that $P \supseteq I+J$, and if $P, Q \in \operatorname{Prim}(A)$ with $P \sim Q$ then there exists $I \in \operatorname{Min-Primal}(A) \subseteq \operatorname{Sub}(A)$ with $I \subseteq P \cap Q$. Hence if $I_{0} * \ldots * I_{k}$ is a walk in $\operatorname{Sub}(A)$ of length $k \geq 2$ then there is a walk $P_{1} \sim \cdots \sim P_{k}$ of length $k-1$ in $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$, where $P_{i} \supseteq I_{i-1}+I_{i}$. Any strictly shorter walk between $P_{1}$ and $P_{k}$ yields a strictly shorter walk between $I_{0}$ and $I_{k}$. In this way one sees that $D(A) \leq \operatorname{Orc}(A)+1$, and a similar argument shows that $\operatorname{Orc}(A) \leq D(A)+1$ (and also that $D(A)$ is infinite if and only if $\operatorname{Orc}(A)$ is infinite). Similarly, for $G \in \operatorname{Glimm}(A),\{P \in \operatorname{Prim}(A): P \supseteq G\}$ is a $\sim$-connected subset of $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$ if and only if $\{J \in \operatorname{Sub}(A): J \supseteq G\}$ is a $*$-connected subset of $\operatorname{Sub}(A)$.

Lemma 7.2. Let $A$ be a $\sigma$-unital $C^{*}$-algebra and let $n$ be a positive integer. Suppose that for all $G \in \operatorname{Glimm}(A)$ and all $R, S \in \operatorname{Prim}\left(M(A) / H_{G}\right), d_{M(A)}(R, S) \leq n$. Then $\operatorname{Orc}(M(A)) \leq$ $n$.

Proof. We may assume that $\operatorname{Orc}(M(A)) \geq 2$. Let $H$ be a Glimm ideal of $M(A)$ and suppose that $R, S \in \operatorname{Prim}(M(A) / H)$ with $2 \leq d_{M(A)}(R, S)<\infty$. Set $d_{M(A)}(R, S)=k$. Then by [35, Lemma 2.4] or Lemma 4.4 (applied to $M(A)$ ), there is an admissible chain $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k}$ of length $k$ of closed subsets of $\operatorname{Prim}(M(A))$ such that $R \in X_{1} \backslash X_{2}$ and $S \in X_{k} \backslash X_{k-1}$. Since
$X_{1} \backslash X_{2}$ is an open subset of $\operatorname{Prim}(M(A))$, there exists $b \in M(A)$ such that $\|b\|=\left\|b_{R}\right\|=1$ and $b+T=0$ for all $T \in \operatorname{Prim}(M(A))$ with $T \notin X_{1} \backslash X_{2}$. Similarly, there exists $c \in M(A)$ such that $\|c\|=\left\|c_{S}\right\|=1$ and $c+T=0$ for all $T \in \operatorname{Prim}(M(A))$ with $T \notin X_{k} \backslash X_{k-1}$.

Set $V=\left\{G \in \operatorname{Glimm}(A):\left\|b+H_{G}\right\| \geq 1 / 2\right\}$ and $W=\left\{G \in \operatorname{Glimm}(A):\left\|c+H_{G}\right\| \geq 1 / 2\right\}$. Using the canonical homeomorphism $\iota: \beta \operatorname{Glimm}(A) \rightarrow \operatorname{Glimm}(M(A))$ (see Section 2) and the upper semi-continuity of norm functions on $\operatorname{Glimm}(M(A))$, we obtain that $V$ and $W$ are closed subsets of $\operatorname{Glimm}(A)$. Furthermore, $H$ lies in the closure of both $\iota(V)$ and $\iota(W)$. To see this, let $\left(P_{\alpha}\right)$ be a net in $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$ with $\tilde{P}_{\alpha} \rightarrow R$. Set $G_{\alpha}=\phi_{A}\left(P_{\alpha}\right)$. Then, by the continuity of $\phi_{M(A)}$,

$$
H_{G_{\alpha}}=i\left(G_{\alpha}\right)=\phi_{M(A)}\left(\tilde{P}_{\alpha}\right) \rightarrow \phi_{M(A)}(R)=H
$$

Eventually $\left\|b+\tilde{P}_{\alpha}\right\|>1 / 2$, by lower semi-continuity of norm functions on $\operatorname{Prim}(M(A))$, and hence eventually $\left\|b+H_{G_{\alpha}}\right\| \geq\left\|b+\tilde{P}_{\alpha}\right\|>1 / 2$. Thus eventually $G_{\alpha} \in V$, so $H$ lies in the closure of $\iota(V)$. Similarly $H$ lies in the closure of $\iota(W)$.

Since $A$ is $\sigma$-unital, $\operatorname{Glimm}(A)$ is normal (see Section 2) and so $V \cap W$ is non-empty by [6, Lemma 3.1]. Let $G \in V \cap W$. Then there exists $T \in \operatorname{Prim}\left(M(A) / H_{G}\right)$ such that $\|b+T\|>0$, and hence such that $T \in X_{1} \backslash X_{2}$. Similarly there exists $T^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Prim}\left(M(A) / H_{G}\right)$ such that $T^{\prime} \in X_{k} \backslash X_{k-1}$. But then $d_{M(A)}\left(T, T^{\prime}\right) \geq k$ by [35, Lemma 2.1]. Hence $k \leq n$, by hypothesis, so $\operatorname{Orc}(M(A)) \leq n$ as required.

We are now ready for the first result linking $\operatorname{Orc}(M(A))$ and $D(A)$.
Theorem 7.3. Let $A$ be a $\sigma$-unital $C^{*}$-algebra such that $X^{1}$ is closed whenever $X$ is a closed subset of $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$. If $D(A) \geq 1$ then

$$
\operatorname{Orc}(M(A)) \leq D(A)+1 \leq \operatorname{Orc}(A)+2 .
$$

Proof. Suppose that $D(A) \geq 1$. Since $D(A) \leq \operatorname{Orc}(A)+1$, it suffices to show that $\operatorname{Orc}(M(A)) \leq D(A)+1$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $D(A)<\infty$ and hence $\operatorname{Orc}(A)<\infty$. It follows from Proposition 4.3 that $\phi_{A}$ is a closed map and that every Glimm class in $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$ is $\sim$-connected.

Towards a contradiction, suppose that there exist $G \in \operatorname{Glimm}(A)$ and $Q, R \in$ $\operatorname{Prim}\left(M(A) / H_{G}\right)$ such that $D(A)+1<d_{M(A)}(Q, R) \leq \infty$. Then there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $D(A)+1<k \leq d_{M(A)}(Q, R)$. Since $D(A) \geq 1$, we have $k \geq 3$. By [35, Lemma 2.4] or Lemma 4.4 (applied to $M(A)$ ), there is a chain $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k}$ on $\operatorname{Prim}(M(A))$ with $Q \in X_{1} \backslash X_{2}$ and $R \in X_{k} \backslash X_{k-1}$. Set $W=X_{1} \backslash X_{2}$ and let $V=\{P \in \operatorname{Prim}(A): \tilde{P} \in W\}$. Then $W$ is an open subset of $\operatorname{Prim}(M(A))$ containing $Q$ and $\tilde{V}=\{\tilde{P}: P \in V\}$ is a dense open subset of $W$ by the density of the open subset $\operatorname{Prim}(A)^{\sim}$ in $\operatorname{Prim}(M(A)$ ). We claim that $\bar{V}$ (the closure of $V$ in $\operatorname{Prim}(A))$ meets $\operatorname{Prim}(A / G)$. To see this, let $\left(Q_{\alpha}\right)$ be a net in $V$ such that $\tilde{Q}_{\alpha} \rightarrow Q$. Using the canonical homeomorphism $\iota: \beta \operatorname{Glimm}(A) \rightarrow \operatorname{Glimm}(M(A))$ and the continuity of $\phi_{M(A)}$, we have

$$
\iota\left(\phi_{A}\left(Q_{\alpha}\right)\right)=\phi_{M(A)}\left(\tilde{Q}_{\alpha}\right) \rightarrow \phi_{M(A)}(Q)=H_{G}=\iota(G) .
$$

Hence $\phi_{A}\left(Q_{\alpha}\right) \rightarrow G$. Thus $G$ belongs to the closure of $\phi_{A}(V)$ in $\operatorname{Glimm}(A)$. Since $\phi_{A}$ is a closed map this implies that there exists $T \in \bar{V}$ such that $\phi_{A}(T)=G$.

Now let $\left(P_{\alpha}\right)$ be a net in $V$ such that $P_{\alpha} \rightarrow T$. For each $\alpha$, let $I_{\alpha} \in \operatorname{Min-Primal}(A)$ with $I_{\alpha} \subseteq P_{\alpha}$. By passing to a subnet, if necessary, we may assume that $\left(I_{\alpha}\right)$ is $\tau_{s}$-convergent in $\operatorname{Id}(A)$, with limit $I$ say. For $a \in I$,

$$
0=\|a+I\|=\lim \left\|a+I_{\alpha}\right\| \geq \lim \inf \left\|a+P_{\alpha}\right\| \geq\|a+T\| .
$$

Thus $I \subseteq T$ and so $I \neq A$ and $I \in \operatorname{Sub}(A)$. Since each proper closed primal ideal of $A$ contains a unique Glimm ideal [8, Lemma 2.2], and $T \supseteq I$ and $T \supseteq G$, it follows that $I \supseteq G$.

Set $X_{i}^{\prime}=\left\{P \in \operatorname{Prim}(A): \tilde{P} \in X_{i}\right\}(1 \leq i \leq k)$. Then $X_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, X_{k}^{\prime}$ is a chain on $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$. We claim that $\operatorname{Prim}(A / I) \subseteq X_{1}^{\prime}$. To see this, let $T^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Prim}(A / I)$. Suppose that $T^{\prime}$ does not lie in the closed set $X_{1}^{\prime}$ and set $M=\operatorname{ker} X_{1}^{\prime}$. Then $T^{\prime} \nsupseteq M$ and so $I \nsupseteq M$. Since $I_{\alpha} \rightarrow I$ $\left(\tau_{s}\right)$, eventually $I_{\alpha} \nsupseteq M$ and so there exists $Q_{\alpha} \in \operatorname{Prim}\left(A / I_{\alpha}\right)$ such that $Q_{\alpha} \nsupseteq M$. Hence $Q_{\alpha} \notin X_{1}^{\prime}$. On the other hand $P_{\alpha} \in \operatorname{Prim}\left(A / I_{\alpha}\right)$ and $P_{\alpha} \in V \subseteq X_{1}^{\prime}$. Thus $P_{\alpha}$ and $Q_{\alpha}$ are in disjoint open subsets of $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$. This contradicts the primality of $I_{\alpha}$, so $T^{\prime}$ must belong to $X_{1}^{\prime}$.

In the same way, using $R \in X_{k}$, we may obtain $J \in \operatorname{Sub}(A)$ with $J \supseteq G$ such that $\operatorname{Prim}(A / J) \subseteq X_{k}^{\prime}$. Since $\operatorname{Prim}(A / G)$ is a $\sim$-connected subset of $\operatorname{Prim}(A), I$ and $J$ are in the same $*$-component of $\operatorname{Sub}(A)$. Let $I=I_{0} * I_{1} * \ldots * I_{n-1} * I_{n}=J$ be any walk in $\operatorname{Sub}(A)$ from $I$ to $J$, of length $n$. Then $\operatorname{Prim}\left(A / I_{1}\right)$ meets $X_{1}^{\prime}$ and hence $\operatorname{Prim}\left(A / I_{1}\right) \subseteq X_{1}^{\prime} \cup X_{2}^{\prime}$, since $\operatorname{Prim}\left(A / I_{1}\right)$ is a limit set and $\left(X_{1}^{\prime} \cup X_{2}^{\prime}\right) \backslash X_{3}^{\prime}$ and $\left(X_{3}^{\prime} \cup \ldots X_{k}^{\prime}\right) \backslash X_{2}^{\prime}$ are disjoint open subsets of $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$. By induction, $\operatorname{Prim}\left(A / I_{i}\right) \subseteq X_{1}^{\prime} \cup X_{2}^{\prime} \cup \ldots \cup X_{i+1}^{\prime}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$. Thus the least $i$ such that $\operatorname{Prim}\left(A / I_{i}\right)$ can meet $X_{k}^{\prime}$ is $i=k-2$ (recall that $X_{k-j}^{\prime} \cap X_{k}^{\prime}$ is empty for $2 \leq j \leq k-1$ ). But $\operatorname{Prim}\left(A / I_{n-1}\right)$ does meet $\operatorname{Prim}(A / J)$ (and hence $\left.X_{k}^{\prime}\right)$ and thus $n-1 \geq k-2$. Hence $d^{*}(I, J) \geq k-1$ and so $D(A) \geq k-1>D(A)$, a contradiction.

We have shown that for all $G \in \operatorname{Glimm}(A)$ and $R, S \in \operatorname{Prim}\left(\left(M(A) / H_{G}\right), d_{M(A)}(R, S) \leq\right.$ $D(A)+1$. It follows from Lemma 7.2 that $\operatorname{Orc}(M(A)) \leq D(A)+1$.

If $A$ is a $\sigma$-unital $C^{*}$-algebra with $D(A)=0$ (i.e. $A$ quasi-standard) then $\operatorname{Orc}(M(A)) \leq 2$ [6, Theorem 3.4]. Combining this with Theorem 7.3, we see that if $A$ is a $\sigma$-unital $\mathrm{C}^{*}$ algebra such that $X^{1}$ is closed whenever $X$ is a closed subset of $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$ then $\operatorname{Orc}(M(A)) \leq$ $\operatorname{Orc}(A)+2$.
Corollary 7.4. Let $A$ be a $\sigma$-unital $C^{*}$-algebra such that $X^{1}$ is closed whenever $X$ is a closed subset of $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$. Then

$$
\operatorname{Orc}(A) \leq \operatorname{Orc}(M(A)) \leq \operatorname{Orc}(A)+2
$$

Proof. By Theorem 4.6, $\operatorname{Orc}(A) \leq \operatorname{Orc}(M(A))$. The second inequality has been noted above.

In the second part of this section, we shall consider $C^{*}$-algebras with the following properties:
(1) $X^{1}$ is closed whenever $X$ is a closed subset of $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$;
(2) there is a dense subset $S \subseteq \operatorname{Prim}(A)$ such that: (a) each $P \in S$ is a Glimm ideal; (b) each $P \in S$ is a maximal ideal; (c) $A / P$ is non-unital for all $P \in S$.
Property (1) has been discussed earlier, at the beginning of Section 4. Property (2) is a considerable restriction, but it is satisfied by the $C^{*}$-algebras of many locally compact
groups including $S L(2, \mathbb{R}), S L(2, \mathbb{C})$, the motion groups $G_{N}$ (see below) and all non-abelian, connected, simply connected nilpotent Lie groups.

If $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$ is a $T_{1}$-space, $\operatorname{Orc}(A)<\infty$, and $A$ has property (1) then every Glimm class is $\sim$ connected by Proposition 4.3, so every separated point of $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$ automatically satisfies (a) and (b) of property (2). Hence if $A$ is a separable unital $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebra with $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$ a $T_{1}$-space and $\operatorname{Orc}(A)<\infty$ then its stabilization $A \otimes \mathcal{K}$ satisfies properties (1) and (2) (where $\mathcal{K}$ is the $C^{*}$-algebra of compact linear operators on a separable Hilbert space of infinite dimension). Also, if $A$ is a separable, liminal $C^{*}$-algebra such that $\operatorname{Orc}(A)<\infty$ and the set $S_{1}=\{P \in \operatorname{Prim}(A): A / P$ is non-unital $\}$ is dense in $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$, then the assumption of property (1) automatically leads to property (2). To see this note that $S_{1}$ is a $G_{\delta}$ subset of $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$ (see the proof of [17, Proposition 12]). On the other hand, the set $S_{2}$ of separated points of $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$ is also a dense $G_{\delta}$ subset [20, 3.9.4(b)]. As above, each $P$ in $S_{2}$ satisfies (a) and (b). Since $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$ is a Baire space, the set $S=S_{1} \cap S_{2}$ has the required properties. Incidentally, the density of $S_{1}$ always forces $Z(A)=\{0\}$ and the converse is true when $A$ is liminal [17, Proposition 12].

It follows from property (2) that every $P \in S$ is a separated point of $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$. If a net converges in $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$ to a separated point $Q$ then every cluster point must contain $Q$ and hence the net converges to $Q$ in $\tau_{s}$ [23, Theorem 2.1]. It follows that $S$ is $\tau_{s}$-dense in the set of separated points of $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$. Thus, if $A$ is also separable, every ideal in $\operatorname{Sub}(A)$ is the $\tau_{s}$-limit of a sequence in $S$ (cf. the discussion preceding Theorem 5.1). Now suppose that $I \in \operatorname{Sub}(A)$, that $\left(P_{n}\right)$ is a sequence in $S$ such that $P_{n} \rightarrow I\left(\tau_{s}\right)$ and that a primitive ideal $P$ lies in the closure of $\left\{P_{n}: n \geq 1\right\}$ but $P \neq P_{n}$ for all $n$. Let $a \in I$ and $\epsilon>0$. Since $P_{n} \rightarrow I\left(\tau_{s}\right)$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\left\|a+P_{n}\right\|<\epsilon$ for all $n>N$. As $P$ does not belong to the closed set $\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{N}\right\}$ it belongs to the closure of the set $\left\{P_{n}: n>N\right\}$. By lower semi-continuity of norm functions on $\operatorname{Prim}(A),\|a+P\| \leq \epsilon$. Since $\epsilon$ was arbitrary, we obtain that $a \in P$ and hence that $P \supseteq I$. We shall use this fact several times in the proof of Theorem 7.6.

We now show that if $A=C^{*}\left(G_{N}\right)(N \geq 2)$ then $A$ satisfies both properties (1) and (2). Property (1) has been previously observed as a consequence of Lemma 4.1. The set $S=\left\{\operatorname{ker} \pi: \pi \in \mathcal{U}_{N}\right\}$ is a dense open subset of separated points of $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$ which are also Glimm ideals (see Section 3). For $P \in S, A / P$ is ${ }^{*}$-isomorphic to the non-unital, simple $C^{*}$-algebra $\mathcal{K}$ and so $S$ satisfies 2(b) and 2(c).

Next we need a technical lemma.
Lemma 7.5. Let $A$ be a separable $C^{*}$-algebra with $\operatorname{Orc}(A)<\infty$ and with properties (1) and (2) above. Let $G \in \operatorname{Glimm}(A)$ and let $I \in \operatorname{Sub}(A)$ with $G \subseteq I$. Set $X_{G}=\{P \in \operatorname{Prim}(A)$ : $P \supseteq G\}$. Let $V$ be an open subset of $\operatorname{Prim}(A) \backslash X_{G}$ and suppose that there is a sequence $\left(P_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ in $S \cap V$ such that $P_{n} \rightarrow I\left(\tau_{s}\right)$. Then there exists $Q \in \operatorname{Prim}(M(A))$ with $Q \supseteq H_{G}$ such that
(i) $Q$ lies in the closure of $\left\{\tilde{P}_{n}: n \geq 1\right\}$;
(ii) if $\left(Q_{\alpha}\right)$ is any net in $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$ with $\tilde{Q}_{\alpha} \rightarrow Q$ then eventually $Q_{\alpha} \in V$;
(iii) $\{Q\}^{1}$ lies in the closure of $\tilde{V}=\{\tilde{P}: P \in V\}$ in $\operatorname{Prim}(M(A))$.

Proof. Set $W=\operatorname{Prim}(A) \backslash V$ and $U=\operatorname{Glimm}(A) \backslash \phi_{A}(W)$. By Proposition 4.3, $\phi_{A}$ is closed and so $U$ is an open subset of $\operatorname{Glimm}(A)$ and $G \notin U$. On the other hand, $P_{n}=\phi_{A}\left(P_{n}\right) \in U$ for each $n$ since $\left\{P_{n}\right\}$ is a Glimm class. Also, for any $P \in \operatorname{Prim}(A / I), P_{n} \rightarrow P$ in $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$
and so $P_{n}=\phi_{A}\left(P_{n}\right) \rightarrow \phi(P)=G$ in $\operatorname{Glimm}(A)$. Hence $G$ lies in the boundary of the open set $U$. Since $A$ is separable, it follows from [14, Lemma 3.9] that $U$ is the cozero set of a continuous real-valued function $f$ on $\operatorname{Glimm}(A)$. Replacing $f$ by $|f| /(1+|f|)$, we may assume that $0 \leq f \leq 1$.

By [6, Theorem 2.2 (iii),(iv)], there exists an element $b \in M(A)$ with $0 \leq b \leq 1$ such that $(1-b) \in \tilde{K}$ for all $K \in \operatorname{Glimm}(A) \backslash U$ and $\|(1-b)+\tilde{K}\|=1$ for all $K \in U$ such that $A / K$ is non-unital. In particular, $\left\|(1-b)+\tilde{P}_{n}\right\|=1$ for all $n \geq 1$. The set

$$
N=\{R \in \operatorname{Prim}(M(A)):\|(1-b)+R\| \geq 1\}
$$

is compact and hence the sequence $\left(\tilde{P}_{n}\right)$ in $N$ has a convergent subnet $\left(\tilde{P}_{n_{\alpha}}\right)$ with a limit $Q \in N$. Since $\operatorname{Glimm}(M(A))$ is Hausdorff,

$$
\phi_{M(A)}(Q)=\lim \phi_{M(A)}\left(\tilde{P}_{n_{\alpha}}\right)=\lim \iota\left(\phi_{A}\left(P_{n_{\alpha}}\right)\right)=\iota(G)=H_{G}
$$

and so $Q \supseteq H_{G}$.
Set $Y=\{R \in \operatorname{Prim}(M(A)):\|(1-b)+R\|>0\}$. Then $Y$ is a neighbourhood of $Q$ in $\operatorname{Prim}(M(A))$. If $P \in \operatorname{Prim}(A)$ and $K:=\phi_{A}(P) \notin U$ then $1-b \in \tilde{K} \subseteq \tilde{P}$ and so $\tilde{P} \notin Y$. For (ii), suppose that $\tilde{Q}_{\alpha} \rightarrow Q$. Then eventually $\tilde{Q}_{\alpha} \in Y$ and so $Q_{\alpha} \in \phi_{A}^{-1}(U) \subseteq V$.

Finally, suppose that $T \in \operatorname{Prim}(M(A))$ with $T \sim Q$. By the density of $\{\tilde{P}: P \in \operatorname{Prim}(A)\}$ in $\operatorname{Prim}(M(A))$ there exists a net $\left(Q_{\alpha}\right)$ in $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$ such that $\tilde{Q}_{\alpha} \rightarrow Q, T$. By (ii), eventually $Q_{\alpha} \in V$. Hence $T$ lies in the closure of $\tilde{V}$ in $\operatorname{Prim}(M(A))$.

We come now to the main theorem of this section. In the course of this, we shall need the fact that if $A$ is a $C^{*}$-algebra and $G$ is a $\sigma$-unital Glimm ideal of $A$ then $\operatorname{Glimm}(A) \backslash\{G\}$ is a normal subspace of $\operatorname{Glimm}(A)$. To see this, first note that the complete regularity of the Hausdorff space $\operatorname{Glimm}(A)$ passes to any subspace. Secondly, $\operatorname{Glimm}(A) \backslash\{G\}$ is the image under $\phi_{A}$ of the set $\{P \in \operatorname{Prim}(A): P \nsupseteq G\}$ which is homeomorphic to $\operatorname{Prim}(G)$ and therefore $\sigma$-compact. Thus $\operatorname{Glimm}(A) \backslash\{G\}$ is a $\sigma$-compact, completely regular Hausdorff space and is therefore normal (see [25,3D] or [33, Ch.2, Proposition 1.6]). If $A$ is separable, this applies to any $G \in \operatorname{Glimm}(A)$. Incidentally, it is always the case that $\operatorname{Glimm}(A) \backslash\{G\}$ is canonically homeomorphic to $\operatorname{Glimm}(G)$ but we have avoided the need to prove that here.

Theorem 7.6. Let $A$ be separable $C^{*}$-algebra having properties (1) and (2) above, and with $D(A) \geq 1$. Then $\operatorname{Orc}(M(A))=D(A)+1$.
Proof. By Theorem 7.3, $\operatorname{Orc}(M(A)) \leq D(A)+1$. Thus it suffices to show that $\operatorname{Orc}(M(A)) \geq$ $D(A)+1$ and for this we may assume that $\operatorname{Orc}(M(A))<\infty$. Then it follows from Theorem 4.6 that $\operatorname{Orc}(A)<\infty$ (and so $D(A)<\infty$ ) and from Proposition 4.3 that $\phi_{A}$ is a closed map.

We begin by considering the case $D(A)=1$. Suppose that $\operatorname{Orc}(M(A))=1$. By $[6$, Corollary 2.4] and property (2), $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$ is discrete and so $D(A)=0$, a contradiction. Thus $\operatorname{Orc}(M(A)) \geq 2$, as required.

Now suppose that $D(A)=2$. Let $I, J \in \operatorname{Sub}(A)$ with $d^{*}(I, J)=2$. Let $G$ be the unique Glimm ideal such that $I \cap J \supseteq G$ and set $X_{G}=\operatorname{Prim}(A / G)$. Set $X=\operatorname{Prim}(A / I)$ and $Y=\operatorname{Prim}(A / J)$. Then $X$ and $Y$ are disjoint closed subsets of $X_{G}$. Since $X_{G}$ is not a singleton, $G \notin S$ and $X_{G} \cap S=\emptyset$. Let $\left(P_{n}\right)$ and $\left(Q_{n}\right)$ be sequences in $S$ with $P_{n} \rightarrow I$ $\left(\tau_{s}\right)$ and $Q_{n} \rightarrow J\left(\tau_{s}\right)$. Since $I \neq J$ and $\tau_{s}$ is Hausdorff, we may assume that the sets
$X^{\prime}=\left\{P_{n}: n \geq 1\right\}$ and $\left.Y^{\prime}=\left\{Q_{n}: n \geq 1\right\}\right)$ are disjoint. By an observation preceding Lemma 7.5, $X^{\prime} \cup X$ and $Y^{\prime} \cup Y$ are closed subsets of $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$. Since $\phi_{A}$ is closed, $\phi_{A}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$ and $\phi_{A}\left(Y^{\prime}\right)$ are disjoint closed subsets of the normal space $\operatorname{Glimm}(A) \backslash\{G\}$. By two applications of normality, there exist disjoint open subsets $U^{\prime}$ and $V^{\prime}$ of $\operatorname{Glimm}(A) \backslash\{G\}$ such that $X^{\prime}=\phi_{A}\left(X^{\prime}\right) \subseteq U^{\prime}$ and $Y^{\prime}=\phi_{A}\left(Y^{\prime}\right) \subseteq V^{\prime}$ and such that the closures of $U^{\prime}$ and $V^{\prime}$ in $\operatorname{Glimm}(A) \backslash\{G\}$ are disjoint. Set $U^{\prime \prime}=\phi_{A}^{-1}\left(U^{\prime}\right)$ and $V^{\prime \prime}=\phi_{A}^{-1}\left(V^{\prime}\right)$.

If $P, Q \in \operatorname{Prim}(A) \backslash X$ and $P \sim Q$ relative to the space $\operatorname{Prim}(A) \backslash X$ then $P \sim Q$ in $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$ and hence $P \approx Q$ in $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$. Hence $X^{\prime}$ and $X_{G} \backslash X$ are relatively closed and $\sim$-saturated subsets of the space $\operatorname{Prim}(A) \backslash X$ which is homeomorphic to $\operatorname{Prim}(I)$. Since $I$ is a separable $\mathrm{C}^{*}$-algebra, $\operatorname{Prim}(I)$ is $\sigma$-compact and so it follows from Lemma 4.2 that there exist disjoint open sets $U^{\prime \prime \prime}$ and $W$ in $\operatorname{Prim}(A) \backslash X$ such that $X^{\prime} \subseteq U^{\prime \prime \prime}$ and $X_{G} \backslash X \subseteq W$. Similarly there exist disjoint open sets $V^{\prime \prime \prime}$ and $W^{\prime}$ in $\operatorname{Prim}(A) \backslash Y$ such that $Y^{\prime} \subseteq V^{\prime \prime \prime}$ and $X_{G} \backslash Y \subseteq W^{\prime}$. Set $U=U^{\prime \prime} \cap U^{\prime \prime \prime}$ and $V=V^{\prime \prime} \cap V^{\prime \prime \prime}$. Then $U$ and $V$ are open subsets of $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$ with $P_{n} \in U$, and $Q_{n} \in V$ for all $n$. Since $U \subseteq \phi_{A}^{-1}\left(U^{\prime}\right) \subseteq \phi_{A}^{-1}(\operatorname{Glimm}(A) \backslash\{G\})$, we have $U \cap X_{G}=\emptyset$ and similarly $V \cap X_{G}=\emptyset$. It follows from Lemma 7.5 that there exist $R, T \in \operatorname{Prim}(M(A))$ with $R, T \supseteq H_{G}$ such that $\{R\}^{1}$ lies in the closure of $\tilde{U}$ in $\operatorname{Prim}(M(A))$ and $\{T\}^{1}$ lies in the closure of $\tilde{V}$.

Suppose that $P \in \bar{U} \cap \bar{V}$ (where the closures are taken in $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$ ). Then $\phi_{A}(P) \in$ $\overline{U^{\prime}} \cap \overline{V^{\prime}}=\{G\}$ (where the closures are taken in $\operatorname{Glimm}(A)$ ) and so $P \supseteq G$. On the other hand, by the properties of $U^{\prime \prime \prime}$ and $W, P \notin X_{G} \backslash X$ and similarly $P \notin X_{G} \backslash Y$. Since $X$ and $Y$ are disjoint subsets of $X_{G}$, we have $P \notin X_{G}$, a contradiction. Thus $\bar{U} \cap \bar{V}=\emptyset$ and hence the closures of $\tilde{U}$ and $\tilde{V}$ in $\operatorname{Prim}(M(A))$ are disjoint by Lemma 4.5. Hence $\{R\}^{1}$ and $\{T\}^{1}$ are disjoint and thus $d_{M(A)}(R, T) \geq 3$. Since $\operatorname{Orc}(M(A))<\infty$, Glimm classes are $\sim$-connected in $\operatorname{Prim}(M(A))$ [35, Corollary 2.7], and hence $\operatorname{Orc}(M(A)) \geq 3$ as required in this case.

Finally suppose that $D(A)=k \geq 3$. Let $I, J \in \operatorname{Sub}(A)$ with and $d^{*}(I, J)=k$ and let $G$ be the unique Glimm ideal such that $I \cap J \supseteq G$. Set $X=\operatorname{Prim}(A / I)$ and $Y=\operatorname{Prim}(A / J)$. Then $X$ and $Y$ are disjoint closed subsets of $X_{G}:=\operatorname{Prim}(A / G), d_{A}(X, Y)=k-1, G \notin S$ and $X_{G} \cap S=\emptyset$. The sets $X$ and $Y$ are $\sim$-connected since $I$ and $J$ are primal, and hence $X \cup Y$ is $\sim$-connected since $d_{A}(X, Y)<\infty$. By Lemma 4.4, there is an admissible chain $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k-1}$ of closed subsets of $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$ with $X \subseteq X_{1} \backslash X_{2}$ and $Y \subseteq X_{k-1} \backslash X_{k-2}$. For $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, let $Z_{i}$ be the closure of $\tilde{X}_{i}$ in $\operatorname{Prim}(M(A))$. Then as in the proof of Theorem 4.6 we have that $Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{k-1}$ is a chain on $\operatorname{Prim}(M(A))$ of length $k-1$.

Let $\left(P_{n}\right)$ be a sequence in the dense subset $S$ with $P_{n} \rightarrow I\left(\tau_{s}\right)$. Since $X_{1} \backslash X_{2}$ is an open set containing $\operatorname{Prim}(A / I)$ we may assume that $P_{n} \in X_{1} \backslash X_{2}$ for all $n$. Set $X^{\prime}=\left\{P_{n}: n \geq 1\right\}$. Then $X^{\prime}$ and $X_{2}$ are disjoint. As before, $X^{\prime}$ is a relatively closed and $\sim$-saturated subset of the space $\operatorname{Prim}(A) \backslash X$ which is homeomorphic to the $\sigma$-compact space $\operatorname{Prim}(I)$. Let $E=\left(\left(X_{1} \cap X_{G}\right) \backslash X\right) \cup X_{2} \cup \ldots \cup X_{k-1}$, a relatively closed subset of $\operatorname{Prim}(A) \backslash X$ disjoint from $X^{\prime}$. So $E=F \cap(\operatorname{Prim}(A) \backslash X)$ ) for some closed subset $F$ of $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$ disjoint from $X^{\prime}$. Since $X^{\prime}$ is a $\sim$-saturated subset of $\operatorname{Prim}(A), F^{1}$ is disjoint from $X^{\prime}$ and is closed in $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$ by property (1). Now suppose that $P \in \overline{E^{1}}$ (where both operations are taken relative to the space $\operatorname{Prim}(A) \backslash X)$. Then, in $\operatorname{Prim}(A), P \in \overline{F^{1}}=F^{1}$ and so $P \notin X^{\prime}$. Hence by Lemma 4.2 there exist disjoint open subsets $U$ and $V$ of $\operatorname{Prim}(A) \backslash X$ such that $X^{\prime} \subseteq U$ and $E=\left(\left(X_{1} \cap X_{G}\right) \backslash X\right) \cup X_{2} \cup \ldots \cup X_{k-1} \subseteq V$.

By Lemma 7.5 there exists $R \in \operatorname{Prim}(M(A))$ such that $R \supseteq H_{G}$ and $\{R\}^{1}$ is contained in the closure of $\tilde{U}$ in $\operatorname{Prim}(M(A))$. But $\bar{U} \subseteq \operatorname{Prim}(A) \backslash V$ (where $\bar{U}$ denotes the closure of $U$
in $\operatorname{Prim}(A))$, so $\bar{U} \cap\left(X_{2} \cup \ldots \cup X_{k-1}\right)$ is empty. Hence, by Lemma $4.5,\{R\}^{1}$ does not meet $Z_{2} \cup \ldots \cup Z_{k-1}$. Similarly there exists $T \in \operatorname{Prim}(M(A))$ such that $T \supseteq H_{G}$ and $\{T\}^{1}$ does not meet $Z_{1} \cup \ldots \cup Z_{k-2}$. Since $Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{k-1}$ is a chain on $\operatorname{Prim}(M(A)),\{R\}^{1} \subseteq Z_{1} \backslash Z_{2}$. But $Z_{1} \backslash Z_{2}$ and $\operatorname{Prim}(M(A)) \backslash Z_{1}$ are disjoint open subsets of $\operatorname{Prim}(M(A))$ and so $\{R\}^{2} \subseteq Z_{1}$. Proceeding inductively, we obtain that $\{R\}^{i} \subseteq Z_{1} \cup \ldots \cup Z_{i-1}$ for $2 \leq i \leq k-1$. Thus $\{R\}^{k-1} \cap\{T\}^{1}=\emptyset$ and so $d_{M(A)}(R, T) \geq k+1$. Since $\operatorname{Orc}(M(A)<\infty, \operatorname{Orc}(M(A)) \geq k+1$ as required.

## 8. The cases $N \equiv 1$ and $N \equiv 3 \bmod 4$.

In this section, we finally complete the computation of $K\left(M\left(C^{*}\left(G_{N}\right)\right)\right)$. The next result will be used in Theorems 8.3 and 8.2 to show that if $A=C^{*}\left(G_{N}\right)$ and $N$ is odd then

$$
K(M(A))=K_{s}(M(A))=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Orc}(M(A))=\frac{N+1}{4} .
$$

Proposition 8.1. Let $A=C^{*}\left(G_{N}\right)$ with $N$ odd. Then $D(A) \geq \frac{N-1}{2}$.
Proof. Let $N=2 k+1$ and suppose first of all that $k>1$. Since $S O(N)^{\wedge}$ is a $\sim$-connected subset of $\widehat{G_{N}}$ (Theorem 3.3), the ideals $I_{0,(0, \ldots, 0)}$ and $I_{0,(1, \ldots, 1)}$ belong to the same $*$-component of $\operatorname{Sub}(A)$ (in fact, it is easy to exhibit a $*$-walk between them via the ideals $I_{0,(1, \ldots, 1,0 \ldots, 0)}$ ).

Suppose that $0 \leq i \leq k-2$ and that $\sigma=\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k}\right)$ and $\sigma^{\prime}=\left(p_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, p_{k}^{\prime}\right)$ are elements of $S O(N-1)^{\wedge}$ such that $I_{0, \sigma^{\prime}} * I_{0, \sigma^{\prime}}$ and $p_{j}=0$ for $i<j \leq k$. Then there exists $\pi \in S O(N)^{\wedge}$ such that $\left.\pi\right|_{S O(N-1)} \geq \sigma$ and $\left.\pi\right|_{S O(N-1)} \geq \sigma^{\prime}$. So there exist integers $m_{1} \geq m_{2} \geq \ldots \geq m_{k} \geq 0$ such that

$$
m_{1} \geq p_{1} \geq m_{2} \geq \ldots \geq p_{i} \geq m_{i+1} \geq 0 \geq m_{i+2}
$$

and

$$
m_{1} \geq p_{1}^{\prime} \geq \ldots \geq m_{i+2} \geq p_{i+2}^{\prime} \geq \ldots \geq m_{k} \geq p_{k}^{\prime} \geq-m_{k}
$$

Thus $m_{i+2}=\ldots=m_{k}=0$ and so $p_{i+2}^{\prime}=\ldots=p_{k}^{\prime}=0$. It follows that

$$
d^{*}\left(I_{0,(0, \ldots, 0)}, I_{0,(1, \ldots, 1)}\right) \geq k
$$

and so $D(A) \geq k$ as required.
In the case $k=1$, consideration of the inequalities $m_{1} \geq 1 \geq-m_{1}$ and $m_{1} \geq 0 \geq-m_{1}$ shows that the hull of $I_{0,(1)}$ is strictly contained in the hull of $I_{0,(0)}$. Thus $I_{0,(1)}$ strictly contains $I_{0,(0)}$ and so $D(A) \neq 0$.

Our final two results are similar in nature to Theorems 6.2 and 6.3 but the proofs differ from these in the following respects. Firstly, since $N$ is now odd, the checking of the hypotheses of Theorems 5.1 and 5.3 is somewhat different and so we give the details. Secondly, we use Proposition 8.1 and Theorem 7.6 in place of Corollary 4.7.
Theorem 8.2. Let $A=C^{*}\left(G_{N}\right)$ where $N \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$. Then

$$
K(M(A))=K_{s}(M(A))=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Orc}(M(A))=\frac{N+1}{4} .
$$

Proof. Let $k=\frac{N-1}{2}$ and $m=\frac{N-3}{4}$ so that $N=2 k+1$ and $k=2 m+1$. We begin with the case $m \neq 0(N \geq 7)$. We aim to show that $A$ satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 5.1 with $n=m$. Let $I^{(1)}, I^{(2)}, I^{(3)} \in \operatorname{Sub}(A)$ with $I^{(1)}, I^{(2)}, I^{(3)}$ all containing the same Glimm ideal
$G$. If $G$ is one of the separated points of $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$ then $I^{(1)}=I^{(2)}=I^{(3)}=G$ and we may take $S^{(1)}=S^{(2)}=S^{(3)}=T=G$.

We may suppose therefore that $G=I_{0}$ (see Theorem 3.3) and that $I^{(1)}=I_{0, \sigma}, I^{(2)}=I_{0, \sigma^{\prime}}$, and $I^{(3)}=I_{0, \sigma^{\prime \prime}}$ for some $\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime \prime} \in S O(N-1)^{\wedge}$ by Proposition 6.1. Let $\sigma=\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k}\right)$, $\sigma^{\prime}=\left(p_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, p_{k}^{\prime}\right)$, and $\sigma^{\prime \prime}=\left(p_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, p_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Set $P_{i}=\max \left\{p_{i}, p_{i}^{\prime}, p_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq m+1$. Define $\pi=\left(P_{1}, p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{k-1}\right) \in S O(N)^{\wedge}$ and similarly $\pi^{\prime}$ and $\pi^{\prime \prime}$ (replacing the $p_{j}$ by $p_{j}^{\prime}$ and $p_{j}^{\prime \prime}$ respectively). Since $\left.\pi\right|_{S O(N-1)} \geq \sigma$, $\operatorname{ker} \pi \supseteq I^{1}$. Similarly, $\operatorname{ker} \pi^{\prime} \supseteq I^{2}$ and $\operatorname{ker} \pi^{\prime \prime} \supseteq I^{3}$.

There is an $m$-step $\sim$-walk in $\widehat{G_{N}}$ from each of $\pi, \pi^{\prime}, \pi^{\prime \prime}$ to $\rho:=\left(P_{1}, P_{2}, \ldots, P_{m+1}, 0, \ldots, 0\right) \in$ $S O(N)^{\wedge}$. To see this, note that for $1 \leq i \leq m-1$,

$$
\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{i}, p_{i}, p_{i+1}, \ldots, p_{k-i}, 0, \ldots, 0\right) \sim\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{i}, P_{i+1}, p_{i+1}, \ldots, p_{k-1-i}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)
$$

because the restrictions to $S O(N-1)$ contain $\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{i}, p_{i+1}, \ldots, p_{k-i}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)$, and finally

$$
\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{m}, p_{m}, p_{m+1}, 0, \ldots, 0\right) \sim\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{m+1}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)=\rho
$$

because the restrictions to $S O(N-1)$ contain $\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{m}, p_{m+1}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)$. Similar arguments apply to $\pi^{\prime}$ and $\pi^{\prime \prime}$, replacing the $p_{j}$ by $p_{j}^{\prime}$ and $p_{j}^{\prime \prime}$ (respectively).

Taking $S^{(1)}=\operatorname{ker} \pi, S^{(2)}=\operatorname{ker} \pi^{\prime}, S^{(3)}=\operatorname{ker} \pi^{\prime \prime}$ and $T=\operatorname{ker} \rho$, we have satisfied the hypotheses of Theorem 5.1. Thus $K(M(A)) \leq m+1=\frac{N+1}{4}$. Combining this with Proposition 8.1, Theorem 7.6 and [35, Theorem 4.4], we have

$$
K(M(A)) \leq \frac{N+1}{4} \leq \frac{D(A)+1}{2}=\frac{\operatorname{Orc}(M(A))}{2}=K_{s}(M(A)) \leq K(M(A))
$$

and hence equality throughout.
For the simpler case $N=3$, it suffices to check the hypothesis of Theorem 5.1 for $G=I_{0}$ (the only non-maximal Glimm ideal of $A$ ). So let $I^{(1)}=I_{0,(q)}, I^{(2)}=I_{0,\left(q^{\prime}\right)}$ and $I^{(3)}=I_{0,\left(q^{\prime \prime}\right)}$ where $(q),\left(q^{\prime}\right),\left(q^{\prime \prime}\right) \in S O(2)^{\wedge}$. Let $Q=\max \left\{|q|,\left|q^{\prime}\right|,\left|q^{\prime \prime}\right|\right\}$ and let $\pi=(Q) \in S O(3)^{\wedge}$. Then $\left.\pi\right|_{S O(2)}$ contains $(q),\left(q^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(q^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and so $\operatorname{ker} \pi \supseteq I^{(1)}+I^{(2)}+I^{(3)}$. Using Theorem 5.1 and arguing as above, we have

$$
K(M(A)) \leq 1 \leq \frac{D(A)+1}{2}=\frac{\operatorname{Orc}(M(A))}{2}=K_{s}(M(A)) \leq K(M(A))
$$

and hence equality throughout.
Theorem 8.3. Let $A=C^{*}\left(G_{N}\right)$ where $N \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ and $N \geq 5$. Then

$$
K(M(A))=K_{s}(M(A))=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Orc}(M(A))=\frac{N+1}{4} .
$$

Proof. Let $k=\frac{N-1}{2}$ and $m=\frac{N-1}{4}$, so that $N=2 k+1$ and $k=2 m$. We begin with the case $N \geq 9$ so that $m>1$. We aim to show that $A$ satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 5.3 with $n=m-1$. Let $I^{(1)}, I^{(2)}, I^{(3)} \in \operatorname{Sub}(A)$ with $I^{(1)}, I^{(2)}, I^{(3)}$ all containing the same Glimm ideal $G$. If $G$ is one of the separated points of $\operatorname{Prim}(A)$ then $I^{(1)}=I^{(2)}=I^{(3)}=G$ and we may take $S^{(i)}=T^{(i)}=G(1 \leq i \leq 3)$ so that $T^{(1)} \cap T^{(2)} \cap T^{(3)}=G$ which is primal.

We may suppose therefore that $G=I_{0}$ (see Theorem 3.3) and that $I^{(1)}=I_{0, \sigma}, I^{(2)}=I_{0, \sigma^{\prime}}$, and $I^{(3)}=I_{0, \sigma^{\prime \prime}}$ for some $\sigma, \sigma^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime \prime} \in S O(N-1)^{\wedge}$ by Proposition 6.1. Let $\sigma=\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k}\right)$, $\sigma^{\prime}=\left(p_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, p_{k}^{\prime}\right)$, and $\sigma^{\prime \prime}=\left(p_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, p_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Set $P_{i}=\max \left\{p_{i}, p_{i}^{\prime}, p_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. Define $\pi=\left(P_{1}, p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{k-1}\right) \in S O(N)^{\wedge}$ and similarly $\pi^{\prime}$ and $\pi^{\prime \prime}$ (replacing the $p_{j}$ by $p_{j}^{\prime}$ and $p_{j}^{\prime \prime}$ respectively). Since $\left.\pi\right|_{S O(N-1)} \geq \sigma, \operatorname{ker} \pi \supseteq I^{(1)}$. Similarly, $\operatorname{ker} \pi^{\prime} \supseteq I^{(2)}$ and $\operatorname{ker} \pi^{\prime \prime} \supseteq I^{(3)}$.

There is an $(m-1)$-step $\sim$-walk in $\widehat{G_{N}}$ from $\pi$ to $\rho:=\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{m}, p_{m+1}, 0, \ldots, 0\right) \in$ $S O(N)^{\wedge}$. To see this, note that for $1 \leq i \leq m-1$,

$$
\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{i}, p_{i}, \ldots, p_{k-i}, 0, \ldots, 0\right) \sim\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{i}, P_{i+1}, p_{i+1}, \ldots, p_{k-1-i}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)
$$

because the restrictions to $S O(N-1)$ contain $\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{i}, p_{i+1}, \ldots, p_{k-i}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)$. Similarly, there is an $(m-1)$-step $\sim$-walk from $\pi^{\prime}$ to $\rho^{\prime}:=\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{m}, p_{m+1}^{\prime}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)$ and from $\pi^{\prime \prime}$ to $\rho^{\prime \prime}:=\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{m}, p_{m+1}^{\prime \prime}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)$.

The restrictions of $\rho, \rho^{\prime}$ and $\rho^{\prime \prime}$ to $S O(N-1)$ contain $\left(P_{1}, \ldots, P_{m}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)$ as a common subrepresentation and so $\operatorname{ker} \rho \cap \operatorname{ker} \rho^{\prime} \cap \operatorname{ker} \rho^{\prime \prime}$ is a primal ideal of $A$, as in the proof of Theorem 6.3.

Taking $S^{(1)}=\operatorname{ker} \pi, S^{(2)}=\operatorname{ker} \pi^{\prime}, S^{(3)}=\operatorname{ker} \pi^{\prime \prime}$ and $T^{(1)}=\operatorname{ker} \rho, T^{(2)}=\operatorname{ker} \rho^{\prime}, T^{(3)}=$ ker $\rho^{\prime \prime}$, we have satisfied the hypotheses of Theorem 5.3. Thus $K(M(A)) \leq(m-1)+$ $3 / 2=\frac{N+1}{4}$. Combining this with Proposition 8.1, Theorem 7.6 (see the remark preceding Lemma 7.5) and [35, Theorem 4.4], we have

$$
K(M(A)) \leq \frac{N+1}{4} \leq \frac{D(A)+1}{2}=\frac{\operatorname{Orc}(M(A))}{2}=K_{s}(M(A)) \leq K(M(A))
$$

and hence equality throughout.
For the simpler case $N=5$, it again suffices to check the hypothesis of Theorem 5.3 for the Glimm ideal $G=I_{0}$. With notation as above, we have that the restrictions to $S O(4)$ of $\pi=\left(P_{1}, p_{1},\right), \pi^{\prime}=\left(P_{1}, p_{1}^{\prime},\right)$ and $\pi^{\prime \prime}=\left(P_{1}, p_{1}^{\prime \prime},\right)$ contain $\left(P_{1}, 0\right)$ as a common subrepresentation and so the ideal $\operatorname{ker} \pi \cap \operatorname{ker} \pi^{\prime} \cap \operatorname{ker} \pi^{\prime \prime}$ is primal. Applying Theorem 5.3 in the case $n=0$, we have

$$
K(M(A)) \leq \frac{3}{2} \leq \frac{D(A)+1}{2}=\frac{\operatorname{Orc}(M(A))}{2}=K_{s}(M(A)) \leq K(M(A))
$$

and hence equality throughout.
In the case when $N$ is odd, it can be shown by direct arguments that $D(A) \leq \frac{N-1}{2}$ (so that $D(A)=\frac{N-1}{2}$ ). However, this inequality can also be obtained indirectly from Theorem 7.6 and the fact that $\operatorname{Orc}(M(A))=\frac{N+1}{2}$ (Theorems 8.3 and 8.2). In the case when $N$ is even, direct arguments show that $D(A)=\frac{N}{2}-1$. It follows that Theorems 6.2 and 6.3 could be proved by using Theorem 7.6 rather than the more elementary Corollary 4.7. However, since Theorem 7.6 uses Theorem 4.6, no saving would be gained by adopting this more complicated approach.
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