Elsevier Editorial System(tm) for Fish and

Shellfish Immunology

Manuscript Draft

Manuscript Number: FSIM-D-18-00347R1

Title: Gene expression analysis of isolated salmonid GALT leucocytes in response to PAMPs and recombinant cytokines

Article Type: Full Length Article

Keywords: GALT leucocytes; Isolation; Salmonids; PAMPs; Recombinant cytokines; Gene expression

Corresponding Author: Professor Christopher John Secombes, BSc, PhD, DSc

Corresponding Author's Institution: University of Aberdeen

First Author: Ahmed Attaya

Order of Authors: Ahmed Attaya; Tiehui Wang; Jun Zou; Tharangani Herath; Alexandra Adams; Chris J Secombes; Sohye Yoon

Abstract: Increased knowledge of the immune response of the intestine, a physiologically critical organ involved in absorption, secretion and homeostasis in a non-sterile environment, is needed to better understand the mechanisms involved in the induction of long-lasting immunity and, subsequently, the development of efficacious gastrointestinal immunization approaches. To this end, analysis of isolated gut cells will give an insight into the cell types present and their immune capability. Hence, in this study we first optimised a method for salmonid gut leucocyte isolation and characterised the cells on the basis of their expression of a range of selected cell markers associated with T & B cells and dendritic cells. The GALT leucocytes were then stimulated with a variety of PAMPs, recombinant cytokines and PHA, as a means to help characterise the diversity of the immune repertoire present in such cells. The stimulants tested were designed to examine the nature of the antibacterial, antiviral and T cell type responses in the cells (at the transcript level) using a panel of genes relevant to innate and adaptive immunity. The results showed distinct responses to the stimulants, with a clear delineation seen between the stimulant used (eq viral or bacterial PAMP) and the pathway elicited. The changes in the expression patterns of the immune genes in these cells indicates that the salmonid intestine contains a good repertoire of competent immune cells able to respond to different pathogen types. Such information may aid the development of efficient priming by oral vaccination in salmonids.

30<sup>th</sup> May 2018

Dr Ikuo Hirono,

Editor, FSI

Dear Ikuo,

Please find enclosed our revised manuscript which we resubmit for publication in FSI. We thanks the reviewers for their comments which have strengthened the paper. We believe we have made the changes required, including two additional figures showing the FACS and TEM images of the GALT cells as requested by reviewer 2.

Yours sincerely,

Chris

Professor CJ Secombes

## Highlights

- A method to isolate salmonid GALT leucocytes has been optimised.
- The isolated cells express typical markers of T & B cells and dendritic cells.
- Stimulation studies revealed distinct responses to PAMPs and T cell stimulants.
- The salmonid gut has a good repertoire of responses to different pathogen types.

Response to reviewer comments for FSIM-D-18-00347

Reviewer #1:

1. Authors used the GALT leucocyte isolated from rainbow trout and Atlantic salmon to evaluate the efficiency of protocol, however, the results are slightly different. For example, the gene expression pattern in Fig. 3C and Fig5 shows that slgM transcripts have lower expression in Atlantic salmon, which suggest the results of protocol evaluated in rainbow trout GALT leucocyte may be different with that in Atlantic salmon GALT leucocyte.

A comment has been added to the discussion. It is not clear if this is a species difference or relates to the size/age of the fish used.

2. In Fig. 4C, TNF-<alpha>2 expression pattern in protocol-3 show contrary to others. Regarding the biological significance of quantitative expression, the result could be affected by collecting time of samples. Furthermore, does it mean this method may also influence the immune cell subpopulation in GALT leucocyte?

A comment has been added to the discussion. We believe the difference may be due to the cell pooling in protocols-1 and -2, and this is now discussed.

3. Please explain the function and reason why use IL-2B as stimulator.

It has known bioactivity for fish leucocytes form other tissues. This is now added to the methods. The same also applied to use of IL-1B1.

4. In Fig. 6 and 7, authors mentioned doses used were previously optimal for trout cells, however, are they also optimal for Atlantic salmon GALT leucocyte? Again, as mentioned in comment 2, the result could be affected by collecting time of samples.

Yes, these doses are also optimal for salmon cells and this has now been added to the methods section.

5. The reference format and style are need to revise according to journal submission guideline.

The reference format has been modified.

Reviewer #2: Answer to FSIM-D-18-00347

1. The title is not representative of the experimental plan, based entirely on modulation of transcripts, and should be changed in "gene expression analysis of isolated salmonid GALT leucocytes to PAMPs and recombinant cytokines.

The title has been changed as suggested.

2. The experimental plan, based on purification of cells from a difficult tissues, lacks of visual presentation of obtained products. A flow cytometry analysis describing the FSC-SSC profiles of obtained populations must be included, together with microscopy pictures of obtained cells. These visual analysis will be also of help to better interpretate results of Figure 4.

Two new figures showing the FSC-SSC profiles and images from TEM of the cells have now been added to the paper, with appropriate text added to the Methods and Results.

3. An in vitro cell proliferation assay (e.g. employing CFSE flow-cytometric system) should be included to better interpretate results shown in Figures 7C, 7D).

We make no statements about cell proliferation in the paper and so do not feel this is within the scope of what we report.

4. The manuscript does not report important papers that described purification and features of intestinal leukocytes of fish intestine like:

Scapigliati G, et al. Immunopurification of T-cells from sea bass Dicentrarchus labrax (L.). Fish Shellfish Immunol. 2000 May;10(4):329-41 Picchietti S et al. Intestinal T cells of Dicentrarchus labrax (L.): Gene expression and functional studies. Fish Shellfish Immunol. 30: 609-617, 2011. Boschi I. et al. Transcription of T cell-related genes in teleost fish, and the european sea bass (Dicentrarchus labrax) as a model. Fish Shellfish Immunol. 31: 655-662, 2011. These works should be cited, and results obtained in the current manuscript should be commented in the light of these previous works.

These papers have now been included and the results mentioned in the Introduction and Discussion in comparison to our results.

5. The discussion should also report the knowledge on the functionality of intestinal lymphocytes (e.g. spontaneous/antigen-induced somatic recombination, secretion of IgM, IgT) and related references.

Further work on gut lymphocyte function has now been added to the paper.

Gene expression analysis of isolated salmonid GALT leucocytes in response to PAMPs and recombinant cytokines

Attaya, A.<sup>1</sup>, Wang, T.<sup>1</sup>, Zou, J.<sup>1</sup>, Herath, T.<sup>2\$</sup>, Adams, A.<sup>2</sup>, Secombes, C.J.<sup>1\*</sup> & Yoon, S.<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Scottish Fish Immunology Research Centre, Institute of Biological and Environmental Sciences, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen AB24 2TZ, UK.

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Aquaculture, University of Stirling, Stirling, FK9 4LA, UK.

\*Joint corresponding author.

<sup>\$</sup>Present address: Department of Animal Production, Welfare and Veterinary Sciences, Harper Adams University, Newport, Shropshire, TF10 8NB, UK.

# Abstract

Increased knowledge of the immune response of the intestine, a physiologically critical organ involved in absorption, secretion and homeostasis in a non-sterile environment, is needed to better understand the mechanisms involved in the induction of long-lasting immunity and, subsequently, the development of efficacious gastrointestinal immunization approaches. To this end, analysis of isolated gut cells will give an insight into the cell types present and their immune capability. Hence, in this study we first optimised a method for salmonid gut leucocyte isolation and characterised the cells on the basis of their expression of a range of selected cell markers associated with T & B cells and dendritic cells. The GALT leucocytes were then stimulated with a variety of PAMPs, recombinant cytokines and PHA, as a means to help characterise the diversity of the immune repertoire present in such cells. The stimulants tested were designed to examine the nature of the antibacterial, antiviral and T cell type responses in the cells (at the transcript level) using a panel of genes relevant to innate and adaptive immunity. The results showed distinct responses to the stimulants, with a clear delineation seen between the stimulant used (eg viral or bacterial PAMP) and the pathway elicited. The changes in the expression patterns of the immune genes in these cells indicates that the salmonid intestine contains a good repertoire of competent immune cells able to respond to different pathogen types. Such information may aid the development of efficient priming by oral vaccination in salmonids.

# Keywords

GALT leucocytes; Isolation; Salmonids; PAMPs; Recombinant cytokines; Gene expression

### Introduction

Despite marked progress in our understanding of the teleost immune system over the last decade, the gut immune response is still not well-understood. From an immune perspective the teleost gut is structurally and morphologically different from the mammalian gut, in that it lacks lymph nodes, Peyer's patches, M cells and IgA secreting lymphocytes, but it does possess gut-associated lymphoid tissue (GALT) that consists of individual and aggregated cells scattered in the lamina propria (lamina propria leucocytes, LPL) and within the epithelia (intraepithelial leucocytes, IEL) [1,2,3]. These cells are diverse and different to those in other immune tissues, such as the spleen and head kidney [2,4], and include lymphocytes, macrophages, eosinophilic & neutrophilic granulocytes, and antigen sampling cells that resemble immature M cells [5,6,7,8,9]. Most studies have used transcript analysis to define the responses occurring in the gut post infection or oral vaccination [10,11,12]. A few have used immunohistochemistry, as with the identification and characterisation of IgT+ B cells that increase in number during parasite infection [13,14]. Further study of these leucocytes and their bioactivities, the molecules they express and secrete, and the way immune responses are established are needed to enable a better understanding of the immune response in the gut [3,15]. Such information will help drive the development of effective oral vaccination strategies, in terms of efficacy, affordability, mass administration and safety.

One approach to study GALT cell immune responsiveness is to isolate the cells and determine their functionality following in vivo or in vitro stimulation. There are a few reports on isolated IEL and LPL from fish intestine, using methods modified from protocols for isolating such cells from the mammalian GALT [16,17]. For example, in rainbow trout IEL have been isolated and shown to exhibit phagocytosis and reactive oxygen species (ROS) production [18,19], cytotoxicity against a murine thymoma (EL4) cell line [20] and to express a range of T cell markers [21]. TCRβ transcripts in trout IEL were highly diverse and showed marked changes in the TCRβ repertoire after viral infection. Similarly in sea bass lymphocytes isolated from the intestinal mucosa have been shown to have cytotoxic activity against xenogenic and allogeneic targets [22]. Attempts to purify sea bass intestinal T cells with the DTL15 monoclonal antibody showed that such cells had enhanced expression of TCRβ, CD8α and RAG-1 relative to DLT15- cells [23]. In seabream, isolated GALT cells were shown to undergo ROS production, and could be separated into three subpopulations by flow cytometry (FSC/SSC) analysis [24]. Rather few (<10%) B cells are reported in isolated GALT cells [7,13], which in rainbow trout have similar numbers of IgT+ and IgM+ populations (~54% vs ~46%) in cells from unstimulated fish [13]. Following vaccination specific antibody secreting cells can be detected in these isolated cells, with the kinetics varying dependent upon the route of delivery used [25]. Whilst such studies give clues to the function of the cells present, clearly there is still much to be learnt about GALT cell responses in fish.

In the present study we have examined further the methods used to obtain salmonid GALT cells, to obtain high yields of viable cells for experimentation, in a time and cost-effective manner. We first characterised the cells obtained in terms of their expression of T-cell, B-cell and dendritic cell (DC) markers, and then studied their responsiveness to a range of pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs), cytokines and phytohaemagglutinin, to examine whether it is possible to detect antibacterial, antiviral and T cell responses in such cells. The results revealed clear responses to the different stimulants, with differential effects apparent in the target gene expression profiles dependent upon the stimulus.

# Materials and methods

#### 1. Fish

Rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*), average weight 250 g, were used for optimizing the protocol for isolating gut leucocytes. The protocol deemed optimal was then applied to isolate gut leucocytes from Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) parr, average weight 15 g, to investigate their responses post stimulation *in vitro*. Fish were maintained in 1m-diameter fibreglass tanks with recirculating freshwater at 14°C and fed twice a day with a commercial diet (2% body weight). Fish were starved for 48 h prior to use in order to evacuate the gut contents before collecting the gut tissue, and sampled at the same time of day on each occasion used. The experimental procedures were carried out in accordance with the UK Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act, 1986 and associated guidelines, EU Directive 2010/63/EU for animal experiments.

#### 2. Gut marker gene analysis

To verify whether particular gut regions were more suitable for GALT cell isolation, a marker gene analysis was undertaken initially. Rainbow trout were killed and the oesophagus, stomach, pyloric caeca, midgut and hindgut were collected, homogenised in TRI reagent (Sigma) and the TRI lysate stored at -80°C until RNA extraction. The genes studied included cell surface markers for T-lymphocytes (CD4-1, CD8 $\alpha$ , TCR $\alpha$ , and TCR $\gamma$ ), B-lymphocytes (membrane (m)IgM, secreted (s)IgM, mIgT and sIgT) and dendritic cells (CD83, CD208, CD209 and MHCII $\beta$ ), since these are key for adaptive immune responses.

# 3. Optimizing salmonid gut leucocyte isolation

#### 3.1. Isolation protocols

Initially, the protocol described by Salinas et al. [24] for isolation of gilthead seabream (Sparus aurata) gut leucocytes was employed to isolate rainbow trout gut leucocytes and was named protocol-1. Later this protocol was modified and named protocol-2, and was in turn modified and named protocol-3. Protocol-1 was carried out as follows: rainbow trout were bled, then the gut from the pyloric caeca to the anus collected from 5 fish and placed into cold PBS. All the connective tissue was removed and any remaining gut contents rinsed off. The guts were opened longitudinally and cut into 1cm long segments, put in 50 ml tubes containing 15-20 ml of a predigestion solution (0.145 mg/ml DTT + 0.37 mg/ml EDTA in Ca<sup>2+</sup> & Mg<sup>2+</sup> free HBSS, Sigma) and shaken in an orbital shaker at 50 rpm for 20 min. The supernatants were filtered through 100 µm nylon mesh strainers (Greiner) to get the first suspension (S1) which was kept at 20°C. Tissue fragments were washed with washing media (0.05 mg DNAse I /ml Ca<sup>2+</sup> & Mg<sup>2+</sup> free HBSS) containing 5% foetal bovine serum (FBS, Sigma) to remove any remaining DTT and then shaken in 50 ml tubes containing 15-20 ml of digestion solution (0.37 mg collagenase IV /ml washing medium) in an orbital shaker at 50 rpm for 60 min. Supernatants were filtered through 100 µm nylon mesh strainers, and the obtained suspension was added to S1 to get S2, and washed twice in RPMI-1640 culture medium (Sigma) containing 100 units /ml penicillin & 100 µg /ml streptomycin (P/S, Gibco) and 10% FBS. The cells were then counted and adjusted to  $10^7$  cells /ml. Nylon wool columns (10 ml syringes packed with 0.5 g nylon wool fibre, Dutscher), were loaded with culture medium for 1 h prior to adding 5 ml S2/column. The columns were incubated for 1 h and then washed twice with culture medium to collect the purified cells, which were washed twice with culture medium, counted and adjusted to  $10^7$  cells /ml. The cell suspension was

then carefully layered over a discontinuous Percoll gradient with two densities (75% and 25%) and centrifuged at 4°C for 30 min at 400 g. Cells in the intermediate density (ID) and high density (HD) bands were collected and washed twice with the culture medium. Protocol-2 was carried out as for protocol-1 but did not include passing S2 through a nylon wool column. Protocol-3 was essentially the same as protocol-2 except that individual fish guts were used as independent samples rather than pooling from 5 fish, and the tissue fragments were shaken in the digestion solution twice for 60 min rather than once. This protocol was also used to isolate GALT leucocytes from Atlantic salmon.

## 3.2. Leucocyte viability

Isolated GALT leucocytes were distributed into 12-well plates at  $2x10^6$  cells /well and incubated at 20°C for 4 h and 24 h, at which times they were counted using a Neubauer chamber and 0.5% trypan blue. The viabilities were determined by comparison to the respective time 0 h controls.

### 3.3. Marker gene analysis

Isolated GALT leucocytes  $(2x10^6 \text{ cells /well})$  were incubated at 20°C and harvested at 4 h and 24 h by centrifugation at 400 g for 10 min at 4°C. The supernatant was discarded and TRI reagent added, with pipetting up and down several times. The TRI lysate was stored at - 80°C until RNA extraction for marker gene analysis (see below). The genes studied were the same as those used for the initial gene expression analysis of the different gut regions.

# 3.4. Morphological examination

The gut cells isolated by protocol-3 were taken for flow cytometry and transmission electron microscopy analyses to identify the isolated cell types based on their size, granularity and structure.

### 3.4.1. Flow cytometry (FSC/SSC)

The cells were washed twice by centrifugation at  $4^{\circ}$ C for 10 min at 400 g in Ca<sup>2+</sup> & Mg<sup>2+</sup> free HBSS (Sigma) + 2% FBS. The analysis was then performed with an Accuri C6 Flow Cytometer and software (BD Science).

# <u>3.4.2. TEM</u>

The cells were fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde in 0.1M Sodium Cacodylate buffer for 2 hours. Cells were postfixed in 1% osmium tetroxide, dehydrated in a graded ethanol series, embedded in Spurr's resin (TAAB, UK), and ultrathin sections cut on a Leica UC6 (Leica Microsystems, Milton Keyes). Sections were stained in a Leica AC20 (Leica Microsystems, Milton Keyes) with 0.5% uranyl acetate followed by lead citrate, and then viewed on a JEOL 1400 plus (JEOL UK) + AMT UltraVUE camera (Deben UK

# 3.4.3.5. Stimulation by LPS

Isolated GALT leucocytes obtained using each protocol, cultured as above (ie  $2x10^6$  cells /well,  $20^\circ$ C), were stimulated with 50 µg /ml lipopolysaccharide from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (LPS, Sigma) for 4 h and 24 h, then harvested, homogenised in TRI reagent and

stored at -80°C until RNA extraction. In this case the expression of three key pro-inflammatory genes were studied, namely IL-1 $\beta$ 1, IL-6 and TNF- $\alpha$ 2.

#### 4. Atlantic salmon GALT leucocyte analysis

Purified Atlantic salmon GALT leucocytes obtained using protocol-3, were suspended in RPMI-1640 containing P/S and 10% FBS, then plated at  $2x10^6$  cells /well into 12 well plates and cultured at 20°C. The cells, from individual fish, were then stimulated with 10 µg /ml phytohaemagglutinin from *Phaseolus vulgaris* (PHA, Sigma), 50 µg /ml LPS, 100 µg /ml polyinosinic acid: polycytidylic acid (poly I:C, Sigma), 100 ng /ml recombinant flagellin from *Yersinia ruckeri* (rYRF, [26], 25 ng /ml IL-1β1 [27], 100 ng /ml IL-2B [28], 100 ng /ml IL-6 [29], 200 ng /ml IL-21 [30] and 20 ng /ml IFN-α2/IFN2 [31]. All doses used were previously shown to be optimal for trout and Atlantic salmon cells [32,33,34], and the cytokine paralogues chosen have known bioactivity on leucocytes from other tissues. Untreated cells were included as controls. The GALT cells were incubated for 4 h and 24 h then harvested, homogenised in TRI reagent and stored at -80°C until RNA extraction.

#### 5. RNA extraction, cDNA synthesis and qPCR

Total RNA extraction, cDNA synthesis and real-time PCR (qPCR) analysis of gene expression were as described by Wang et al. [30,35]. Tissue samples (or cells) were homogenised in TRI reagent using a Qiagen Tissue Lyser II and stored at -80°C before further processing. The Tri lysates were thawed at room temperature and total RNA prepared as per the manufacturer's instructions. Using Oligo (dT)28VN (Eurofins), dNTPs (Thermo Scientific) and RevertAid Reverse Transcriptase, RNA was reverse transcribed to cDNA under the following conditions: 42°C for 60 min, 45°C for 30 min, 50°C for 30 min and 90°C for 5 min. The resultant cDNAs were diluted in TE- buffer (pH 8.0) (Sigma) and duplicate real-time qPCR reactions were run in a light Cycler 480 machine (Roche) using SYBR green (Sigma). The amplification conditions were as follow: 1 cycle of 95°C for 10 min, followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 30 s, annealing at 62-66 °C for 30 s and extension at 72°C for 30 s, followed by melting curve analysis between 75°C and 95°C. The primer sets used in this study are shown in Table 1, and were designed with at least one primer across an intron.

Elongation factor-1 $\alpha$  (EF-1 $\alpha$ ) was the house-keeping gene used as the endogenous control to normalize gene expression. The transcript levels were calculated using the light Cycler 480 integrated software. Initially leucocyte marker gene relative expression was calculated as arbitrary units by dividing the marker transcript mean concentration by the respective EF-1 $\alpha$  transcript mean concentration. In the case of stimulated GALT cells, the 2<sup>- $\Delta\Delta$ CT</sup> method [36] was then used to express the immune gene expression as a fold change relative to the unstimulated control cells. In each experiment 4 independent replicates were analysed (tissues/cells from 4 individual fish or 4 independent pools of cells) and the data expressed as means and standard error of the mean (SEM).

#### 6. Data and statistical analyses

Expression data were analysed statistically using the IBM SPSS Statistics package 24.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois). One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by the Tukey post hoc test was used to determine the level of significance between protocols in

terms of leucocyte yield and viability. The independent samples T test was used to determine the level of significance between treated and untreated (control) cell samples. P<0.05 was deemed significant.

## Results

#### 1. Gut marker gene analysis

Gene expression analysis showed detectable expression levels of the lymphocyte (T-cell, B-cell) and dendritic cell markers in the different gut regions (Fig. 1). However, generally the expression levels were lower in the oesophagus and stomach relative to the other gut regions. The expression levels in the pyloric caeca, midgut and hindgut were relatively similar. An exception was the Ig levels that tended to increase posteriorly along the gut from the pyloric caeca to the hind gut. On the basis of these results the oesophagus and stomach were considered unsuitable for GALT cell isolation but there was no clear advantage to using only the pyloric caeca, mid gut or hind gut. Hence the whole gut posterior of the stomach was taken for cell isolation.

### 2. Optimizing gut leucocyte isolation

The average cell yield per fish obtained by each protocol differed and ranged from  $4.2 \times 10^6$  cells /fish,  $6.4 \times 10^6$  cells /fish and  $12.0 \times 10^6$  cells /fish for protocol-1, -2 & -3, respectively. The cell yield using protocol-2 was significantly higher than that of protocol-1, and the yield from protocol-3 was, in turn, significantly higher than that of protocol-2 (Fig. 2a). No significant differences between the protocols were found in the viability of the cells obtained but there was a significant fall in viability from 4 h to 24 h in culture, from ~93% to ~53% (Fig. 2b).

Analysis of the T-cell, B-cell and dendritic cell markers in the cells isolated by the three protocols showed that all of the genes were expressed at 4 h and 24 h post-isolation (Fig. 3). The expression patterns, however, differed to some extent between the protocols and in comparison to the expression patterns in the gut tissue (Fig. 1). MHCIIβ and IgM were relatively highly expressed in cells isolated by all 3 protocols, as was CD83 using protocol-2 and -3 (Fig. 3a-c). Of the T cell markers, CD4-1 was relatively low, whilst IgT was low compared to the IgM transcript levels. The expression patterns did not vary significantly between the sampling times (4 h vs 24 h) using protocol-1 and -2, but there was a decrease of the T cell markers in particular at 24 h using protocol-3, and to a lesser extent with protocol-2. Flow cytometry analysis revealed three distinct populations of the cells isolated by protocol-3. Based on the location of these populations relative to the forward scatter (FSC) and side scatter (SSC) axes, they were tentatively identified as epithelial cells, lymphoid cells and myeloid cells (Fig. 4). In line with this, transmission electron microscopy showed different leucocyte types were present, characterised based on their morphology and structure as lymphocytes, monocytes/macrophages, and granulocytes (Fig. 5).

Stimulating GALT leucocytes isolated by the different protocols with LPS resulted in a significant increase in expression of IL-1 $\beta$ , IL-6 and TNF- $\alpha$  (Fig. 6). Generally the increases occurred at both time points, with the exception of TNF- $\alpha$  at 4 h in cells isolated by protocol-1 (Fig. 6c) and IL-6 at 4 h in cells isolated by protocol-3. The increases were mostly similar at both time points, especially for protocol-3. However, up-regulation of IL-1 $\beta$  was higher at 4 h vs 24 h in protocol-2 isolated cells, whilst the increases in TNF- $\alpha$  were higher at 24 h vs 4 h in cells isolated by protocol-1 and -2.

Overall, the three protocols gave similar cell viabilities and the isolated cells had similar marker gene profiles. Also, cells isolated by all three protocols could respond to LPS stimulation by induction of pro-inflammatory genes. However, the increase in cell yield using protocol-3 allowed the analysis of GALT leucocytes from individual fish, and in addition was high enough to allow relatively small fish to be used, meaning that freshwater stage salmon as well as trout could be studied. Hence protocol-3 (Suppl. Fig. 1) was selected for further experiments to look at salmon GALT leucocyte responsiveness to a wider range of stimulants.

#### 3. Atlantic salmon GALT leucocyte analysis

#### 3.1. Marker gene analysis

Initially the GALT cells isolated from Atlantic salmon parr using protocol-3 were checked for their expression of T-cell, B-cell and dendritic cell marker genes at 4 h and 24 h in culture. As seen in Figure 7, the profiles were similar to the trout cells. CD83 and MHCII $\beta$  were relatively highly expressed, as was TCR $\alpha$ . mIgM was again higher than mIgT, but sIgM/sIgT and CD4-1 /CD8 $\alpha$  were more equal in transcript level. No major differences were seen between the 4 h and 24 h samplings.

#### 3.2. Bacterial PAMP and pro-inflammatory stimulation

LPS was a potent stimulator of pro-inflammatory cytokine expression in the GALT leucocytes, with IL-1 $\beta$ , IL-6, IL-8 and TNF- $\alpha$  all increasing, especially at 24 h post-stimulation (Fig. 8). The antimicrobial peptide CATH-2 was also highly induced (Fig. 8). Smaller effects were seen on interferon (type I and II) and IRF3 (Figs. 8&9) gene expression, and with Th17-type cytokine (IL-17A/F and IL-22, Fig. 9) gene expression at 24 h. Curiously a small down-regulation of IL-4/13B was seen at 4 h. Bacterial flagellin (YRF) was also a good stimulator of IL-8 expression and IL-22 expression, and to a lesser extent on IL-1 $\beta$ , IL-6, TNF- $\alpha$ , IFN- $\gamma$  and CATH-2 transcript levels. Curiously it had no impact on GALT cell IL-1 $\beta$  expression. rIL-1 $\beta$  itself was able to induce IL-8 (4 h and 24 h) and CATH-2 (24 h) but had no impact on the other genes studied. Similarly rIL-6 was only able to impact CATH-2 expression, at 24 h post-stimulation.

#### 3.3. Viral PAMP and interferon stimulation

Poly I:C was a potent inducer of type I and II interferons, and IRF3, as expected (Figs. 8&9), with marked increases seen at 24 h. However, it also induced to a lesser extent IL-2, IL-17A/F and IL-22 expression at 24 h (Fig. 9). Recombinant type I interferon was also a good inducer of IRF3 and IFN- $\gamma$  expression, at 4 h and 24 h, and had a small effect on itself, IL-2 and IL-22 transcript levels at 24 h post-stimulation.

#### 3.4. PHA and T cell cytokine stimulation

PHA was a strong inducer of cytokines potentially associated with adaptive immunity, including IL-2, IL-4/13B, IL-17A/F, IFN- $\gamma$  and TNF- $\alpha$ , at 4 h and 24 h post-stimulation (Figs. 8&9). It also had a small impact on IL-1 $\beta$  and IL-22 expression levels at 4 h post-stimulation (Figs. 8&9), and type I and IRF3 expression levels at 24 h post-stimulation (Figs. 8&9). rIL-2 stimulation had relatively few effects, and increased IL-22 expression at 4 h post-

stimulation (Fig. 9), and itself and IRF3 at 24 h post-stimulation (Figs. 8&9). Lastly, rIL-21 similar to PHA induced cytokines of adaptive immunity, including IL-4/13B, IL-22 and IFN- $\gamma$  at 4h and 24 h post-stimulation (Fig. 9), and IL-17A/F at 24 h (Fig. 9). It also induced a small increase of IL-6, TNF- $\alpha$ , type I interferon and IRF3 at 24 h (Figs. 8&9), but had no effect on IL-1 $\beta$ , IL-2 or IL-8 expression.

# Discussion

Fish GALT is structurally and physiologically different from mammalian GALT [15], and the composition of the immune cells present are considered distinct compared to those in other immune tissues such as the head kidney, spleen, skin and gills [37,38]. Such facts underline the necessity for a better basic understanding of the gut immune system in fish. In the present study we used an *in vitro* approach to examine the responses of isolated GALT leucocytes to a variety of PAMPs and cytokines as a means to help characterise the diversity of the immune repertoire present in such cells.

Before isolating GALT leucocytes we examined whether differences existed in different gut regions in terms of the expression of a variety of marker genes typical of T-lymphocytes (CD4-1, CD8a, TCRa and TCRy), B-lymphocytes (sIgM, mIgM, sIgT and mIgT) and dendritic cells (CD83, CD208, CD209 and MHCIIβ), since this could influence whether to focus on a particular area for cell isolation. That differences may be apparent come from studies in trout showing that IgM+ B-cells are highly recruited to the pyloric caeca after oral stimulation [39], that IgT<sup>+</sup> B-cells are particularly abundant in the midgut/hind gut [40], and that antigen uptake is most prominent in the second segment of the midgut [41,9]. In addition, studies in Atlantic salmon have shown the mid-posterior intestine has the highest expression levels of a similar gene set (to that used here) in post-smolts [42] and in sea bass regional differences in CD8 $\alpha$  and MHCII $\beta$  gene expression have been described [22]. In the present study expression levels of the marker genes were relatively low in oesophagus and stomach, especially the latter, in agreement with past immunohistochemical studies of intestinal B cells and sites of antigen uptake [9,40]. However, in the pyloric caeca, midgut and hindgut higher expression levels were seen that were relatively similar. Nevertheless, there was a trend for increasing Ig transcript level from the pyloric caeca to the hindgut, similar to results seen in sea bass with IgM<sup>+</sup> B cells [43]. Given their homology with mammalian cells, the constitutive expression of the selected markers demonstrates that naïve rainbow trout gut, in particular the intestine, has a good repertoire of the immune cell types and subtypes that are required to initiate and establish an adaptive immune response.

On the basis of the marker gene expression results the pyloric caeca, mid gut and hind gut were considered suitable for GALT cell isolation and the whole gut posterior to the stomach was taken for this purpose. Initially we used the method of Salinas et al. [24] for isolation of gilthead seabream (*Sparus aurata*) GALT leucocytes. This approach (designated protocol-1) gave a yield of  $4.2 \times 10^6$  cells /fish, which was relatively low, requiring pooling of gut tissue from multiple fish to allow *in vitro* experimentation. Nevertheless, the cells had relatively good viability, expressed the marker genes as seen in the gut regions from which they were derived, and were responsive to LPS in terms of up-regulation of the pro-inflammatory genes IL-1 $\beta$ , IL-6 and TNF- $\alpha$ . However, the marker gene analysis showed there were relatively low levels of IgT and CD4-1 transcripts in the isolated cells, relative to IgM and CD8/TCR expression levels. This may reflect fewer IgT B cells [13,44] and Th cells in the unstimulated gut, consistent with the whole gut tissue analysis (Fig. 1), although it is known that CD4-1 can also be expressed by myeloid cells in fish [45,46]. CD4 levels have also been shown to be relatively low in sea bass intestine, compared to CD8 $\alpha$  expression [22]. Since protocol-1 used nylon wool columns that could impact on yield and cell activity/ gene expression, as seen in mice [47,48], this step was excluded in protocol-2. This significantly increased the cell yield, to  $6.4 \times 10^6$  cells /fish, with no effect on cell yiability or LPS responsiveness, but did result in a higher relative expression of some dendritic cell markers. Since the ultimate aim was to get enough cells from a single fish for experimentation in vitro, to avoid the potential for reciprocal immune reactions between the cell populations that could give rise to altered gene expression, protocol-2 was modified further by incorporation of a second enzymatic digestion (protocol-3). This again led to a significant increase in cell yield, which went to 12.0 x10<sup>6</sup> cells /fish. The flow cytometry analysis of these cells revealed lymphoid and myeloid populations, and transmission electron microscopy confirmed the presence of lymphocytes, monocytes/macrophages and granulocytes. The viability, expression profiles and responsiveness to LPS of these cells were similar to those from protocol-2. One difference was in the TNF- $\alpha$  responses using protocol-3, where the significant increase at 24 h vs 4 h of stimulation with LPS using protocols-1 and -2 was absent. This may have been an anomaly since the 24 h response was larger when using LPS with the salmon GALT cells (Fig. 8d). However, as stated above protocols-1 and -2 used pooled cells and TNF- $\alpha$  is known to increase during the MLR in trout [49]. Overall, protocol-3 was considered a time- and cost-effective means to isolate GALT leucocytes from salmonids. This method should be suitable for other fish species but the length of enzymatic digestion may need to be optimised further.

Protocol-3 was next used to isolate GALT leucocytes from Atlantic salmon. The cells were once again analysed for marker gene expression, which revealed similar expression profiles to the isolated cells from rainbow trout and little impact of culture time (4 h vs 24 h), and were considered suitable for the stimulation studies. Of the few differences that were seen, such as reduced sIgM expression in the salmon cells, it was unclear whether species differences or fish size/age were the cause. The stimulants tested were designed to examine the nature of the antibacterial, antiviral and T cell type responses in the GALT leucocytes (at the transcript level) using a panel of cytokines relevant to innate and adaptive immunity, the antimicrobial peptide (AMP) cathelicidin-2 (CATH-2) and interferon regulatory factor (IRF) 3. Since multiple paralogues of such genes can exist in salmonid fish [50,51], a particular paralogue was selected where necessary.

Clear responses to bacterial PAMPS (LPS, flagellin) were detected. IL-1 $\beta$ , IL-6, IL-8 and TNF- $\alpha$  were all notably increased, as was CATH-2. These cytokines form part of the cytokine cascade typical of responses to Gram negative bacteria, in fish as in mammals [49], and reveal they are active in GALT leucocytes. They function to attract and activate phagocytes, to clear bacteria, and can induce expression of AMPs such as CATH-2 [29, 49]. Th17/ILC3-type cytokines [52] are also known to be effective inducers of anti-microbial defences, and the PAMPs were shown to induce both IL-17A/F (considered a forerunner of IL-17A and IL-17F, [33] and IL-22 in the isolated GALT cells. rIL-22 has been shown to upregulate a variety of AMPs ( $\beta$ -defensins and LEAPs) in trout [53], whilst in haddock marked upregulation of IL-22 occurs in gills following challenge of vaccinated fish [54]. CATH-2 is a potent AMP in salmonids, able to kill a range of fish bacterial pathogens as part of the innate immune response [55]. It has been reported to be upregulated by IL-6 and flagellin (YRF) in a trout macrophage (RTS-11) cell line [29,26], in keeping with the present findings. However, the effects of rIL-6 on CATH-2 expression were quite marginal, and indeed both pro-inflammatory cytokines tested (rIL-1 $\beta$  and rIL-6) induced relatively few/small effects.

Curiously the two cathelicidins present in trout are usually not co-expressed upon stimulation [29,56] and it will be interesting to see if this is also the case in GALT leucocytes.

In terms of anti-viral responses, it was shown that poly I:C was a potent inducer of interferons (type I and II) and IRF3 in GALT leucocytes, demonstrating a functional signalling cascade in these cells. Poly I:C is a synthetic double stranded RNA and, as with viruses, is detected by TLR3, which is present in fish in addition to TLR22 that can also bind dsRNA [57]. It serves to induce interferon production, with IRF3 a crucial transcription factor in regulating type I interferon expression [58]. Recombinant type I interferon was also a good inducer of IRF3 and IFN- $\gamma$  expression, but had a relatively small positive feedback on itself. Neither stimulus impacted on the proinflammatory genes (IL-1β, IL-6, IL-8 and TNF- $\alpha$ ) or CATH-2, showing a clear delineation between these signalling pathways within the GALT leucocytes. Lastly, since it has been reported that the majority of teleost GALT leucocytes are T- cells, as reported in carp [36], sea bass [59,60] and rainbow trout [20], we examined the responses induced by the T cell mitogen PHA and two T-cell stimulatory cytokines (IL-2 and IL-21). PHA was a strong inducer of cytokines of adaptive immunity, including IL-2, IL-4/13B, IL-17A/F, IFN-γ and TNF-α. This induction potentially reflects Th cell or ILC responses, and suggests that multiple subpopulations may be present. Interestingly, IL-4/13 exists as two genes in teleost fish (IL-4/13A, IL-4/13B), with IL-4/13A being more highly expressed constitutively but IL-4/13B is more inducible, as seen post vaccination and infection [56,61]. Similar to PHA, rIL-21 was a good inducer of IL-4/13B, IL-17A/F, IL-22 and IFN- $\gamma$ , and to a small extent TNF- $\alpha$ , however rIL-2 had very limited effects, although found to be an essential regulator of T cell responses in salmonids [28]. Previous studies in trout have shown that rIL-21 is able to markedly upregulate IL-10, IL-22 and IFN- $\gamma$  in head kidney cells, and can maintain the expression of T and B cell markers at a high level compared with control cultures without IL-21, suggesting it may be a survival factor for such cells [30].

In conclusion, the current study has optimized a protocol for isolation of GALT cells from salmonids, that gives good viability and yield. The cells expressed a wide range of T-cell, B-cell and dendritic cell markers. They were responsive to a panel of PAMPs, cytokines and PHA, and gave distinct expression profiles dependent upon the stimulus used. The gut leucocyte responses appear mostly comparable with known immune responses from other immune sites and reveal the adequacy of gut cells to elicit appropriate humoral and cellular pathways to combat immunological threats. Thus this study creates a benchmark for future examination of salmonid gut mucosal immunity to assay gut cell responses and proliferation to different stimulants and antigens. Further studies are in progress in our laboratory to assess protective immune responses in the salmonid gut, associated with mucosal delivery of antigens, with a view to aid development of efficacious priming of protective responses by oral vaccination in salmonid aquaculture.

### Acknowledgements

Ahmed Attaya's PhD project was funded by the Newton Fund, the British Council, and the National Institute of Oceanography and Fisheries (NIOF), Hurghada, Egypt. This research was also supported financially by a grant (BB/M013022/1) from the UK Biotechnology and

Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC). <u>TEM was performed in the Microscopy and</u> <u>Histology Core Facility at the University of Aberdeen. Thanks to Yehfang Hu (SFIRC) for</u> <u>help with the flow cytometry analysis.</u>

## References

- T.A. Doggett, J. E. Harris, The ontogeny of gut-associated lymphoid tissue in Oreochromis mossambicus, J. Fish Bio. 31 (1987) 23-27. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.1987.tb05288.x
- [2] R.K. Buddington, A. Krogdahl, A.M. Bakke-Mckellep, The intestines of carnivorous fish: structure and functions and the relations with diet, Act. Phy. Sca. Sup. 638 (1997) 67-80.
   http://europepmc.org/abstract/MED/9421581
- [3] D. Gomez, J.O. Sunyer, I. Salinas, The mucosal immune system of fish: the evolution of tolerating commensals while fighting pathogens, Fish Shellfish Imm. 35 (2013) 1729-1739.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2013.09.032

- [4] J.H.W.M. Rombout, P.H.M. Joosten, M.Y. Engelsma, A.P. Vos, N. Taverne, J.J. Taverne-thiele, Indications for a distinct putative T cell population in mucosal tissue of carp (*Cyprinus carpio* L.), Dev. Com. Imm. 22 (1998b) 63-77. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0145-305X(97)00048-7
- [5] R.J. Temkin, D.B. Mcmillan, Gut-associated lymphoid tissue (GALT) of the goldfish, *Carassius auratus*, J. Morph. 190 (1986) 9-26. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/jmor.1051900103</u>
- [6] J. Rombout, H. Bot, J. Taverne-thiele, Immunological importance of the second gut segment of carp, J. Fish Bio. 35 (1989) 67-178. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.1989.tb02966.x
- J.H. Rombout, A.J. Taverne-thiele, M.I. Villena, The gut-associated lymphoid tissue (GALT) of carp (*Cyprinus carpio* L.): an immunocytochemical analysis, Dev. Com. Imm. 17 (1993) 55-66. https://doi.org/10.1016/0145-305X(93)90015-I
- [8] R. Dalmo, K, Ingebrigtsen, J. Bøgwald, Non-specific defence mechanisms in fish, with particular reference to the reticuloendothelial system (RES), J. Fish Dis. 20 (1997) 241-273. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2761.1997.00302.x
- [9] B. Fuglem, E. Jirillo, I. Bjerkås, H. Kiyono, T. Nochi, Y. Yuki, M. Raida, U. Fischer, E.O. Koppang, Antigen-sampling cells in the salmonid intestinal epithelium, Dev. Com. Imm. 34 (2010) 768-774. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dci.2010.02.007
- [10] Y.H. Kai, Y.C. Wu, S.C. Chi, Immune gene expressions in grouper larvae (*Epinephelus coiodes*) induced by bath and oral vaccinations with inactivated betanodavirus, Fish Shellfish Imm. 40 (2014) 563-569. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2014.08.005
- [11] S.A.M. Martin, C.E. Dehler, E. Król, Transcriptome responses in the fish intestine, Dev. Com. Imm. 64 (2016) 103-117. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dci.2016.03.014</u>

- [12] B.R. Beck, S.H. Lee, D. Kim, J.H. Park, H.K. Lee, S.S. Kwon, K.H. Lee, J.I. Lee, S.K. Song, A *Lactococcus lactis* BFE920 feed vaccine expressing a fusion protein composed of the OmpA and FlgD antigens from *Edwardsiella tarda* was significantly better at protecting olive flounder (*Paralichthys olivaceus*) from edwardsiellosis than single antigen vaccines, Fish Shellfish Imm. 68 (2017)19-28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2017.07.004
- [13] Y.A. Zhang, I. Salinas, J. Li, D. Parra, S. Bjork, Z. Xu, S.E. Lapatra, J. Bartholomew, J.O. Sunyer, IgT, a primitive immunoglobulin class specialized in mucosal immunity, Nat. Imm. 11 (2010) 827-835. https://doi:10.1038/ni.1913
- [14] D. Parra, T. Korytár, F. Takizawa, J.O. Sunyer, B cells and their role in the teleost gut, 2016. 64 (2016)150-166. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dci.2016.03.013</u>
- [15] I. SALINAS, The Mucosal Immune System of Teleost Fish. Bio. (Basel) 4 (2015) 525-539.

https://doi.org/10.3390/biology4030525

- [16] C. Lundqvist, M. Hammarström, L. Athlin, S. Hammarström, Isolation of functionally active intraepithelial lymphocytes and enterocytes from human small and large intestine. J. Imm. Meth.152 (1992) 253-263. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1759(92)90147-L
- [17] A. Di sabatino, R. Ciccocioppo, S. D'alo, R. Parroni, D. Millimaggi, M.G. Cifone, G.R. Corazza, Intraepithelial and lamina propria lymphocytes show distinct patterns of apoptosis whereas both populations are active in Fas based cytotoxicity in coeliac disease, Gut, 49 (2001) 380-386. http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/gut.49.3.380
- [18] G.A. Davidson, A.E. Ellis, C.J. Secombes, Cellular responses of leucocytes isolated form the gut of rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss* (Walbaum), J. Fish Dis.14 (1991) 651-659.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2761.1991.tb00623.x

- [19] P. Clerton, D. Troutaud, V. Verlhac, J. Gabaudan, P. Deschaux, Dietary vitamin E and rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) phagocyte functions: Effect on gut and on head kidney leucocytes, Fish Shellfish Imm. 11 (2001)1-13. https://doi.org/10.1006/fsim.2000.0287
- [20] D.N. Mcmillan, C.J. Secombes, Isolation of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) intestinal intraepithelial lymphocytes (IEL) and measurement of their cytotoxic activity, Fish Shellfish Imm. 7 (1997), pp. 527-541. <u>https://doi.org/10.1006/fsim.1997.0099</u>
- [21] D. Bernard, A. Six, L. Rigottier-gois, S. Messiaen, S. Chilmonczyk, E. Quillet, P. Boudinot, A. Benmansour, Phenotypic and functional similarity of gut intraepithelial and systemic T cells in a teleost fish, J. Imm. 176 (2006) 3942-3949. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.176.7.3942
- [22] S. Picchietti, L. Guerra, F. Bertoni, E. Randelli, M.C. Belardinelli, F. Buonocore, A.M. Fausto, J.H. Rombout, G. Scapigliati, L. Abelli, Intestinal T cells of *Dicentrarchus labrax* (L.): Gene expression and functional studies, Fish Shellfish Imm. 30 (2011) 609-617. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2010.12.006
- [23] I. Boschi, E. Randelli, F. Buonocore, D. Casani, C. Bernini, A.M. Fausto, G. Scapigliati, Transcription of T cell-related genes in teleost fish, and the European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) as a model, Fish Shellfish Imm. 31 (2011) 655-662. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2010.10.001

- [24] I. Salinas, J. Meseguer, M.Á. Esteban, Assessment of different protocols for the isolation and purification of gut associated lymphoid cells from the gilthead seabream (*Sparus aurata* L.), Bio. Proc. Onl. 9 (2007), 43-55. https://doi.org/10.1251/bpo132
- [25] G.A. Davidson, A.E. Ellis, C.J. Secombes, Route of immunization influences the generation of antibody secreting cells in the gut of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*), Dev. Com. Imm.17 (1993) 373-376. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0145-305X(93)90008-E
- [26] E. Wangkahart, C. Scott, C.J. Secombes, T. Wang, Re-examination of the rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) immune response to flagellin: *Yersinia ruckeri* flagellin is a potent activator of acute phase proteins, anti-microbial peptides and proinflammatory cytokines *in vitro*, Dev. Com. Imm. 57 (2016) 75-87. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dci.2015.12.017
- [27] S. Hong, J. Zou, M. Crampe, S. Peddie, G. Scapigliati, N. Bols, C. Cunningham, C.J. Secombes, The production and bioactivity of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) recombinant IL-1β, Vet. Imm. Immunopath. 81 (2001) 1-14. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-2427(01)00328-2
- [28] T. Wang, Y. Hu, E. Wangkahart, F. Liu, E. Wang, E. Zahran, K. Maisey, M. Liu, Q. Xu, M. Imarai, C.J. Secombes, Interleukin (IL)-2 is a key regulator of Th1 and Th2 cytokine expression in fish: functional characterisation of two divergent IL2 paralogues in salmonids. Front. Imm. (in press)
- [29] M.M. Costa, T. Maehr, P. Diaz-rosales, C.J. Secombes, T. Wang, Bioactivity studies of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) interleukin-6: effects on macrophage growth and antimicrobial peptide gene expression, Mol. Imm. 48 (2011)1903-1916. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molimm.2011.05.027</u>
- [30] T. Wang, P. Diaz-rosales, M.M. Costa, S. Campbell, M. Snow, B. Collet, S.A.M. Martin, C.J. Secombes, Functional characterization of a nonmammalian IL-21: Rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss* IL-21 upregulates the expression of the Th cell signature cytokines IFN-γ, IL-10, and IL-22, J. Imm.186 (2011) 708-721. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1001203
- [31] J. Zou, C. Tafalla, J. Truckle, C.J. Secombes, Identification of a second group of type I IFNs in fish sheds light on IFN evolution in vertebrates, J. Imm.179 (2007) 3859-3871.

https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.179.6.3859

- [32] T. Wang, M. Husain, The expanding repertoire of the IL-12 cytokine family in teleost fish: identification of three paralogues each of the p35 and p40 genes in salmonids, and comparative analysis of their expression and modulation in Atlantic salmon *Salmo salar*, Dev. Com. Imm. 46 (2014) 194–207. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dci.2014.04.008
- [33] M. Husain, S.A. Martin, T. Wang, Identification and characterisation of the IL-27 p28 subunits in fish: cloning and comparative expression analysis of two p28 paralogues in Atlantic salmon *Salmo salar*, Fish Shellfish Imm. 41 (2014) 102–112. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2014.06.024
- [34] T. Wang, Y. Jiang, A. Wang, M. Husain, Q. Xu, Q. C.J. Secombes, Identification of the salmonid IL-17A/F1a/b, IL-17A/F2b, IL-17A/F3 and IL-17N genes and analysis of their expression following in vitro stimulation and infection, Immunogen. 67 (2015) 395-412.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s00251-015-0838-1

- [35] T. Wang, M. Husain, S. Hong, J.W. Holland, Differential expression, modulation and bioactivity of distinct fish IL-12 isoforms: Implication towards the evolution of Th1-like immune responses, Eur. J. Imm. 44 (2014) 1541-1551. https://doi.org/10.1002/eji.201344273
- [36] K.J. Livak, T.D. Schmittgen, Analysis of relative gene expression data using realtime quantitative PCR and the  $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$  method, Meth. 25 (2001) 402-408. https://doi.org/10.1006/meth.2001.1262
- [37] J. Rombout, P. Joosten, M. Engelsma, A. Vos, N. Taverne, J. Taverne-thiele, Indications for a distinct putative T cell population in mucosal tissue of carp (*Cyprinus carpio* L.), Dev. Com. Imm. 22 (1998) 63-77. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0145-305X(97)00048-7
- [38] J.H.W.M. Rombout, L. Abelli, S. Picchietti, G. Scapigliati, V. Kiron, Teleost intestinal immunology, Fish Shellfish Imm. 31(2011) 616-626. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2010.09.001
- [39] N.A. Ballesteros, R. Castro, B. Abos, S.S.R. Saint-jean, S.I. Pérez-prieto, C. Tafalla, The pyloric caeca area is a major site for IgM and IgT B cell recruitment in response to oral vaccination in rainbow trout, PLoS One, 8 (2013) e66118. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0066118</u>
- [40] N.A. Ballesteros, S.R. Saint-jean, S.I. Pérez-prieto, C. Aquilino, C. Tafalla, Modulation of genes related to the recruitment of immune cells in the digestive tract of trout experimentally infected with infectious pancreatic necrosis virus (IPNV) or orally vaccinated, Dev. Com. Imm. 44 (2014) 195-205. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dci.2013.12.009
- [41] U.W. Georgopoulou, K. Dabrowski, M.F. Sire, J. Vernier, Absorption of intact proteins by the intestinal epithelium of trout, *Salmo gairneri*. A luminescence enzyme immunoassay and cytochemical study, Cel. Tis. Res. 251 (1988) 145-152. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00215459</u>
- [42] G. Løkka, L. Austbøa, K. Falk, E. Bromage, P.G. Fjelldal, T. Hansen, I. Hordvik, E.O. Koppang, Immune parameters in the intestine of wild and reared unvaccinated and vaccinated Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.), Dev. Com. Imm. 47 (2014) 6-16. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dci.2014.06.009</u>
- [43] L. Abelli, S. Picchietti, N. Romano, L. Mastrolia, G. Scapigliati, Immunohistochemistry of gut-associated lymphoid tissue of the sea bass *Dicentrarchus labrax* (L.), Fish Shellfish Imm. 7 (1997) 235-245. <u>https://doi.org/10.1006/fsim.1996.0079</u>
- [44] Y. Zhang, I. Salinas, J.O. Sunyer, Recent findings on the structure and function of teleost IgT, Fish Shellfish Imm. 31(2011) 627-634. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2011.03.021
- [45] F. Takizawa, S. Magadan, D. Parra, Z. Xu, T. Korytář, P. Boudinot, J.O. Sunyer, Novel teleost CD4-bearing cell populations provide insights into the evolutionary origins and primordial roles of CD4+ lymphocytes and CD4+ macrophages, J. Imm. 196 (2016) 4522-4535. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1600222
- [46] C.T. Dee, T.R. Nagaraju, E.I. Athanasiadis, C. Gray, L. Fernandez del Ama, S.A. Johnston, C.J. Secombes, A. Cvejic, A.F.L. Hurlstone, CD4-Transgenic zebrafish reveals tissue-resident Th2- and regulatory T cell-like populations and diverse mononuclear phagocytes, J. Imm. 197 (2016) 3520-3530. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1600959
- [47] J.E.Wohler, S.R. Barnum, Nylon wool purification alters the activation of T cells, Mol. Imm. 46 (2009) 1007-1010.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molimm.2008.09.017

[48] A.W. Goodyear, A. Kumar, S. Dow, E.P. Ryan, Optimization of murine small intestine leukocyte isolation for global immune phenotype analysis, J. Imm. Meth. 405 (2014) 97-108.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jim.2014.01.014

- [49] S. Hong, R. Li, Q. Xu, C.J. Secombes, T. Wang, Two types of TNF-α exist in teleost fish: Phylogeny, expression, and bioactivity analysis of type-II TNF-α3 in rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss*, J. Imm., 191 (2013) 5959-5972. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1301584
- [50] S. Lien, B.F. Koop, S.R. Sandve, J.R. Miller, M.P. Kent, T. Nome, T.R. Hvidsten, J.S. Leong, D.R. Minkley, A. Zimin, F. Grammes, H. Grove, A. Gjuvsland, B. Walenz, R.A. Hermansen, K. Von Schalburg, E.B. Rondeau, A. Di Genova, J.K.A. Samy, J.O. Vik, M.D. Vigeland, L. Caler, U. Grimholt, S. Jentoft, D.I. Vage, P. De Jong, T. Moen, M. Baranski, Y. Palti, D.R. Smith, J.A. Yorke, A.J. Nederbragt, A. Tooming-Klunderud, K.S. Jakobsen, X.T. Jiang, D.D. Fan, D.A. Liberles, R. Vidal, P. Iturra, S.J.M. Jones, I. Jonassen, A. Maass, S.W. Omholt, W.S. Davidson, The Atlantic salmon genome provides insights into rediploidization, *Nature*, 533 (2016) 200-205.

https://doi:10.1038/nature17164

- [51] J. Pasquier, I. Braasch, P. Batzel, C. Cabau, J. Montfort, T. Nguyen, E. Jouanno, C. Berthelot, C. Klopp, L. Journot, J.H. Postlethwait, Y. Guiguen, J. Bobe, Evolution of gene expression after whole-genome duplication: New insights from the spotted gar genome, J. Exp. Zoo. Part B Mol. Dev. Evo. 328 (2017) 709-721. https://doi.org/10.1002/jez.b.22770
- [52] R.Yazdani, M. Sharifi, A.S. Shirvan, G. Azizi, M. Ganjalikhani-hakemi, Characteristics of innate lymphoid cells (ILCs) and their role in immunological disorders (an update), Cel. Imm. 298 (2015) 66-76. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cellimm.2015.09.006
- [53] M. Monte, J. Zou, T. Wang, A. Carrington, C.J. Secombes, Cloning, expression analysis and bioactivity studies of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) interleukin-22, Cytokine, 55 (2011) 62-73. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cyto.2011.03.015
- [54] Y. Corripio-miyar, J. Zou, H. Richmond, C.J. Secombes, Identification of interleukin-22 in gadoids and examination of its expression level in vaccinated fish, Mol. Imm. 46 (2009) 2098-2106. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molimm.2009.01.024
- [55] C.I. Chang, Y.A. Zhang, J. Zou, P. Nie, C.J. Secombes, Two cathelicidin genes are present in both rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) and Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*), Antimicro. Age. Chemoth. 50 (2006) 185-195. https://doi: 10.1128/AAC.50.1.185-195.2006
- [56] T. Wang, P. Johansson, B. Abos, A. Holt, C. Tafalla, Y. Jiang, A. Wang, Q. Xu, Z. Qi, W. Huang, M.M. Costa, P. Diaz-rosales, J.W. Holland, C.J. Secombes, First indepth analysis of the novel Th2-type cytokines in salmonid fish reveals distinct patterns of expression and modulation but overlapping bioactivities, Oncotarget, 7 (2016) 10917-10946.

https://dx.doi.org/10.18632%2Foncotarget.7295

[57] B.R. Sahoo, M.R. Dikhit, G.K. Bhoi, J. Maharana, S.K. Lenka, P.K. Dubey, D.K.Tiwari, Understanding the distinguishable structural and functional features in

zebrafish TLR3 and TLR22, and their binding modes with fish dsRNA viruses: an exploratory structural model analysis, Ami. aci. 47 (2015) 381-400. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00726-014-1872-2

- [58] B. Robertsen, The role of type I interferons in innate and adaptive immunity against viruses in Atlantic salmon, Dev. Com. Imm. 80 (2018) 41-52. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dci.2017.02.005
- [59] N. Romano, L. Abelli, L. Mastrolia, G. Scapigliati, Immunocytochemical detection and cytomorphology of lymphocyte subpopulations in a teleost fish *Dicentrarchus labrax* (L), Cel. Tis. Res. 289 (1997) 163–171. https://doi.org/10.1007/s004410050
- [60] G. Scapigliati, N. Romano, L. Abelli, S. Meloni, A.G. Ficca, F. Buonocore, S. Bird, C.J. Secombes, Immunopurification of T-cells from sea bass *Dicentrarchus labrax* (L.), Fish Shellfish Imm. 10 (2000) 329-341. https://doi.org/10.1006/fsim.1999.0243
- [61] E. Wangkahart, C.J. Secombes, T. Wang, Dissecting the immune pathways stimulated following injection vaccination of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) against ERM, Fish Shellfish Imm. (TargetFish) (In press) <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fsi.2017.07.056</u>

# Table & Figures legends

**Table 1**: qPCR primer sequences and GenBank accession numbers.

**Fig. 1.** The expression of marker genes for T-lymphocytes (CD4-1, CD8 $\alpha$ , TCR $\alpha$  and TCR $\gamma$ ), B-lymphocytes (sIgM, mIgM, sIgT and mIgT) and dendritic cells (CD83, CD208, CD209 and MHCII $\beta$ ), in rainbow trout oesophagus, stomach, pyloric caeca, midgut and hindgut. The marker gene expression was determined by qPCR and is presented as arbitrary units (after normalising to EF-1 $\alpha$ ) relative to the oesophagus transcript level for each gene studied. Bars are means + SEM of four fish.

**Fig. 2.** (a) The average yield of GALT leucocytes isolated from rainbow trout gut by protocol-1, -2 and -3 and (b) their viability after incubation for 4 h and 24 h. Bars are means  $\pm$  SEM of four independent samples. Differences amongst the protocols were tested by Oneway ANOVA followed by the Tukey post hoc test, with different letters indicating significant differences.

**Fig. 3.** The expression of marker genes for T-lymphocytes (CD4-1, CD8 $\alpha$ , TCR $\alpha$  and TCR $\gamma$ ), B-lymphocytes (sIgM, mIgM, sIgT and mIgT) and dendritic cells (CD83, CD208, CD209 and MHCII $\beta$ ), in primary cultures of rainbow trout GALT leucocytes isolated by (a) protocol-1, (b) protocol-2 and (c) protocol-3, and incubated for 4 h and 24 h. The marker gene expression was determined by qPCR, normalised to EF-1 $\alpha$  and presented relative to the CD4-1 transcript level at 4 h. Bars are means  $\pm$  SEM of four independent samples.

**Fig. 4**. Rainbow trout GALT cell FACS profile. Forward scatter (FSC) and side scatter (SSC) analysis indicates three distinct populations of cells are present. E: presumptive epithelial cells, L: presumptive lymphoid cells, and M: presumptive myeloid cells. The figure shows cells from one representative fish of four analysed.

**Fig. 5**. Transmission electron micrographs of rainbow trout GALT cells: (a) & (b) Lymphocytes, characterized by a large nuclear:cytoplasmic ratio, (c) Neutrophil, note the lobulated nucleus, (d) Macrophage, note the non-lobulated nucleus, surface ruffles and cytoplasmic granules, (e) Macrophage undergoing phagocytosis, arrow indicates a phagosome containing an ingested cell, and (f) an unknown vacuolated granulocyte.

**Fig. 6.** Time-dependent induction of (a) IL-1 $\beta$ 1, (b) IL-6A and (c) TNF- $\alpha$ 2 gene expressions by LPS in primary cultures of rainbow trout GALT leucocytes isolated by protocols-1, -2 and -3. The immune gene expression was determined by qPCR, normalized to EF-1 $\alpha$  and

expressed as a mean fold change relative to the control cells. Bars are means  $\pm$  SEM of four independent samples. Differences between stimulated samples and controls are shown as \**p*<0.05, \*\**p*<0.01 and \*\*\**p*<0.001. Lines above the bars show significant differences between the two time points.

**Fig. 7.** The expression of marker genes for T-lymphocytes (CD4-1, CD8 $\alpha$ , TCR $\alpha$  and TCR $\gamma$ ), B-lymphocytes (sIgM, mIgM, sIgT and mIgT) and dendritic cells (CD83, CD208, CD209 and MHCII $\beta$ ), in GALT leucocyte cultures isolated from Atlantic salmon gut and incubated for 4 h and 24 h. The marker gene expression was determined by qPCR, normalised to EF-1 $\alpha$  and presented relative to the CD4-1 transcript level at 4 h. Bars are means  $\pm$  SEM of GALT cells from 4 fish.

**Fig. 8.** Time-dependent induction of (a) IL-1 $\beta$ 1, (b) IL-6A, (c) IL-8, (d) TNF- $\alpha$ 2, (e) CATH-2 and (f) IRF3 gene expression by PHA, LPS, poly I:C, YRF, IL-2B, IL-21, IFN- $\alpha$ 2, IL-1 $\beta$ 1 and IL-6 in GALT leucocyte cultures isolated from Atlantic salmon gut. The immune gene expression was determined by qPCR, normalized to EF-1 $\alpha$  and expressed as a mean fold change relative to the control cells. Bars are means  $\pm$  SEM of GALT cells from 4 fish. Differences between stimulated samples and controls are shown as \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01 and \*\*\*p<0.001.

**Fig. 9.** Time-dependent induction of (a) IFN- $\alpha$ , (b) IFN- $\gamma$ 1, (c) IL-2A, (d) IL-4/13B1, (e) IL-17A/F-1 and (f) IL-22 gene expressions by PHA, LPS, poly I:C, YRF, IL-2B, IL-21, IFN- $\alpha$ 2, IL-1 $\beta$ 1 and IL-6 in GALT leucocytes cultures isolated from Atlantic salmon gut. The immune gene expression was determined by qPCR, normalized to EF-1 $\alpha$  and expressed as a mean fold change relative to the control cells. Bars are means  $\pm$  SEM of GALT cells from 4 fish. Differences between stimulated samples and controls are shown as \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01 and \*\*\*p<0.001.

**Supplementary Fig. 1**. Zigzag flow diagram of the optimised salmonid gut leucocyte isolation protocol.

# Table 1

-			-
Gene	Forward primer (5' – 3')	Reverse primer (5' — 3')	GenBank Acc. no.
EF-1α	CAAGGATATCCGTCGTGGCA	ACAGCGAAACGACCAAGAGG	AF498320
CD4	GTGTGGAGGTGCTACAGGTTTTTTC	ATCGTCACCCGCTGTCTGTG	AY973028
CD8α	CAAGTCGTGCAAAGTGGGAAA	TCTGTTGTTGGCTATAGGATGT	AF178053
TCRα	CAAACTGGTATTTTGACACAGATGCAA	TTCTTGTGTTGTCTTTGAGGGACTGA	BT073987
TCRγ	CATCCCAAAAGATACTCCAACC	GAGAGAGAGCCCACAGCAATAC	EU072700.1
CD83	GTGAGGTGGTACAAGCTGGGTG	GCTGCCAGGAGACACTTGTAC	AY263797
CD208	ACATGAAAAGCTGTTCCCAACTGC	AGCCCAGCACTCAACCTCCTC	NM_001281412
CD209	CACCACTGACCACAGCGAATTG	GAACATTTCTCATCATTCCACC	FN667662
мнсііβ	TGTCAGAGTCAGGTGGACCAGGA	GGCTCACCTCAGGTTCCCAGAT	3 alleles
sigM	TACAAGAGGGAGACCGGAGGAGT	CTTCCTGATTGAATCTGGCTAGTGGT	X65261
mlgM	CCTACAAGAGGGAGACCGATTGTC	GTCTTCATTTCACCTTGATGGCAGT	OMU04616
sigT	CATCAGCTTCACCAAAGGAAGTGA	TCACTTGTCTTCACATGAGTTACCCGT	AY870268
mlgT	TCGAAGTCCACGGCGAACA	GTGTTCTTCACCGCTTCATCTTGAA	AY870264
IL-1β1	CCTGGAGCATCATGGCGTG	GCTGGAGAGTGCTGTGGAAGAACATATAG	AJ278242
IL-6A	GGGAGAAAATGATCAAGATGCTCGT	GCAGACATGCCTCCTTGTTGG	DQ866150
TNF-α2	CTGTGTGGCGTTCTCTTAATAGCAGCTT	CATTCCGTCCTGCATCGTTGC	AJ401377
IL-8	AGAGACACTGAGATCATTGCCAC	CCCTCTTCATTTGTTGTTGGC	AJ310565
Type I IFN-α	CTGTTTGATGGGAATATGAAATCTGC	CCTGTGCACTGTAGTTCATTTTTCTCAG	AJ580911
IFN-γ1	CAAACTGAAAGTCCACTATAAGATCTCCA	TCCTGAATTTTCCCCTTGACATATTT	AJ616215
IL-2A	TGATGTAGAGGATAGTTGCATTGTTGC	GAAGTGTCCGTTGTGCTGTTCTC	AM422779
IL-4/13B1	GAGATTCATCTACTGCAGAGGATCATGA	GCAGTTGGAAGGGTGAAGCTTATTGTA	HG794522
IRF3	ACTGGTCATGGTCGAGGTGGT	CACAAGTCCATCATCTCCTGCAG	AJ829668
IL-22	GAAGGAACACGGCTGTGCTATTAAAC	GATCTAGGCGTGCACACAGAAGTC	AM748538
IL-17A/F-1	CAAACGTACACTTTTTGATGGTGCTG	GGGACTCATCATAGGTGGTGTTGGT	KJ921977
CATH-2	ACATGGAGGCAGAAGTTCAGAAGA	GAGCCAAACCCAGGACGAGA	AY542963

Fig.1





Fig.2a & b

Fig.3a



Leucocyte type marker genes



Fig.3b

Fig.3c



Leucocyte type marker genes







Fig.6a, b & c





🗖 4 h



Leucocyte type marker genes

# Fig.8a & b



Fig.8c & d



Fig.8e &f



# Fig.9a & b



Fig.9c & d



# Fig.9e & f



# Suppl. Fig. 1

