### **Environment, Development and Sustainability**

# Modelling long-term attainable soil organic carbon sequestration across the highlands of Ethiopia --Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	ENVI-D-20-03177R3
Full Title:	Modelling long-term attainable soil organic carbon sequestration across the highlands of Ethiopia
Article Type:	Original paper
Keywords:	SOC stock; improved land management; long-term simulated SOC sequestration; biophysical variables affecting SOC sequestration; RothC model
Corresponding Author:	Assefa Abegaz, Ph.D. Addis Ababa University Addis Ababa, Addis Ababab ETHIOPIA
Corresponding Author Secondary Information:	
Corresponding Author's Institution:	Addis Ababa University
Corresponding Author's Secondary Institution:	
First Author:	Assefa Abegaz, Ph.D.
First Author Secondary Information:	
Order of Authors:	Assefa Abegaz, Ph.D.
	Ashenafi Ali, Mphil
	Lulseged Tamene, PhD
	Wuletawu Abera, PhD
	Jo U. Smith, PhD
Order of Authors Secondary Information:	
Funding Information:	
Abstract:	The objectives of this study across the highlands of Ethiopia were (i) to characterize the association between soil organic carbon (SOC) stocks and biophysical variables, and (ii) to model and map attainable SOC sequestration associated with five improved land management practices. The spatial distribution of the SOC stock was studied using a multiple linear regression model driven by eight biophysical predictors. A widely used SOC model (RothC) was then used to model changes in SOC over the next 20 to 50 years of improved land management. Simulations were driven by the derived SOC stocks, pH and clay contents that are available in the ISRIC soils database at 250 m resolution and climate data from the "Enhancing National Climate Services Initiative" database. Organic carbon inputs to the model were estimated from the "Improved Crop Varieties Yield Register" of the Ministry of Agriculture and Livestock Resource and the Central Statistics Authority. After 50 years of conservation tillage with 80% of available manure applied to cultivated land, the total SOC stock increase by 169,182,174 t, which is 2.8 times higher than the stock increase with only 50% of available manure applied. Introduction of improved pasture species and measures to control soil eroison was an important source of net carbon sequestration in grasslands. Afforestation and reforestation of degraded landscapes and protection of natural ecosystems further increased soil Carbon. This highlights the importance of improved land management practices to SOC sequestration, which in turn could enhance agricultural productivity, food security and sustainable development.
Response to Reviewers:	08 July 2021
	Prot. Dr. Luc Hens,

Editor-in-Chief, Journal of Environment, Development and Sustainability
Subject: Revised Manuscript ENVI-D-20-03177R2 – Abegaz et al. "Modelling long-term attainable soil organic carbon sequestration across the highlands of Ethiopia", after minor revision, i.e., English language corrections.
Dear Prof. Dr. Luc Hens,
Thank you very much for your positive decision to accept our manuscript for publication after we have carried out English language corrections by a native English Language speaker.
We also would like to extend our thanks to the reviewers for reviewing our revised manuscript and their recommendation for publication.
Dear Prof. Dr. Luc,
Based on your advice, we hereby resubmitted the paper with language corrections by a native English speaker (the Co-author of the paper, Jo U Smith is a native English Speaker). We have highlighted using blue font the changes and added texts, while deleted texts are removed from the revised manuscript.
Yours sincerely,
Assefa Abegaz (on behalf of all co-authors)

### Modelling long-term attainable soil organic carbon sequestration across the highlands of Ethiopia

#### Assefa Abegaz<sup>a,1</sup>, Ashenafi Ali<sup>b</sup>, Lulseged Tamene<sup>c</sup>, Wuletawu Abera<sup>c</sup>, Jo U. Smith<sup>d</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Geography and Environmental Studies, Addis Ababa University, P.O. Box 150375, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia <sup>b</sup>Soil Study and Agricultural Planning Sub-Process, Irrigation, Drainage, Flood Control and Protection Process, Ethiopia Construction Design and Supervision Works Corporation (ECDSWCo), Addis Ababa, Ethiopia <sup>c</sup>Alliance for Bioversity International and Center for Tropical Agriculture (CIAT), Addis Ababa, Ethiopia <sup>d</sup>Institute of Biological & Environmental Science, University of Aberdeen, 23 St Machar Drive, Aberdeen, AB24 3UU, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Corresponding author: Phone 251 911706793, Email: <u>assefa.abegaz@gmail.com</u>, <u>assefa.abegaz@aau.edu.et</u>

### Modeling long-term attainable soil organic carbon sequestration across the highlands of Ethiopia

#### Abstract

The objectives of this study across the highlands of Ethiopia were (i) to characterize the association between soil organic carbon (SOC) stocks and biophysical variables, and (ii) to model and map attainable SOC sequestration associated with five improved land management practices. The spatial distribution of the SOC stock was studied using a multiple linear regression model driven by eight biophysical predictors. A widely used SOC model (RothC) was then used to model changes in SOC over the next 20 to 50 years of improved land management. Simulations were driven by the derived SOC stocks, pH and clay contents that are available in the ISRIC soils database at 250 m resolution and climate data from the "Enhancing National Climate Services Initiative" database. Organic carbon inputs to the model were estimated from the "Improved Crop Varieties Yield Register" of the Ministry of Agriculture and Livestock Resource and the Central Statistics Authority. After 50 years of conservation tillage with 80% of available manure applied to cultivated land, the total SOC stock increased by 169,182,174 t, which is 2.8 times higher than the stock increase with only 50% of available manure applied. Introduction of improved pasture species and measures to control soil erosion was an important source of net carbon sequestration in grasslands. Afforestation and reforestation of degraded landscapes and protection of natural ecosystems further increased soil carbon. This highlights the importance of improved land management practices to SOC sequestration, which in turn could enhance agricultural productivity, food security and sustainable development.

Keywords: SOC stock, improved land management, long-term simulated SOC sequestration, biophysical variables affecting SOC sequestration, RothC model.

#### 1. Introduction

The soil carbon (C) pool holds 3 times the amount of C stored in the atmosphere and 4 times that in the biotic pools (Lal 2004). The soil serves as the link between C pools in the atmosphere, biota and oceans, acting as either a sink or a source of carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) and other greenhouse gases (World Bank 2012). Therefore, since land-use change is a major global source of CO<sub>2</sub>, methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) and nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) emissions (Xiao 2015), small changes in this large pool can have significant effects on the concentration of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and hence climate change (Xiao 2015; Lal 2008).

Carbon sequestration in the soil requires the rate of accumulation of soil organic carbon (SOC) to be increased through sustainable land management practices while controlling practices that result in losses (Abera et al. 2020; Namirembe et al. 2020; Fusaro et al. 2019; Ramesh et al. 2019; Adimassu et al. 2018; Blanco-Canqui et al. 2018; Bass et al. 2000). Three measures exist for SOC sequestration; potential, attainable and actual, as defined by a physiochemical maximum limit for storage of C in the soil, the socioeconomic factors that limit the input of C to the soil system, and the current land management practices that reduce SOC, respectively (World Bank 2012).

Soil C sequestration depends on a number of soil forming factors, including soil physiochemical parameters, land-use, management, climate, topography, agroclimatic zone and time (Wiesmeier et al. 2019; Begum et al. 2017; FAO 2001). Soil C increases significantly with increasing percentage soil clay (Follett et al. 2012) because physical protection of organic matter by clays reduces the rate of decomposition (Xiao 2015; Dalal and Chan 2001). Soil pH controls the efficiency of decomposition of SOC by microbial enzymes, with optimum decomposition observed at a pH of about 6.7 (Xiao 2015; Dalal and Chan 2001). Increasing soil moisture to field capacity increases annual biomass production and net plant-derived C input to the soil, so potentially increasing the rate of C sequestration (Cotrufo et al. 2011; Zhou et al. 2008). However, increased soil moisture also increases microbial activity, so accelerating the rate of decomposition (Jobbagy and Jackson 2000). Increased mean annual temperature can result in higher plant biomass and so higher inputs of organic C to the soil (Xiao 2015), but increased soil temperature also facilitates faster microbial decomposition and greater loss of C through respiration (Follett et al. 2012; Canadell et al. 2007). Altitude and agroclimatic zone control the temperature and rainfall distribution in tropical highland regions, such as in Ethiopia, which in turn impact vegetation and crop growth, land-use options and human activities (IFPR and CSA 2006), so determining attainable SOC sequestration.

A range of improved land management practices have been suggested to increase attainable SOC sequestration in agricultural and natural ecosystems, and consequently to enhance soil health, food production and the resilience of ecosystem services to climate change (Fig.1A; Xiao 2015; World Bank 2012; Lal 2011; Feller et al. 2001). Forest and alpine vegetation ecosystems in the Ethiopian highlands contain more C stock per unit area than any other land-use (Abegaz et al. 2020). Therefore, protection of existing natural forest, alpine vegetation, closed bush-shrub-woodlands and swamps (Fig. 1A) is the least-cost and most recommended management option for conserving SOC stocks (World Bank 2012). Ethiopia has become a partner of the REDD + network, which aims to conserve existing forest carbon stocks (MEFCC 2018). Afforestation of barren lands and reforestation of degraded forest and bush-shrub-woodlands provide further methods for achieving long-term sequestration of C (FAO 2001). In 2019, with the "Green Legacy" initiative, Ethiopia has planted 4 billion seedlings (Abegaz et al. 2020), with the program continuing in 2020 and planting a further 5 billion seedlings.

#### FIGURE 1 HERE

Different options for grassland management can be adopted to increase attainable SOC sequestration (Fig. 1A). Controlled grazing could reduce land degradation, SOC depletion and ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>) emissions (World Bank 2012). Introduction of more productive and deep-rooted grass species could support the restoration of degraded vegetation and increase above- and below-ground biomass production, which consequently can reintroduce large amounts of soil organic matter into the soil resulting in C sequestration (FAO 2017; World Bank 2012).

Different cropland management practices could be used to enhance rates of SOC sequestration (Namirembe et al. 2020; Fusaro et al. 2019). These include conservation tillage (with incorporation of more than 30% of crop residues into the soil (World Bank 2012)), application of manures and composts, use of crop rotations, adoption of improved crop varieties and controlled soil erosion (Fig. 1A). A meta-analysis by Abera et al. (2020) reported that implementation of conservation agriculture practices in Ethiopia showed significant increases of SOC (24%) and agricultural productivity (18%), and a significant decrease of soil erosion (45%). Application of animal manure to croplands is an age-old practice that has been commonly used in the highlands of Ethiopia to increase or maintain agricultural productivity. Use of organic manures as fertilizers has potential to maintain crop yields, while also increasing C inputs to the soil and avoiding potential adverse environmental impacts of chemical fertilizers (Lu et al. 2015; Reeve et al. 2012).

Finally, accelerated soil erosion due to misuse of agricultural land poses a serious challenge in both cultivated and grazing land in the highlands of Ethiopia (Shiferaw et al. 2013). Adoption of effective conservation measures to combat accelerated soil erosion could reverse soil degradation trends and increase attainable SOC sequestration (Chen et al. 2020; Adimassu et al. 2018; Hishe et al. 2017). Adoption of these practices also has multiple benefits to hydrological and nutrient cycling, soil quality, climate change mitigation and improved resilience of agricultural systems (Fig. 1B). Since the 1980s, a large-scale initiative in soil and water conservation practices has been underway in the highlands of Ethiopia (Kosmowski 2018; Engdawork and Bork 2014). Indigenous agricultural terraces are well-developed practices that are used in different parts of Ethiopia (Kosmowski 2018; Gebreslassie 2014), and so these have been included in the package of the Sustainable Land Management Program of Ethiopia (Abera et al. 2021). A study by Wei et al. (2016) reported that terraced plots were on average 11.5 times more effective at controlling erosion than non-terraced plots, which in turn enhanced SOC sequestration. A study by Chen et al. (2020) suggested that the increase in SOC sequestration attributable to terracing was on average 32.4%.

Many previous studies in different areas of the Ethiopian highlands have focused on changes in SOC associated with land use change. Local changes have been measured by Vågen et al. (2013), Chibsa and Ta' (2009), Freier et al. (2009), Girmay et al. (2008), Lemma et al. (2006), and Yimer et al. (2006). Regional scale losses were calculated by Abegaz et al. (2020), and Niles et al. (2010). Long-term dynamics have been investigated by Abegaz et al. (2016) and Abegaz and van Keulen (2009).

Most of modeling of SOC sequestration has been carried out at global scale, or in Europe and the USA (e.g. Husniev et al. 2020; Cagnarini et al. 2019; FAO 2019; Gomes et al. 2019; Morais et al. 2019; Begum et al. 2017; Wang et al. 2017a; Wang et al. 2016; Gottschalk et al. 2012; Liu et al. 2011; Barančíková et al. 2010; Smith et al. 2005). Application of modelling at regional and national scales in Africa is missing, in part because the spatial distribution of SOC is poorly defined and knowledge gaps remain in many regions of Africa. Specifically, to date, there has been no agroclimatic or land-use based modeling and mapping of attainable SOC sequestration associated with improved land management across the highlands of Ethiopia. Therefore, the objectives of this study were (i) to characterize the variation of SOC stocks as related to the variation of biophysical variables, and (ii) to model and map SOC sequestration attainable following 20 (2021-2041) and 50 (2021-2071) years of improved land management across the highlands of Ethiopia. The results of this study will help to inform stakeholders in environmental and agricultural development planning on how to enhance SOC sequestration, mitigate climate change, and increase agricultural productivity and food security across the highlands of Ethiopia.

#### 2.1. The study area

The Ethiopian highlands are situated in the Horn of Africa between 3.10 °N and 14.65 °N, and 34.52 °E and 43.36 °E. They are defined by elevations ranging from 1,500 to 4,620 m above sea level (asl) (IFPRI and CSA 2006). The area under this zone covers 37,710,846 ha, which is about 33% of the total land area of Ethiopia (Fig. 2). In Ethiopia, 33 different agroclimatic zones are defined (Dinku et al. 2014a,b; MoARD 2005), by overlaying elevation, length of growing period and thermal zones, following the FAO (1996) guidelines for agroecological zoning. The length of growing period and thermal zones were defined based on gridded mean monthly temperature, rainfall and evapotranspiration data at 4km resolution from 1983 to 2017, using data from nearly 300 meteorological stations and the MODIS land surface satellite data (Dinku et al. 2018). Elevation, length of growing period and thermal class layers were overlayed and combined spatially in a geographical information system environment to establish the agroclimatic zones at elevations over 1,500 m asl. Based on this operation, 26 agroclimatic zones were defined in the highlands of Ethiopia. We reclassified them into 15 zones (Fig.2A) by merging 11 zones (each zone with less than 0.3% area of the highlands of Ethiopia) with zones which do not have significant differences in annual rainfall and temperature distribution. While each of the cool, cold and very cold agroclimatic zones were subdivided into four subzones of moist, submoist, sub-humid and humid (MoARD 2005), we merged the subzones of each zone and defined them as cool submoist-humid, cold submoist-humid and very cold submoist-humid zones, respectively. The cool semi-arid zone was merged with the tepid semi-arid zone, and the warm perhumid zone was merged with the warm sub-humid zone. The three major agroclimatic zones were tepid moist (28.06%), tepid subhumid (14.48%) and warm moist (10.13%). Minor agroclimatic zones were very cold submoist-humid (0.18%), tepid perhumid (0.63%) and cold submoist-humid (0.75%).

#### **FIGURE 2 HERE**

The land cover of the highlands of Ethiopia was classified by Kassawmar et al. (2016; 2018a,b) using Landsat 30 m satellite image analyses for the period between 1986 and 2016, following the approach used by Anderson et al. (1976) and Loveland et al. (2000), adjusted for the Ethiopian highlands. The classification scheme produced 12 major classes based on a total 4380 validation points (Kassawmar et al. 2016). We reclassified them into seven land-use/cover classes (Fig. 2B) by merging shrubland, woodland and bush lands into a single "shrub-wood-bush land" class, natural forest and plantation forest into a single "forest" class, and private and state cultivated lands into a single "cultivation" class. Water bodies were excluded from the analysis. The largest land cover is cultivation (55.15%) followed by shrub-wood-bush land (19.72%), forest (12.35%) and grassland (11.32%), while the smallest land cover is barren land (0.24%) followed by swamps (0.28%) (Fig. 2B). Land use was differently distributed amongst agroclimatic zones, with cultivation dominating the cool moist, tepid moist and tepid per-humid zones (over 61% of the total area of each), forests dominating the tepid subhumid (70.59%) and tepid humid (58.50%) zones, and shrub-wood-bush lands dominating the warm submoist zones (43.98%). Cultivated and forest lands occurred in all agroclimatic zones.

## **2.2.** Characterization of the variation of soil organic carbon stocks as related to the variation of biophysical variables

Because direct survey measurements of SOC stocks at regional scale do not exist in the highlands of Ethiopia, eight biophysical predictors (clay content, soil pH, soil moisture, rainfall, temperature, potential evapotranspiration (PET), land-use and altitude) were used to characterize the current spatial distribution of SOC stocks. Each of these biophysical predictors were classified into five to ten classes. The mean SOC stock for each class of each biophysical variable along with the agroclimatic zone classes was calculated and assigned to the corresponding land-use classes and the spatial distribution of the current SOC stock in the top 0-20 cm of soil was mapped. The total SOC stock,  $SOC_{tot}$  (t), was calculated using equation 1.

$$SOC_{\text{tot}} = \sum_{k=i}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{i,k} \times SOC_{i,k}$$
(1)

where *N* is the total number of agroclimatic zones, *n* is the total number of land-use types in each agroclimatic zone, and  $A_{i,k}$  is the area (ha) and  $SOC_{i,k}$  is the SOC stock (t ha<sup>-1</sup>) of land-use type *i* (ha) in agroclimatic zone *k*. Inferential statistics were analyzed using statistical package for social sciences (SPSS) version 20. A one-way ANOVA was used to test whether the differences in the mean SOC stocks of the biophysical variables were significant or not at P<0.05 level. In order to quantitatively understand the factors that control the spatial variability of SOC stocks, we used multiple linear regression model (John et al. 2020; Wang et al. 2018; Abegaz et al. 2016; Meersmans et al. 2008) using the eight biophysical predictors listed above as

$$SOC_{i,k} = \partial + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \dots + \beta_n X_n$$
(2)

where,  $SOC_{i,k}$  is the predicted SOC stock,  $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$ , are predictor variables,  $\beta_1, \beta_2, ..., \beta_n$  are the coefficients of predictor variables  $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n$ , respectively, and  $\partial$  is a constant. An *F*-test was used to test whether the coefficients of the multiple linear regression model were significantly different from zero or not at P<0.05 level.

# 2.3. Modeling and mapping soil organic carbon sequestration attainable following 20 and 50 years of improved land management

#### 2.3.1. Model selection

There are several types of process-based SOC models that can be used to estimate the SOC stock (a list of about 30 models can be found in Falloon and Smith (2009)). Table 1 presents the comparative features, advantages and disadvantages of some of the widely used process-based models, including RothC. Since our study focuses specifically on SOC dynamics, the RothC model was selected as it is able to do these simulations with lower data requirements (Table 1).

#### **TABLE 1 HERE**

The RothC model was developed using experimentally derived biophysical variables, and has been widely used over the last 20 years using field measurements in a wide range of countries, including Ethiopia (e.g. Shahzad et al. 2017; Abegaz et al. 2016; Setia et al. 2011a,b; Smith et al. 1997). It has also been applied at catchment, regional and global scale using data obtained from digital databases (e.g. Setia et al. 2013, 2012; Gottschalk et al. 2012, 2010; Smith et al. 2005; Schröter et al. 2005; Smith et al. 2000a,b, 1998).

The performance of RothC has also been evaluated and its robustness has been confirmed by comparing modeled and long-term measured changes in SOC (e.g. Husniev et al. 2020; Cagnarini et al. 2019; Gomes et al. 2019; Morais et al. 2019; Begum et al. 2017; Wang et al. 2017a; Wang et al. 2016; Gottschalk et al. 2012; Barančíková et al. 2010; Guo et al. 2007; Smith et al. 2005; and Falloon and Smith 2002). Abegaz et al. (2016) also evaluated the RothC model in the highlands of Ethiopia by comparing its outputs with those produced by the Wolf model (Wolf et al. 1989). The evaluations presented in these studies suggest that RothC is suitable for prediction of SOC dynamics under a wide range of soil and agricultural management systems. Therefore, in this study we used the existing tested RothC model, without modification, for scenario prediction across the highlands of Ethiopia.

#### 2.3.2. Data used for model initialization

The RothC model was initialized for each of the seven land-uses and 15 agroclimatic zones across the highlands of Ethiopia using (i) measured SOC stock and soil physical parameters (percent clay content, pH, salinity, volumetric moisture at field capacity (FC) and permanent wilting point (PWP)) for the top 0-20 cm of soil from the ISRIC SoilGrids250 m database (Hengl et al. 2017); and ii) gridded weather data at 4km resolution from 1983 to 2017 (mean monthly and mean annual rainfall, air temperature and PET) provided by the ENACTS tool of Ethiopia's National Meteorological Agency (NMA) (Dinku et al. 2018). These gridded data were prepared by blending data from nearly 300 meteorological stations and the MODIS land surface temperature satellite (Dinku et al. 2018). The study was restricted to the top 0-20 cm soil depth (Aberal et al. 2021; Abegaz et al. 2020, 2016; Vågen et al. 2013).

#### 2.3.3. Description of soil organic matter pools and determination of initial pool sizes

RothC is a simple five-pool model, of which four pools are active compartments that are assumed to decompose by first-order processes (Jenkinson et al. 1987, 1992). These active pools are described as fresh plant material that is decomposable (DPM) or resistant (RPM) to decomposition, and decomposed organic matter that is active microbial biomass (BIO) or stabilized humus (HUM) (Jenkinson et al. 1987; 1992). The fifth pool is assumed to be resistant to decomposition and is referred to as inert organic matter (IOM) (Falloon and Smith 2009). The rate constants of the active pools, *k*, are 10.0 y<sup>-1</sup> (DPM), 0.3 y<sup>-1</sup> (RPM), 0.66 y<sup>-1</sup> (BIO), and 0.02 y<sup>-1</sup> (HUM) (Jenkinson et al., 1987, 1992). The size of IOM pool,  $C_{IOM}$  (t ha<sup>-1</sup>), was estimated from the measured total SOC using the Falloon equation (Falloon et al. 1998) as

$$C_{\rm IOM} = 0.049 \times C_{\rm meas}^{1.139}$$
 (3)

where  $C_{\text{meas}}$  is the measured SOC (t ha<sup>-1</sup>).

Computational procedures for the active pools, their relative proportions, and the distribution of the annual plant C inputs through the year can be found in Abegaz et al. (2016), Smith et al. (2014, 2005). The size of C inputs as DPM and RPM for arable lands, grasslands, forests/alpine vegetation, bush-wood-shrub lands and swamps are defined based on the default values provided by Coleman et al. (1997). The C inputs of extra organic amendments (cattle manure and compost) as DPM and HUM are defined as given by Smith et al. (2014) using a DPM: HUM ratio of 31.45; this estimates a minimum rate of C sequestration because the decomposable component of the organic inputs is high. The DPM and RPM decompose to produce BIO, HUM and CO<sub>2</sub> and then the BIO and HUM pools further decompose to BIO, HUM and CO<sub>2</sub> (Smith et al. 2014). The monthly rate of decomposition in each pool is modified by the rate modifying factors of temperature (*a*), moisture content

(*b*), pH (*c*) and salinity (*d*) of the soil, plant cover (*e*) and the decomposition rate constant (k/12) (equation 4). Computational details for each rate modifier can be found in Smith et al. (2014) and Falloon and Smith (2009). The amount of SOC (t ha<sup>-1</sup>) of each of the four active pools (DPM, RPM, BIO, and HUM) at the end of the month is estimated as described by Coleman and Jenkinson (1996) as

$$C_{\rm end} = C_{\rm start} \times e^{-abcdek/12} \tag{4}$$

where  $C_{end}$  is SOC in the pool at the end of the month and  $C_{start}$  is SOC in the pool at the beginning of a month, both in t ha<sup>-1</sup>. The sum of these pools gives the total active SOC.

#### 2.3.4. Contextual improved land management and organic inputs

In this study five improved land management practices were considered (Fig. 3 and Table 2). These practices were derived from Fig. 2B and the National Atlas of the Forest Sector Development Program of Ethiopia (MEFCC 2018, 2016). The first improved land management practice was conservation tillage; this was used for two scenarios. Scenario 1 involves use of 50% crop residues in combination with the use of improved crop varieties, crop rotation, controlled soil erosion and 50% of the available manure applied on all currently cultivated lands; this was defined as "conservation tillage-50". Scenario 2 involves use of 50% crop residues in combination with the use of improved crop varieties, crop rotation, controlled soil erosion and 80% of the available manure; this was defined as "conservation tillage-80". The annual dry matter manure production in the highlands of Ethiopia was estimated from the livestock database of Ethiopian Central Statistical Agency (CSA, [CSA 2018]) and organic C inputs to the soil from manure were estimated by assuming annual dry matter manure production of 1.8 t per year per head of cattle, and 55% C content of the dry matter content of the manure (Snijders et al. 2013).

#### **FIGURE 3 HERE**

Data on improved crop varieties and grain yields in the highlands of Ethiopia were collected from the Crop Varieties Register of the Ministry of Agriculture and Livestock Resources (MALR, [MALR 2017]). It was estimated from the harvest index and shoot/root ratio that on average 50% of the plant dry matter was input to the soil as crop residues, roots and root exudates (Poeplau 2016; Gelaw et al. 2014; Dubey and Lal 2009), respectively. About 50% of the crop residues were assumed to be collected from the fields for use as livestock feed and for other household uses. In crop rotation, three to six improved crop varieties were considered based on their agroclimatic growth requirements (MALR 2017) (see Table 2 footnote). Descriptions of the current land-use management practices and improved practices are presented in Table 2.

#### **TABLE 2 HERE**

#### 2.3.5. Prediction of long-term attainable soil organic carbon sequestration

There were 79 land cover / agroclimatic zone combinations (barren lands in 6 zones, swamps in 7 zones, alpine vegetation in 10 zones, grasslands in 13 zones, shrub-wood-bushlands in 13 zones, cultivated lands in 15 zones, and forests in 15 zones). Out of these 24 land cover types (forests, shrub-wood-bushlands, alpine vegetation, and swamps in agroclimatic zones 8, 5, 7, and 4) were set for protection and so remained unchanged. The RothC model was used to explore the long-term

dynamics of SOC sequestration for 55 land cover types under the proposed improved management options (Fig. 3; Table 2). Two simulation periods were used: (i) 20 years (2021-2041), and (ii) 50 years (2021 – 2071). For each land use along with agroclimatic zone, net change in SOC stock ( $\Delta SOC_{stock}$ ) with application of improved land management was calculated using equation 5, and mapped for 2041 and 2071.

$$\Delta SOC_{\rm stock} = SOC_{\rm end} - SOC_{\rm start} \tag{5}$$

where  $SOC_{end}$  is total SOC stock at the end of 2041 or 2071 and  $SOC_{start}$  is the total SOC stock at the beginning of 2021, both in t ha<sup>-1</sup>. Finally, the results were cumulated for the whole of the highlands of Ethiopia to estimate net gain or loss of SOC for the two periods.

#### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Current soil organic carbon stocks as related to variation in biophysical factors

#### 3.1.1. Soil organic carbon stock variation as related to variation of clay content, pH and soil moisture

Fig. 4 shows the variation in the mean stock of SOC as related to biophysical factors across the highlands of Ethiopia. Clay content ranged from 10 to 60% (Fig. 4A). The stock in soils of 10-15% clay content was the lowest (44.2 ( $\pm$  standard error of 0.43) t ha<sup>-1</sup>), and it increased to 93.4 ( $\pm$  0.05) t ha<sup>-1</sup> with increasing clay content up to 35%, and then it gradually declined to 68.7 ( $\pm$  0.47) t ha<sup>-1</sup> at 55-60% clay contents. The variation in C stock along classes of clay fraction was significant (P<0.001). This implies that in soils with higher clay content, the decomposition rates of SOC are lower and so they have the capacity to accumulate more soil C than soils with lower clay contents (Zhong et al. 2018; Xiao 2015; Feng et al. 2013; Follett et al. 2012; Giardina et al. 2001). The decline in soil C above 35% clay may be due to the dominance of Nitosols in the highlands of Ethiopia which contain >30% clay content (Elias 2016) but with weak binding to organic matter (Wattel-Koekkoek 2002). Consistent with our result, Wang et al. (2017b) have reported a negative association between soil C accumulation and soil clay fraction in soils with higher SOC content.

The SOC stock in soils of pH 4-5 was the highest (110.5 ( $\pm$  0.34) t ha<sup>-1</sup>), and gradually declined to 47.0 ( $\pm$  0.14) t ha<sup>-1</sup> with increasing pH up to 9 (Fig. 4B). The variation in C stock with soil pH class was significant (P<0.001). Similarly, Zhou et al. (2019) and Dan et al. (2016), reported an inverse relationship between soil C accumulation and soil pH. This inverse relationship is due to the reduced activity of soil micro-organisms at low pH as well as the increased solubility of soil organic matter with reduced bonding between the organic constituents and clays at above pH 6 (Neina 2019; Curtin et al. 2016; Andersson et al. 2000).

The C stock was lowest in soils with soil moisture content at field capacity (FC) of 25-29% (C stock 50.8 ( $\pm$  0.04) t ha<sup>-1</sup>). This increased to 91.1 ( $\pm$  0.03) t ha<sup>-1</sup> with increasing FC up to 40%, and then declined to 88.4 ( $\pm$  0.13) t ha<sup>-1</sup> as FC increased to 40-45% (Fig. 4C). The variation in C stock with soil moisture at FC was significant (P<0.05). This is in agreement with the findings of Manns et al. (2016) and Franzluebbers (2002) who reported a direct positive linear relationship between soil water holding capacity and SOC stock for a wide range of locations. The decline in soil C stock above 40% FC may be due to increased rate of soil C decomposition because of increased soil moisture accompanied by high temperatures that facilitate increased microbial activity (Jobbagy and Jackson 2000).

# 3.1.2. Change in soil organic carbon stock with rainfall, temperature, potential evapotranspiration and altitude

Annual rainfall in the study area ranged from 400 to 2300 mm (Fig. 4D). The mean C stock in soils with 400-700 mm rainfall was the lowest (54.3 ( $\pm$  0.04) t ha<sup>-1</sup>), and showed a significant (P<0.01) linear increase to 110.0 ( $\pm$  0.16) t ha<sup>-1</sup> with increasing annual rainfall. The wide range of annual rainfall greatly influenced the soil moisture and hydrological processes (Heisler and Weltzin 2006), which in turn governed the dynamics of SOC stocks (Gomes et al. 2019; Chen et al. 2016). Rainfall influences SOC stocks in two ways; firstly, high rainfall increases the quantity of C inputs from plants to soils and secondly higher soil moisture content increases the decomposition rates of those C inputs and SOC.

The mean C stock was highest in areas with a mean annual temperature of 8-11 °C (122.1 ( $\pm$  0.11) t ha<sup>-1</sup>), and decreased linearly to 72.0 ( $\pm$  0.05) t ha<sup>-1</sup> with increasing annual temperature up to a temperature class of 20-23 °C. Mean C stock then increased to 90.8 ( $\pm$  1.15) t ha<sup>-1</sup> at temperatures between 26-29 °C (Fig. 4E). The variation in C stock with annual temperature was significant (P<0.001). The trend below 23 °C is consistent with other studies that suggest SOC stock decreases with increasing temperatures (Gomes et al. 2019; Sheikh et al. 2009; Jobbagy and Jackson 2000) due to increased microbial activity with increasing temperature (Dan et al. 2016), with a rate of decomposition that approximately doubles with every 10 °C increase in temperature (Schlesinger 2000). Above 26 °C, the rate of decomposition may slow due to it being too hot and/or dry for the micro-organisms to function (Moyano et al. 2013).

The mean C stock was the highest in areas with 1000 - 1200 mm PET ( $121.8 (\pm 0.14$ ) t ha<sup>-1</sup>), decreasing approximately linearly to 73.4 (± 0.09) t ha<sup>-1</sup> with increasing annual PET up to 1800-2000 mm class (Fig. 4F). There was a significant relationship between C stock along and annual PET (P<0.001). Some studies have reported that in semi-arid environments, water loss is dominated by evapotranspiration, which subsequently limits biomass productivity (Lu et al. 2011; Kurc and Small 2004) and microbial function (Moyano et al. 2013). Therefore, since rainfall events in many parts of the highlands of Ethiopia are erratic and concentrated in only three to four months each year, higher rates of PET in barren lands, grasslands and cultivated lands (Fig. 2B), that prevail in warm and tepid zones (Fig. 2A), might limit biomass productivity and the functioning of micro-organisms, and hence reduce the stock of SOC.

The mean C stock was lowest in soils at 1500-1900 m asl (71.8 ( $\pm$  0.02) t ha<sup>-1</sup>), increasing approximately linearly to 131.0 ( $\pm$  0.18) t ha<sup>-1</sup> with increasing altitude up to 3900 m asl (Fig. 4G). This is in agreement with the findings of Garten et al. (1999), Tate (1992) and Sims and Nielsen (1986). The variation in C stock along classes of altitude was significant (P<0.001).

#### FIGURE 4 HERE

#### 3.2. Soil organic carbon stock variation as related to agroclimatic zones and land-uses

The spatial variation in mean C stock in the top 0–20 cm soil depth ranges from 44 to 142 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, generally increasing from the north to south and southwest, and from east to the southwest (Fig. 5); this follows the rainfall gradient and perhaps also the vegetation cover (Fig. 2B). Only ~0.36% of the highlands of Ethiopia had C stocks between 132 and 142 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, while 26, 22 and 18% of the area had C stocks between 77 and 87, 88 and 98, and 66 and 76 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively (Fig. 5). The total SOC stock in the top 0-20 cm soil was ~3,089,867,050 t (which is equivalent to ~82.94 t ha<sup>-1</sup>).

The mean C stock in the 0–20 cm soil depth showed significant variation with agroclimatic zone (P < 0.001), ranging from 47.1 ( $\pm$  0.08) t ha<sup>-1</sup> in warm semiarid-arid to 137.5 ( $\pm$  0.25) t ha<sup>-1</sup> in cold submoist-humid (Fig. 5). The C stock per unit

area in the cold submoist-humid zone was 2.9 times higher than that in the warm semiarid-arid zone. The high stock in the cold submoist-humid zone may be due to lower soil pH, higher FC, lower PET (data not shown), more recalcitrant organic inputs and very cold temperatures that limit the decomposition rate of organic inputs.

The mean C stock varied with land use from 48.7 ( $\pm$  0.31) t ha<sup>-1</sup> in barren lands to 102.3 ( $\pm$  0.23) t ha<sup>-1</sup> in alpine vegetation, and the difference between land-uses was significant (P < 0.001). The mean SOC stock per unit area in alpine vegetation and forest soils were 2.1 and 1.9 times higher than in barren lands, respectively. The mean C stock was highest in alpine vegetation, probably due to the cool climate and the more recalcitrant organic inputs of alpine vegetation. Similarly, the higher mean C stocks in forest soils may be due to the higher FC and annual rainfall (data not shown), lower soil pH and the dense vegetation cover that results in higher inputs of litter C to the soil (Sheikh et al. 2009).

#### **FIGURE 5 HERE**

#### 3.3. Determinants of dynamics of SOC stock

Among the eight explanatory variables used, land-use, agroclimatic zone/elevation, percent clay, annual rainfall and moisture content at FC had a significant positive impact on the SOC stock (P<0.001), while soil pH, mean annual temperature and annual PET had a significant negative impact (Table 3). Of the variables with a positive impact, the effect of land-use was the strongest with a change in SOC stock of  $2.80 (\pm 0.01)$  t ha<sup>-1</sup> (unstandardized coefficient) per unit change in the explanatory variable, followed by agroclimatic zone  $(1.71 \pm 0.009 \text{ t ha}^{-1})$ . Of the variables with a negative impact, the effect of soil pH was the strongest with an unstandardized coefficient of  $-15.5 (\pm 0.05)$  t ha<sup>-1</sup>. The coefficient of determination of the model indicates that 60.6% of the C stock variation was explained by these eight variables; the 39.4% unexplained variation is due to other biophysical and socio-economic factors that are not considered in this analysis. The multiple linear regression model that describes the mean SOC stock across the highlands of Ethiopia, SOC<sub>stock</sub> (t ha<sup>-1</sup>), is as given by  $C_{\text{stock}} = 169.6 + 2.80LU + 1.72AC + 1.22FC + 0.321Cl + 0.004R - 15.5pH - 0.43T - 0.029PET (6) where$ *LU*is the land use class (barren land = 1; grassland = 2; cultivated land = 3; shrub-wood-bush land = 4; swamps = 5; forest = 6; and alpine vegetation = 7);*AC*is the agroclimatic zone (see Table 3 footnote);*FC*is the field capacity (vol%);*Cl*is the clay content (%);*R*is the mean annual rainfall (mm);*pH*is the soil pH;*T*is the mean annual temperature (°C); and*PET*is the annual PET (mm).

#### **TABLE 3 HERE**

#### 3.4. Long-term dynamics of attainable soil organic carbon sequestration under improved land managements

#### 3.4.1. Dynamics of attainable soil organic carbon sequestration by agroclimatic zone

Predicted long-term dynamics of SOC stocks are discussed here according to improved land-use management categories and agroclimatic zones (Fig. 6). The change in SOC after 20-years of improved land-use management ranged from - 20 t ha<sup>-1</sup> with conservation tillage-50 in the tepid perhumid zone to +24 t ha<sup>-1</sup> in grassland soils in the cool submoist-perhumid zone (Fig. 6A). Loss of SOC was observed in soils with higher C stocks and higher annual rainfall and temperature (data not shown) due to higher rates of C mineralization, associated with the high soil moisture contents and temperatures. Similar results have been documented by Wang et al. (2017a), with higher inputs of C required in these zones to reverse the loss of SOC

(Wang et al. 2017a). In the first 20 years of conservation tillage in cultivated land, the loss in the tepid perhumid zone was reduced from -20 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (for conservation tillage-50) to -15 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (for conservation tillage-80) (Fig. 6C); increasing manure input from 50% to 80% resulted in a 25% reduction in the rate of C loss. The change in C stocks after 50-years of improved management ranged from -30 t ha<sup>-1</sup> for conservation tillage-50 in the tepid perhumid zone to +39 t ha<sup>-1</sup> for grassland in the cool submoist-perhumid zone (Fig. 6B). For the same period, with conservation tillage, SOC loss was reduced from -30 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (for conservation-tillage-80) in the tepid perhumid zone (Fig. 6D).

The changes in SOC stocks remained negative under both conservation tillage-50 and -80 in cultivated lands of tepid perhumid, tepid humid, tepid subhumid and warm subhumid zones, as well as in the grasslands of the tepid humid, tepid subhumid and warm subhumid zones (Table 4). The loss in these zones is again likely to be due to the low rates of C inputs compared to the high C losses associated with the high annual rainfall and temperatures (Follett et al. 2012). In other zones, 50 years of improved land management with conservation tillage-80 increased C stocks by between 1.7 t ha<sup>-1</sup> in warm moist zone and 22.4 t ha<sup>-1</sup> in the very cold submoist-humid zone (Table 4). This is similar to the estimation of Wang et al. (2017a) who reported that the annual rate of SOC sequestration in croplands with 60% crop residue retention was 0.45 t ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>.

#### FIGURE 6 HERE

#### 3.4.3. Dynamics of attainable soil organic carbon sequestration by improved land management

The total afforested area of barren land was 90,919 ha. The initial mean SOC stock in barren lands ranged from 44 t  $ha^{-1}$  in tepid semiarid to 55 t  $ha^{-1}$  in tepid moist zones. After 20 years of afforestation, increased C stocks ranged from 1.14 t  $ha^{-1}$  (2%) in warm moist zone to 11.94 t  $ha^{-1}$  (27%) in tepid semiarid zone. After 50 years, the stock increase ranged from 7.5 t  $ha^{-1}$  (14%) in warm moist zone to 17.54 t  $ha^{-1}$  (40%) in tepid semiarid zone. The rate of increase was highest in the first 20 years; ranging from 0.06 t  $ha^{-1}$  y<sup>-1</sup> in warm moist zone to 0.60 t  $ha^{-1}$  y<sup>-1</sup> in tepid semiarid zone, compared to the rates ranging from 0.04 to 0.20 t  $ha^{-1}$  y<sup>-1</sup>, and 0.03 to 0.16 for the period between 20 and 40, and 40 and 50 years, respectively (Fig. 7A). After 20 and 50 years of afforested land, the total amounts of SOC sequestered were 553,989 t (6.09 t  $ha^{-1}$ ) and 911,722 t (10.03 t  $ha^{-1}$ ; Table 4), respectively.

#### **TABLE 4 HERE**

While the total land area of cultivation in the highlands of Ethiopia was 20,796,518 ha, the initial SOC stocks of 62% of this land were relatively low, ranging from 51 t ha<sup>-1</sup> in warm semiarid-arid to 81 t ha<sup>-1</sup> in tepid moist zone. This low rate of C stock is due to use of over 80% of crop residues and cattle dung for household energy in the highlands of Ethiopia at the expense of crop residue and manure application to farmlands (Negash et al. 2017; Gudina and Nonhebel 2015; Gwavuya et al. 2012). From these results, we concluded that the business-as-usual system should not continue. The availability of crop residues and manures for soil amendment could be increased by using alternative energy sources that do not burn dung and crop residues, such as biogas digesters, solar, wind energy and electrification; electrification will be provided by the Ethiopian Grand Renaissances Dam that will soon start supplying hydroelectric power to both the rural and urban population of Ethiopia.

After 20-years of conservation tillage-50, SOC continued to decline in six zones (Fig. 7C), ranging from a total of 0.80 t ha-1 (0.9%) in cool moist to 17.49 t ha-1 (16%) in tepid perhumid. In nine zones, C sequestered ranged from 0.17 (0.2%) in

tepid moist zone to 5.79 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (7%) in warm moist zone (Fig. 7C). After 50-years of conservation tillage-50, SOC declined in only five zones, ranging from a total of 2.46 t ha-1 (3.7%) in warm moist zone to 27.45 t ha-1 (24.36%) in tepid perhumid zone. In ten zones, the C sequestered ranged from 0.89 t ha-1 (0.97%) in cool moist to 11.20 t ha-1 (20.63%) in warm submoist zone.

For conservation tillage-80, SOC continued to decline after 20 years only in four zones (Fig. 7D), ranging from a total of 2.32 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (2.5%) in tepid subhumid zone to 15.06 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (13.36%) in tepid perhumid zone. In 11 zones, SOC increased, ranging from 0.11 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (0.15%) in warm moist to 8.33 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (15.35%) in warm submoist zone. After 50 years, the decline in the three zones ranged from 1.15 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (1.25%) in warm subhumid to 22.67 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (20.11%) in tepid perhumid zone, whereas in the other 11 zones, C sequestration ranged from 6.78 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (7.43%) in cool moist to 16.36 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (31.13%) in warm submoist zone.

#### FIGURE 7 HERE

Over the whole area of cultivated lands, after 20-years of conservation tillage-50, SOC stocks declined by 20,382,891 t (0.98 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) from the initial stock, but after the longer 50 year period the initial C stocks increased by 3,753,524 t (0.18 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, Table 4). This result is consistent with a result of Husniev et al. (2020), who reported a decline SOC stocks in a 60-year period with an annual input of 1.9 t C ha<sup>-1</sup>. For conservation tillage-80, SOC stocks were already increasing after 20 years by 32,040,507 t (1.54 t ha<sup>-1</sup>), and increasing to 112,349,160 t (5.40 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) after 50 years (Table 4). For both conservation tillage-50 and -80, the annual rates of change were highest in the first 20 years compared to the period between 20 and 40, and 40 and 50 years (Figs. 7 C&D).

The total land area of grassland was 4,270,034 ha. The initial SOC stocks were much higher than in the cultivated land, ranging from 48 t ha<sup>-1</sup> in warm semiarid-arid to 107 t ha<sup>-1</sup> in tepid perhumid zone. After 20 years of improved grassland management, the SOC stocks declined in only three zones; 6.98 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (9%) in warm subhumid, 12.22 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (12%) in tepid humid and 15.05 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (14%) in tepid perhumid zones (Fig. 7E). After 50 years, loss of SOC continued in the same zones; 10.92 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (14%) in warm subhumid, 19.18 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (19%) in tepid humid, and 23.63 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (22%) in tepid perhumid zones. In the remaining eight zones with grasslands, improved grassland management resulted in an increased C stock. After 20 years, the increases ranged from 1.30 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (1%) in the warm humid to 20.49 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (20%) in cool submoist-perhumid. After 50 years, the increases were between 2.03 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (2%) in the warm humid to 34.49 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (34%) in the cool submoist-perhumid zones. Over the whole area of grasslands, the amount of SOC sequestrated was 7,786,302 t (1.82 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) after 20 years and 12,446,337 t (2.91 t ha<sup>-1</sup> after 50 years of improved management (Table 4).

The total reforested area of degraded forests, alpine vegetation, shrub-wood-bush land and swamps was 6,380,380 ha. After 20 years of reforestation of this area, the rate of soil C stock increase ranged from 1.80 t ha<sup>-1</sup> in tepid moist to 12.40 t ha<sup>-1</sup> in cold submoist-humid zones (Fig. 7B). The rates of increase after 50 years ranged from 2.70 (4%) in tepid moist to 21.68 (21%) t ha<sup>-1</sup> in cold submoist-humid zones. After 20 and 50 years of reforestation, the absolute rates of soil C sequestration were 26,094,121 t (4.09 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and 43,474,955 t (6.81 t ha<sup>-1</sup>; Table 4), respectively. Similarly to afforested and cultivated lands, the rates of change in SOC stocks were higher in the first 20 years compared to the period between 20 and 40, and 40 and 50 years in soils of improved grasslands (Fig. 7E) and reforested lands (Fig. 7B).

In six agroclimatic zones (in tepid subhumid, tepid humid, tepid perhumid, warm moist, warm subhumid, and warm humid), areas under forest, shrub-wood-bush, alpine vegetation and swamps, with a total area of 6,172,995 ha are recommended for protection and conservation, because they are natural ecosystems and are identified as least priority for tree-

based landscape restoration by MEFCC (MEFCC 2018). Therefore, soil C stocks in these areas were assumed to remain unchanged.

Over the whole area and all land uses, after 20 years of improved land management, the regional SOC sequestration was 14,051,321 t using conservation tillage-50 and 32,040,507 t using conservation tillage-80 on the cultivated land. After 50 years, this increased to 60,586,539 t and 169,182,174 t (Table 4), equivalent to an increase from current stocks by 2.9% (0.06%  $y^{-1}$ ) and 5.5% (0.11%  $y^{-1}$ ), respectively. Total SOC sequestration after 50 years of improved land management was 2.8 times higher with conservation tillage-80 than with conservation tillage-50. This information is important for communicating the value of different management practices to stakeholders and for planning management for soil C conservation and sequestration, and greenhouse gas emission reduction (World Bank 2012).

#### 3.4.4. Uncertainties and limitations

In this study at least four uncertainties and limitations may arise around the model inputs, parameters, and subsequently model predictions (Barančíková et al. 2010). The first uncertainty is associated with the climatic change. We used the current climatic data for SOC prediction. However, increasing temperatures will speed up the decomposition of SOC in the future (Smith et al. 2005). The second uncertainty is the estimated C inputs from plant residues and manures. While increased SOC stocks and adoption of crop rotations are expected to increase soil fertility and agricultural biomass, model C input is estimated from yield of the current improved crop varieties and manures are estimated from the current livestock numbers. This may underestimate future C inputs to the soil and rates of C sequestration. The third uncertainty is in the DPM and HUM ratio used for cattle manure in the model. While recommended ratios for DPM : HUM in cattle manure ranged from 0.07 to 31.45 (Smith et al. 2014), we used the highest value (31.45) in order to estimate the minimum likely rate of C sequestration. The fourth uncertainty is the use of mean initial SOC stock from heterogeneity of local landforms from which heterogeneity of SOC can be observed.

#### 4. Conclusion and recommendation

This study characterized the association between the spatial distribution of the SOC stock and eight biophysical predictors (clay content, soil pH, soil moisture, rainfall, temperature, PET, land-use and altitude). The study also modeled and mapped SOC sequestration attainable following 20 (2021-2041) and 50 (2021-2071) years of five improved land management practices. The results of this study revealed that, over the whole area and all land-uses of the highlands of Ethiopia, the total SOC stock in the top 0-20 cm soil was ~3,089,867,050 t (~82.94 t ha<sup>-1</sup>). The difference in SOC stocks with biophysical variables, agroclimatic zones and land-uses was significant (P<0.001) (Fig. 4). Multiple linear regression revealed that the impact of changes in land-use, agroclimatic zone, rainfall, clay content and FC on SOC stock change was positive and significant (Table 3, P<0.001). Land-use had the strongest positive impact, followed by agroclimatic zone/elevation and FC. This implies that adoption of improved land management practices should be used to increase rates of C sequestration.

Initial SOC stocks of barren lands, grasslands, cultivated lands and other degraded land-uses were smaller than stocks of forest and alpine vegetation, suggesting that improved land management practices in the former land-uses are needed for sustainable agricultural and ecosystem services. This further indicates the need for afforestation in barren lands and reforestation in degraded forest, shrub-wood-bush land and alpine vegetation. The simulated results of this study indicate that

65

rate of SOC sequestration is the interplay between initial SOC stocks, the biophysical environment, the rate of organic inputs and length of management.

After 50 years of conservation tillage-50 in cultivated land, the initial stock declined in five zones (warm subhumid, warm humid, tepid subhumid, tepid humid and tepid perhumid) due to the high annual rainfall and temperature, which results in higher rates of SOC decomposition. Therefore, in order to counter these losses, organic inputs should be increased. Our simulations revealed that, after 50 years of conservation tillage-80, SOC sequestration increased by 179% compared to conservation tillage-50. Therefore, in croplands, effective soil C sequestration can be achieved by adopting conservation tillage-80.

Introduction of improved pasture species and controlling soil erosion can lead to net benefits of C sequestration and mitigation of greenhouse gas emission from grasslands of the region. Protection of natural ecosystems of the alpine vegetation, forests, shrub-wood-bush and swamp should also be included in C loss mitigation measures. The simulated results of this study indicate the need to adopt improved land management in the highlands of Ethiopia that lead to increased attainable SOC sequestration and simultaneously reduced  $CO_2$  and greenhouse gas emissions, providing more sustainable agricultural production and environmental management systems. The results could help to guide management of carbon inputs across the highlands of Ethiopia to effectively mitigate climate change. However, we would like to indicate that modeled results are for aggregated land-use or land cover classes, which may be too coarse to produce land unit specific results, as the highlands of Ethiopia are characterized by highly variable topographic features in each land-use within the same agroclimatic zone. Therefore, a further study that considers topographic variability is required.

#### **Declaration of Competing Interest**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

#### References

- Abegaz, A., van Keulen, H. (2009). Modelling soil nutrient dynamics under alternative farm management practices in the Northern Highlands of Ethiopia. *Soil Till. Res.*, 103, 203-215.
- Abegaz, A., Winowiecki, L.A., Vågen, T.G., Langan, S., Smith, J.U. (2016). Spatial and temporal dynamics of soil organic carbon in landscapes of the upper Blue Nile Basin of the Ethiopian Highlands. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.*, 218, 190–208.
- Abegaz, A., Tamene, L., Abera, W., Yaekob, T., Hailu, H., Nyawira, S.S., et al. (2020). Soil organic carbon dynamics along chrono-sequence land-use systems in the highlands of Ethiopia. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.*, 300, 106997.
- Abera, W., Tamene, L., Tibebe, D., Adimassu, Z., Kassa, H., Hailu, H., et al. (2020). Characterizing and evaluating the impacts of national land restoration initiatives on ecosystem services in Ethiopia. Land Degrad. Dev. 31 (1), 37–52.
- Abera, W., Tamene, L., Abegaz, A., Hailu, H., Piikki, K., Söderström, M., ... & Sommer, R. (2021). Estimating spatially distributed SOC sequestration potentials of sustainable land management practices in Ethiopia. Journal of Environmental Management, 286, 112191.
- Adimassu, Z., Langan, S., Barron, J. (2018). Highlights of Soil and Water Conservation Investments in Four Regions of Ethiopia, vol. 182. International Water Management Institute (IWMI). http://www.iwmi.cgiar.org/Publications/Working\_Papers/working/wor182.pdf.
- Anderson, J. R. (1976). A land use and land cover classification system for use with remote sensor data (Vol. 964). US Government Printing Office.
- Andersson, S., Nilsson, S.I., Saetre, P. (2000). Leaching of dissolved organic carbon (DOC) and dissolved organic nitrogen (DON) in mor humus as affected by temperature and pH. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 32(1), 1-10.
- Barančíková, G., Halas, J., Guttekova, M., Makovnikova, J., Novakova, M., Skalský, R. and Tarasovičová, Z. (2010). Application of RothC model to predict soil organic carbon stock on agricultural soils of Slovakia. Soil and Water Research, 5(1), 1-9.

- 17122010101010000 8 10 10 11 12 13 15 16 16 18 18 49 20 24 22 62 63 64 65
- Bass, S., Dubois, O., Moura Costa, P., Pinard, M., Tipper, R., Wilson, C. (2000). Rural Livelihoods and carbon Management, IIED Natural Resource Issue Paper No 1. International Institute for Environment and Development, London. Available at < pubs.iied.org/pubs/pdfs/7558IIED.pdf>.
- Begum, K., Kuhnert, M., Yeluripati, J., Glendining, M., Smith, P. (2017). Simulating soil carbon sequestration from long term fertilizer and manure additions under continuous wheat using the DailyDayCent model. Nutr Cycl Agroecosyst, 109:291–302.
- Bekele, M. (2011). Forest plantations and woodlots in Ethiopia. In Afr. For. Forum Work. Pap. Ser (Vol. 1, pp. 1-51).
- Blanco-Canqui, H., Ruis, S.J. (2018). No-tillage and soil physical environment. Geoderma, 326, 164–200.
- Cagnarini, C., Renella, G., Mayer, J., Hirte, J., Schulin, R., Costerousse, B., et al. (2019). Multi-objective calibration of RothC using measured carbon stocks and auxiliary data of a long-term experiment in Switzerland. Eur J Soil Sci. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd (10.1111); ejss.12802. https://doi.org/10.1111/ejss.12743 36.
- Canadell, J.G., Kirschbaum, M.U., Kurz, W.A., Sanz, M.J., Schlamadinger, B., Yamagata, Y. (2007). Factoring out natural and indirect human effects on terrestrial carbon sources and sinks. *Environmental science & policy*, 10(4), 370-384.
- Chen, D., Wei, W., Daryanto, S., Tarolli, P. (2020). Does terracing enhance soil organic carbon sequestration? A nationalscale data analysis in China. Science of the Total Environment, 721:137751.
- Chen, X., Zhang, D., Liang, G., Qiu, Q., Liu, J., Zhou, G., Liu, S., Chu, G., Yan, J. (2016). Effects of precipitation on soil organic carbon fractions in three subtropical forests in southern China. Journal of Plant Ecology 9(1), 10-19.
- Chibsa, T., Ta, A.A. (2009). Assessment of soil organic matter under four land use systems in the major soils of Bale Highlands, South East Ethiopiab. Factors affecting soil organic matter distribution. *World Applied Sciences Journal*, 6(11), 1506-1512.
- Coleman, K., Jenkinson, D.S. (1996). RothC-26.3-A Model for the turnover of carbon in soil. In Evaluation of soil organic matter models (pp. 237-246). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- Coleman, K., Jenkinson, D.S., Crocker, G.J., Grace, P.R., Klír, J., Körschens, M., Poulton, P.R., Richter, D.D. (1997). Simulating trends in soil organic carbon in long-term experiments using RothC-26.3. *Geoderma*, 81, 29-44.
- Cotrufo, M.F., Alberti, G., Inglima, I., Marjanović, H., LeCain, D., Zaldei, A., Peressotti, A., Miglietta, F. (2011). Decreased summer drought affects plant productivity and soil carbon dynamics in a Mediterranean woodland. *Biogeosciences*, 8(9), 2729-2739.
- Curtin, D., Peterson, M.E., Anderson, C.R. (2016). pH-dependence of organic matter solubility: base type effects on dissolved organic C, N, P, and S in soils with contrasting mineralogy. *Geoderma*, 271, 161-172.
- Dalal, R.C., Chan, K.Y. (2001). Soil organic matter in rainfed cropping systems of the Australian cereal belt. Soil Research, 39(3), 435-464.
- Dan, W., Nianpeng, H.E., Qing, W., Yuliang, L., Qiufeng, W., Zhiwei, X., Jianxing, Z. (2016). Effects of Temperature and Moisture on Soil Organic Matter Decomposition Along Elevation Gradients on the Changbai Mountains, Northeast China. Pedosphere, 26, (3): 399-407.
- Dinku, T., Block, P., Sharoff, J., Thmoson, M. (2014a). Bridging Critical Gaps in Climate Services and Applications in Africa. Earth Perspectives. 1(15).
- Dinku, T., Hailemariam, K., Maidment, R., Tarnavsky, E. and Connor, S. (2014b). Combined use of satellite estimates and rain gauge observations to generate high-quality historical rainfall time series over Ethiopia. Int. J. Climatol., 34: 2489– 2504. doi: 10.1002/joc.3855.
- Dinku, T., Thomson, M.C., Cousin, R., del Corral, J., Ceccato, P., Hansen, J., Connor, S.J. (2018). Enhancing national climate services (ENACTS) for development in Africa. Clim. Dev. 10 (7), 664–672.
- Dubey, A., Lal, R. (2009). Carbon footprint and sustainability of agricultural production systems in Punjab, India, and Ohio, USA. *Journal of Crop Improvement*, 23(4), 332-350.
- Elias, E. (2016). Soils of the Ethiopian highlands: geomorphology and properties capacity building for scaling up of evidencebased best practices for increased agricultural production in Ethiopia (CASCAPE). Wageningen University.
- Engdawork, A., Bork, H. (2014). Long-Term Indigenous Soil Conservation Technology in the Chencha Area, Southern Ethiopia: Origin, Characteristics, and Sustainability. AMBIO, 43:932–942.
- Falloon, P. and Smith, P. (2002). Simulating SOC changes in long-term experiments with RothC and CENTURY: model evaluation for a regional scale application. *Soil Use and management*, *18*(2), 101-111.
- Falloon, P., Smith, P. (2009). Modelling soil carbon dynamics. In: Kutsch, W.L., Bahn, M. & Heinemeyer, A. (Eds.) Soil carbon dynamics: an integrated methodology. Cambridge University Press.
- Falloon, P., Smith, P., Coleman, K., Marshall, S. (1998). Estimating the size of the inert organic matter pool for use in the Rothamsted carbon model. Soil Biol. Biochem. 30, 1207–1211.
- FAO (1996). Agroecological zoning guidelines. FAO Soils Bulletin 73.
- FAO (2001). Soil carbon sequestration for improved land management. World soil resources report 96. Food and agriculture organization of the United Nations.
- FAO (2010). Global Forest Resources Assessment 2010 Main Report. FAOUN, Rome 2010.
- FAO (2017). Soil Organic Carbon: the Hidden Potential. FAO- United Nations. Rome, Italy.

- FAO (2019). Measuring and modelling soil carbon stocks and stock changes in livestock production systems: Guidelines for assessment (Version 1). Livestock Environmental Assessment and Performance (LEAP) Partnership. Rome, FAO. 170 pp.Licence: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO.
- Feller, C., Albrecht, A., Blanchart, E., Cabidoche, Y.M., Chevallier, T., Hartmann, C., et al. (2001). Soil organic carbon sequestration in tropical areas. General considerations and analysis of some edaphic determinants for Lesser Antilles soils. *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems*, 61, 19–31.
- Feng, W.T., Plante, A.F., Six, J. (2013). Improving estimates of maximal organic carbon stabilization by fine soil particles. *Biogeochemistry*, 112, 81–93.
- Follett, R. F, Stewart, C. E, Pruessner, E. G., Kimble, J.M. (2012). Effects of climate change on soil carbon and nitrogen storage in the US Great Plains. *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation*, 67, 331–342.
- Franzluebbers, A.J. (2002). Water infiltration and soil structure related to organic matter and its stratification with depth. *Soil Tillage Res.*, 66, 197–205.
- Freier, K.P., Glaser, B., Zech, W. (2009). Mathematical modeling of soil carbon turnover in natural Podocarpus forest and Eucalyptus plantation in Ethiopia using compound specific δ13C analysis. *Global Change Biology*, 16 (5), 1487-1502.
- Fusaro, C., Sarria-Guzman, Y., Chavez-Romero, Y.A., Luna-Guido, M., Munoz-Arenas, L. C., Dendooven, L., Estrada-Torres, A., Navarro-Noya, Y.E. (2019). Land use is the main driver of soil organic carbon spatial distribution in a high mountain ecosystem. PeerJ 7, e7897. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.7897.
- Garten, C. T., Post, W. M., Hanson, P. J., Cooper, L. W. (1999). Forest soil carbon inventories and dynamics along an elevation gradient in the southern Appalachian Mountains. *Biogeochemistry*, 45,115-145.
- Gelaw, A., M., Lal, R., Singh B., R. (2014). Carbon Footprint and Sustainability of the Smallholder Agricultural Production Systems in Ethiopia. *Journal of Crop Improvement*, 28:700–714.
- Giardina, C.P., Ryan, M.G., Hubbard, R.M., Binkley, D. (2001). Tree species and soil textural controls on carbon and nitrogen mineralization rates. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, 65, 1272–1279.
- Girmay G., Singh, B.R., Mitiku, H., Borresen, T., Lal, R. (2008). Carbon Stocks in Ethiopian Soils in relation to land use and soil management. *Journal of Land degradation and Development*, 19 (4), 351-367.
- Gomes, L.C., Faria, R.M., de Souza, E., Veloso, G.V., Ernesto, C., Schaefer, G.R., Filho, E. I. (2019). Modelling and mapping soil organic carbon stocks in Brazil. Geoderma, 340 (2019) 337–350.
- Gottschalk, P., Bellarby, J., Chenu, C., Foereid, B., Smith, P., Wattenbach, M., Zingore, S., Smith, J. (2010). Simulation of soil organic carbon response at forest cultivation sequences using 13C measurements. Organic Geochemistry. 41:41-54. doi: 10.1016/j.orggeochem.2009.04.017.
- Gottschalk, P., Smith, J.U., Wattenbach, M., Bellarby, J., Stehfest, E., Arnell, N., et al. (2012). How will organic carbon stocks in mineral soils evolve under future climate? Global projections using RothC for a range of climate change scenarios. Biogeosciences. Copernicus GmbH; 2012; 9: 3151–3171. https://doi.org/10. 5194/bg-9-3151-2012 33.
- Gudina, T., Nonhebel, S. (2015). Bio-wastes as an alternative household cooking energy source in Ethiopia. *Energies*, 8, 1965–1983.
- Guo, L., Falloon, P., Coleman, K., Zhou, B., Li, Y., Lin, E. and Zhang, F. (2007). Application of the RothC model to the results of long-term experiments on typical upland soils in northern China. *Soil use and management*, 23(1), 63-70.
- Gwavuya, S. G., Abele, S., Barfuss, I., Zeller, M., Muller, J. (2012). Household energy economics in rural Ethiopia: A costbenefit analysis of biogas energy. *Renewable Energy*, 48, 202–209.
- Heisler, J. L., Weltzin, J. F. (2006). Variability matters: towards a perspective on the influence of precipitation on terrestrial ecosystems. *New Phytol*, 172, 189–192.
- Hengl, T., Mendes de Jesus, J., Heuvelink, G. B.M., Ruiperez Gonzalez, M., Kilibarda, M. et al. (2017). SoilGrids250m: global gridded soil information based on Machine Learning. PLOS One, PLoS ONE 12(2): e0169748. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0169748.
- Holzworth, D. P., Huth, N. I., Peter, G., Zurcher, E. J., Herrmann, N. I., Mclean, G., et al. (2014). APSIM Evolution towards a new generation of agricultural systems simulation. Environ. Model. Softw. 62, 327–350. doi:10.1016/j.envsoft.2014.07.009.
- Hishe, S., Lyimo, J., Bewket, W. (2017). Soil and water conservation effects on soil properties in the Middle Silluh Valley, northern Ethiopia. Int. Soil and Water Conserv. Res., 5 (3), 231–240.
- Husniev, I., Romanenkov, V., Minakova, O., Krasilnikov, P. (2020). Modelling and Prediction of Organic Carbon Dynamics in Arable Soils Based on a 62-Year Field Experiment in the Voronezh Region, European Russia. Agronomy, 10, 1607; doi:10.3390/agronomy10101607.
- IFPRI and CSA (International Food Policy Research Institute and Central Statistical Agency), (2006). National Atlas of Ethiopia, CSA, Addis Ababa.
- Jenkinson, D. S., Hart, P. B. S., Rayner, J. H., Parry, L. C. (1987). Modelling the turnover of organic matter in long-term experiments at Rothamsted. *INTECOL Bulletin*, 15, 1–8.
- Jenkinson, D. S., Harkness, D. D., Vance, E. D., Adams, D. E., Harrison, A. F. (1992). Calculating net primary production and annual input of organic-matter to soil from the amount and radiocarbon content of soil organic-matter. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 24, 295–308.

17474446600 8 10 10 11 12 1\$ 15 16 16 18 18 49 20 22 22  $\mathcal{A}$   $\mathcal{A}$  63 64

- Jobbagy, E. G., Jackson, R. B. (2000). The vertical distribution of soil organic carbon and its relation to climate and vegetation. *Ecological Applications*, 10, 423–436.
- John, K., Isong, I.A., Kebonye, N.M., Ayito, E. O., Agyeman, P.C., Afu, S.M. (2020). Using Machine Learning Algorithms to Estimate Soil Organic Carbon Variability with Environmental Variables and Soil Nutrient Indicators in an Alluvial Soil. Land 2020, 9, 487; doi:10.3390/land9120487.
- Kassawmar, T., Eckert, S., Hurni, K., Zeleke, G., Hurni, H. (2016). Reducing landscape heterogeneity for improved land use and land cover (LULC) classification over large and complex Ethiopian highlands. Geocarto Int. 0, 0.
- Kassawmar, T., Murty, K., Abraha, L., Bantider, A. (2018a). Making more out of pixel-level change information: using a neighbourhood approach to improve land change characterization across large and heterogeneous areas. Geocarto Int.
- Kassawmar, T., Zeleke, G., Bantider, A., Desta, G., Abraha, L. (2018b). A synoptic land change assessment of Ethiopia's Rainfed Agricultural Area for evidence-based agricultural ecosystem management. Heliyon 4, e00914. doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2018. e00914.
- Kosmowski, F. (2018). Soil water management practices (terraces) helped to mitigate the 2015 drought in Ethiopia. Agricultural Water Management, 204: 11–16.
- Kurc, S. A., Small, E. E. (2004). Dynamics of evapotranspiration in semiarid grassland and shrubland ecosystems during the summer monsoon season, central New Mexico. *Water Resource Res.*, 40, W09305.
- Lal, R. (2004). Agricultural activities and the global carbon cycle. Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosyst, 70, 103–116
- Lal, R. (2008). Carbon sequestration. Phil Trans R Soc B., 363, 815–30.
- Lal, R. (2011). Soil carbon sequestration. FAO, SOLAW background thematic report-TR04B.
- Lal, R. (2014). Soil conservation and ecosystem services. International Soil and Water Conservation Research, 2 (3), 36-47.
- Lemma, B, Kleja, D. B, Nilsson, I., Olsson, M. (2006). Soil carbon sequestration under different exotic tree species in the southern western highlands of Ethiopia. *Geoderma*, 136 (3-4), 886-898.
- Li, C. (1996). The DNDC model. In: Evaluation of soil organic matter models. Springer Berlin Heidelberg. pp. 263-267.
- Liu, D.L., Chan, K.Y., Conyers, M.K., Li, G., Poile, G.J. (2011). Simulation of soil organic carbon dynamics under different pasture managements using the RothC carbon model. Geoderma, 165: 69-77.
- Loveland, T. R., Reed, B. C., Brown, J. F., Ohlen, D. O., Zhu, Z., Yang, L. W. M. J., & Merchant, J. W. (2000). Development of a global land cover characteristics database and IGBP DISCover from 1 km AVHRR data. International Journal of Remote Sensing, 21(6-7), 1303-1330.
- Lu, N., Chen, S., Wilske, B., Sun, G., Chen, J. (2011). Evapotranspiration and soil water relationships in a range of disturbed and undisturbed ecosystems in the semi-arid Inner Mongolia, China. *Journal of Plant Ecology*, 4(1–2), 49–60.
- Lu, Y. L., Chadwick, D., Norse, D., Powlsond, D., Shi, W. M. (2015). Sustainable intensification of China's agriculture: The key role of nutrient management and climate change mitigation and adaptation. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.*, 209, 1–4.
- MALR (Ministry of Agriculture and Livestock Resource), (2017). Crop variety register. Issue No.20. MALR, Addis Ababa
- Manns, H. R., Gary W. Parkin, G. W., Martin, R.C. (2016). Evidence of a union between organic carbon and water content in soil. *Canadian Journal of Soil Science*, 96(3), 305-316.
- Meersmans, J., De Ridder, F., Canters, F., De Baets, S., Van Molle. M. (2008). A multiple regression approach to assess the spatial distribution of Soil Organic Carbon (SOC) at the regional scale (Flanders, Belgium). Geoderma, 143: 1-13.
- MEFCC (Ministry on Environment, Forest and Climate Change), (2016). National Treebased Landscape Restoration Potential Priority Maps. Version 1. Addis Ababa.
- MEFCC (Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change), (2018). National Forest Sector Development Program, Ethiopia Vol. 1 Situational Analysis, MEFCC
- MoARD (Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development), (2005). Major Agro-ecological Zones of Ethiopia. Forestry, Land Use and Soil Conservation Department. MoARD, Addis Ababa.
- Morais, T.G., Teixeira, R.F.M., Domingos, T. (2019). Detailed global modelling of soil organic carbon in cropland, grassland and forest soils. PLOS ONE | https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0222604.
- Moyano, F.E., Manzoni, S., Chenu, C. (2013). Responses of soil heterotrophic respiration to moisture availability: An exploration of processes and models. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 59, 72-85.
- Namirembe, S., Piikki, K., Sommer, R., Soderstr, M., Tessema, B., Nyawira, S.S. (2020). Soil organic carbon in agricultural systems of six countries in East Africa–a literature review of status and carbon sequestration potential. S. Afr. J. Plant Soil, 1–15.
- Negash, D., Abegaz, A., Smith, J., Araya, H., Gelana, B. (2017). Household energy and recycling of nutrients and carbon to the soil in integrated crop-livestock farming systems: a case study in Kumbursa village, Central Highlands of Ethiopia. *GCB Bioenergy*, 9, 1588–1601.
- Negawo, A. T., Teshome, A., Kumar, A., Hanson, J., & Jones, C. S. (2017). Opportunities for Napier grass (Pennisetum purpureum) improvement using molecular genetics. Agronomy, 7(2), 28.
- Neina, D. (2019). The Role of Soil pH in Plant Nutrition and Soil Remediation. Applied and Environmental Soil Science, <u>https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/5794869</u>.
- Niles, J. O., Cleland, E., Gibbs, H., Orcutt, B. (2010). Carbon Finance in Ethiopian Rangelands: Opportunities for Save the Children Leadership. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

- S 10 10 11 12 13 15 16 16 18 18 49 20 24 22  $\mathcal{A}$   $\mathcal{A}$  61 62 63 64 65
- Parton, W.J. (1996). The CENTURY model. In: Powlson, D.S., Smith, P. & Smith, J.U. (Eds.) Evaluation of soil organic matter models. NATO ASI Series (Series I: Global Environmental Change), Vol. 38. Springer, Heidelberg, pp. 283-291.
  - Poeplau, C. (2016). Estimating root: shoot ratio and soil carbon inputs in temperate grasslands with the RothC model. *Plant and Soil*, 407, 293-305.
- Ramesh, T., Bolan, N.S., Kirkham, M.B., Wijesekara, H., Freeman II, O.W., Korres, N.E., et al. (2019). Soil organic carbon dynamics: impact of land use changes and management practices: a review. In: Dvances in Agronomy, 159: 1-107.
- Reeve, J.R., Endelman, J.B., Miller, B.E., Hole, D.J. (2012). Residual effects of compost on soil quality and dryland wheat yields sixteen years after compost application. *Soil Science Society of American Journal*, 76, 278-285.
- Schlesinger, W.H. (2000). C sequestration in soils: some cautions amidst optimisms. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ., 82:121-127.
- Schröter, D., Cramer, W., Leemans, R., Prentice, I.C., Araújo, M.B., Arnell, N.W., et al. (2005). Ecosystem service supply and human vulnerability to global change in Europe. Science. 310 (5752):1333-1337.
- Setia, R., Smith, P., Marschner, P., Baldock, J., Chittleborough, D., Smith, J.U. (2011a). Introducing a decomposition rate modifier in the Rothamsted carbon model to predict soil organic carbon stocks in saline soils. Environmental Science and Technology. 45:6396–6403. doi: dx.doi.org/10.1021/es200515d.
- Setia, R., Marschner, P., Baldock, J., Chittleborough, D., Smith, P., Smith, J.U. (2011b). Salinity effects on carbon mineralization in soils of varying texture. Soil Biology and Biochemistry. 43:1908-1916. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2011.05.013.
- Setia, R., Smith, P., Marschner, P., Gottschalk, P., Baldock, J., Verma, V., Smith, J.U. (2012). Simulation of salinity effects on soil carbon: past, present and future carbon stocks. Environmental Science and Technology. 46:1624-1631. doi: dx.doi.org/10.1021/es2027345.
- Setia, R., Gottschalk, P., Smith, P., Marschner, P., Baldock, J., Smith, J. (2013). Soil salinity decreases global soil organic carbon stocks. Science of the Total Environment. 465:267-272
- Shahzad, K., Khan, A., Richards, M., Smith, J.U. (2017). The impact of treatment of organic manures on future soil carbon sequestration under different tillage systems in Pakistan. Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 54(2):277-286.
- Sheikh, M. A., Kumar, M., Bussmann, R., W. (2009). Altitudinal variation in soil organic carbon stock in coniferous subtropical and broadleaf temperate forests in Garhwal Himalaya. *Carbon Balance and Management*, 4(6), 1-6.
- Shiferaw, A., Hurni, H., Zeleke, G. (2013). A Review on Soil Carbon Sequestration in Ethiopia to Mitigate Land Degradation and Climate Change. *Journal of Environment & Earth Science*, 3 (12), 187-200.
- Sims, Z. R., Nielsen, G.A. (1986). Organic carbon in Montana soils as related to clay content and climate. *Soil Science Society* of America Journal, 50, 1269-1271.
- Smith, P., Smith, J.U., Powlson, D.S., McGill, W.B., Arah, J.R.M., Chertov, O.G., et al. (1997a). A comparison of the performance of nine soil organic matter models using datasets from seven long-term experiments. *Geoderma*, 81(1-2), 153-225.
- Smith, P., Powlson, D.S., Glendining, M.J., Smith, J.U. (1997b). Potential for carbon sequestration in European soils: preliminary estimates for five scenarios using results from long-term experiments. Global Change Biology. 3:67-79.
- Smith, P., Powlson, D.S., Glendining, M.J., Smith, J.U. (1998). Preliminary estimates of the potential for carbon mitigation in European soils through no-till farming. Global Change Biology. 4: 679-685.
- Smith, P., Milne, R., Powlson, D.S., Smith, J.U., Falloon, P.D. & Coleman, K. (2000a). Revised estimates of the carbon mitigation potential of UK agricultural land. Soil Use and Management. 16: 293-295.
- Smith, P. Powlson, D.S., Smith, J.U., Falloon, P.D., Coleman, K. (2000b). Meeting Europe's Climate Change Commitments: Estimates of the Potential for Carbon Mitigation by Agriculture. Global Change Biology. 6:525-539.
- Smith, J.U., Smith, P., Wattenbach, M., Zaehle, S., Hiederer, R., Jones, R.J.A., et al. (2005). Projected changes in mineral soil carbon of European croplands and grasslands, 1990–2080. *Global Change Biol.*, 11, 2141–2152.
- Smith, J., Abegaz, A., Matthews, R.B., Subedi, M., Orskov, E.R., Tumwesige, V. and Smith, P. (2014). What is the potential for biogas digesters to improve soil carbon sequestration in Sub-Saharan Africa? Comparison with other uses of organic residues. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 70, 73-86.
- Snijders, P. J. M., van der Meer, H. G., Onduru, D. D., Ebanyat, P., Ergano, K., Zake, J. Y. K., ... & van Keulen, H. (2013). Effects of cattle and manure management on the nutrient economy of mixed farms in East Africa: A scenario study. African Journal of Agricultural Research, 8(41), 5129-5148.
- Tate, K. R. (1992). Assessment, based on a climosequence of soil in tussock grasslands, of soil carbon storage and release in response to global warming. *Journal of Soil Science*, 43, 697-707.
- Vågen, T.-G., Winowiecki, L.A. (2013). Mapping of soil organic carbon stocks for spatially explicit assessments of climate change mitigation potential. *Environ. Res. Lett.*, 8 (015011), 9.
- Wang, G. C., Luo, Z., Han, P., Chen, H., Xu, J. (2016). Critical carbon input to maintain current soil organic carbon stocks in global wheat systems, Sci. Rep.-Uk., 6, 19327, https://doi.org/10.1038/srep19327.
- Wang, G., Zhang, W., Sun, W., Li, T., & Han, P. (2017a). Modeling soil organic carbon dynamics and its driving factors in global main cereal cropping systems. Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss, 1-25.

- 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 23

- Wang, Y., Yu, Z., Li, Y., Wang, G., Liu, J., Liu, J., Liu, X., Jin, J. (2017b). Microbial association with the dynamics of particulate organic carbon in response to the amendment of elevated CO<sub>2</sub>-derived wheat residue into a Mollisol. Sci. Total Environ. 607–608, 972–981.
- Wang, S., Zhuang, Q., Jia, S., Jina, X., Wang, Q. (2018). Spatial variations of soil organic carbon stocks in a coastal hilly area of China. Geoderma, 314: 8–19.
- Wattel-Koekkoek, E.J.W. (2002). Clay-associated organic matter in kaolinitic and smectitic soils. Thesis Wageningen University, The Netherlands.
- Wei, W., Chen, D., Wang, L., Daryanto, S., Chen, L., Yu, Y., Lu, Y., Sun, G., Feng, T. (2016). Global synthesis of the classifications, distributions, benefits and issues of terracing. Earth-Sci. Rev., 159: 388–403.
- Wiesmeier, M., Urbanski, L., Hobley, E., Lang, B., von Lützow, M., Marin-Spiotta, E., et al. (2019). Soil organic carbon storage as a key function of soils-a review of drivers and indicators at various scales. Geoderma, 333: 149–162.
- Wolf, J., de Wit, C.T., van Keulen, H. (1989). Modeling long-term crop response to fertilizer and soil nitrogen. I. Model description and application. *Plant Soil*, 120, 11–22.
- World Bank, (2012). Carbon sequestration in agricultural soils. Reprt No. 67395-GLB, The World Bank.
- Xiao, C. (20150. Soil Organic Carbon Storage (Sequestration) Principles and management: Potential Role for Recycled Organic Materials in Agricultural Soils of Washington State.
  - https://fortress.wa.gov/ecy/publications/SummaryPages/1507005.html.
- Yimer, F., Ledin, S., Abdelkadir, A. (2006). Soil organic carbon and total nitrogen stocks as affected by tropical aspects and vegetation in the Bale Mountains, Ethiopia. *Geoderma*, 135, 335-344.
- Zhong, Z., Chen, Z., Xu, Y., Ren, C., Yang, G., Han, X., Ren, G. and Feng, Y. (2018). Relationship between soil organic carbon stocks and clay content under different climatic conditions in Central China. *Forests*, *9*(10), 598.
- Zhou, G., Guan, L., Wei, X., Tang, X., Liu, S., Liu, J., Zhang, D., Junhua Yan, J. (2008). Factors influencing leaf litter decomposition: an intersite decomposition experiment across China. *Plant & Soil*, 311:61–72.
- Zhou, W., Han, G., Liu, M., Li, X. (2019). Effects of soil pH and texture on soil carbon and nitrogen in soil profiles under different land uses in Mun River Basin, Northeast Thailand. Ecosystem Science, Soil Science, Forestry. PeerJ 7:e7880 DOI 10.7717/peerj.7880.

#### FIGURES



**Figure 1.** Land-uses/covers with their improved management options (A) and multiple benefits of controlled soil erosion (B).



Figure 2. Agroclimatic zones (A) and land-uses/covers (B) across the highlands of Ethiopia.



**Figure 3.** Improved land managements used in the long-term simulation of SOC stock sequestration across the highlands of Ethiopia.



**Figure 4.** Variation of SOC stock as related to clay fraction% (A), soil pH (B), soil moisture at FC% (C), annual rainfall (mm) (D), mean annual temperature (<sup>0</sup>C) (E), annual potential evapotranspiration (mm) (F), and altitude (masl) (G) across the highlands of Ethiopia.



Figure 5. Spatial distribution of SOC stock t ha<sup>-1</sup> in the top 0-20 cm soil depth across the highlands of Ethiopia.



**Figure 6.** Rates of SOC sequestration after 20 years (A) and 50 years (B) of improved land management (with 50% manure input in cultivated lands), and after 20 years (C) and 50 years (D) of improved land management (with 80% manure input in cultivated lands) across the highlands of Ethiopia.



Figure 7. Annual rate of SOC sequestration t ha<sup>-1</sup> in the top 0-20 cm soil depth by adapting improved land-use management: aforestation (A); reforestation (B); conservation tillage-50 (C); conservation tillage-80 (D); and introduced productive and deep-rooted grass (E) across the highlands of Ethiopia.

#### Click here to access/download;Table;Abegaz et al. SOC sequestration Tables\_ENVI- D-20-03177R2.docx

 $^{16}_{17}$  Tab le 1. Comparative features of key inputs and outputs, advantages and disadvantages/limiting factors of some process-based SOC models

Model	Key inputs	Key outputs	Advantages	Disadvantage/limiting factor
RothC (Coleman et al. 1997)	Clay, MR, MPET, MAT, initial SOC, organic input.	Total carbon	Simulates only C dynamics. It has lower data requirements than many other models, using data that can easily be obtained from databases, and has a relatively low computational time.	RothC includes only the C cycle. It does not account for other processes, such as nutrient and water limitation. However, this is not a limitation in our case, because our interest is to simulate only soil carbon dynamics.
CENTURY (Parton et al. 1996)	DMMiT and DMMaT, TP; plant N, P, and S content; soil texture; atmospheric and soil nitrogen inputs; and initial soil carbon, nitrogen, phosphorus, and sulfur levels	TSC, SWD, CCY, TDM, and CPR.	Has a broader scope than RothC, because it simulates not only soil C, but also N, phosphorous and sulphur dynamics (Morais et al. 2019)	Requires a higher number of input values and fixed parameters (some of which are difficult to obtain) and has a higher computational time than RothC (Morais et al. 2019).
DNDC (Li et al. 1996)	Plant growth data, soil clay, BD, pH, AT, rainfall, ANDR, CRTT, IFTAT, ITA, RITA, and TTA.	TSC, TN=total nitrogen, SWD, biomass C, CO2, crop yield, C input into soil, fluxes N2O, NO, NH3, and CH4.	Simulates both C and N cycling in the soil.	Requires a higher number of input values and fixed parameters (some of which are difficult to obtain) and has a higher computational time than RothC (Morais et al. 2019).
APSIM (Holzworth et al. 2014)	DMMiT and DMMaT; soil texture, soil depth, BD, SMFC, FC, HC, WFPS, SD, HD, PE, IFTAT, ITA, RR, PP (Begum et al. 2017).	SOM decomposition, nitrification, denitrification, plant production and SWD (Begum et al. 2017).	Includes SOM decomposition, nitrification and denitrification, plant production and soil water dynamics (Begum et al. 2017).	Requires a higher number of input values and fixed parameters (some of which are difficult to obtain), and has a higher computational time than RothC (Begum et al. 2017).
Note: MR= mo	onthly rainfall, MPET=monthly p	otential evapotranspiration, N	IAT=monthly air temperature, D	MMiT=daily mean minimum temperature,
DMMaT-daily	z mean maximum temperature. T	P-total precipitation BD-bul	k density AT-air temperature A	NDR-atmospheric nitrogen decomposition
uall	moun maximum competatore, 1	-total precipitation, <b>DD</b> -ba	a density, i i -an temperature, i	autospherie introgen decomposition
rate. CRTT=cr	op rotation timing and type: IFTA	T=inorganic fertilizer timing	amount and type. ITA=irrigatio	n timing and amount. RITA=residue
rate, CRTT=cr	op rotation timing and type; IFTA iming and amount TTA=tillage t	AT=inorganic fertilizer timing iming and type SMEC=soil	g, amount and type, ITA=irrigatio	n timing and amount, RITA=residue
rate, CRTT=cr incorporation t	op rotation timing and type; IFTA iming and amount, TTA=tillage t	AT=inorganic fertilizer timing timing and type, SMFC=soil to the PE=ploughing events PP	g, amount and type, ITA=irrigatio moisture at field capacity (FC), H =rasidue removal _ PP=phenolog	on timing and amount, RITA=residue C=hydraulic conductivity, WFPS=water filled
rate, CRTT=cr	op rotation timing and type; IFTA	AT=inorganic fertilizer timing	g, amount and type, ITA=irrigatio	on timing and amount, RITA=residue
incorporation t	iming and amount, TTA=tillage t	timing and type, SMFC=soil to	moisture at field capacity (FC), H	C=hydraulic conductivity, WFPS=water filled
pore space, SD	=sowing date, HD=harvesting da	the, PE=ploughing events, RR	=residue removal, PP=phenolog	ical parameters, TSC=total soil carbon,
rate, CRTT=cr	op rotation timing and type; IFTA	AT=inorganic fertilizer timing	g, amount and type, ITA=irrigatio	on timing and amount, RITA=residue
incorporation t	iming and amount, TTA=tillage t	timing and type, SMFC=soil to	moisture at field capacity (FC), H	C=hydraulic conductivity, WFPS=water filled
pore space, SD	=sowing date, HD=harvesting da	the, PE=ploughing events, RR	=residue removal, PP=phenolog	ical parameters, TSC=total soil carbon,
SWD=soil wat	er dynamics, CCY=commercial c	crop yield, TDM=total dry ma	atter, CPR=carbon in plant residu	e, TN=total nitrogen, SOM=soil organic matte
rate, CRTT=cr	op rotation timing and type; IFTA	AT=inorganic fertilizer timing	g, amount and type, ITA=irrigatio	in timing and amount, RITA=residue
incorporation t	iming and amount, TTA=tillage t	timing and type, SMFC=soil to	moisture at field capacity (FC), H	C=hydraulic conductivity, WFPS=water filled
pore space, SD	=sowing date, HD=harvesting da	the, PE=ploughing events, RR	=residue removal, PP=phenolog	ical parameters, TSC=total soil carbon,
SWD=soil wat	er dynamics, CCY=commercial c	prop yield, TDM=total dry mat	atter, CPR=carbon in plant residu	e, TN=total nitrogen, SOM=soil organic matte
rate, CRTT=cr	op rotation timing and type; IFTA	AT=inorganic fertilizer timing	g, amount and type, ITA=irrigatio	on timing and amount, RITA=residue
incorporation t	iming and amount, TTA=tillage t	iming and type, SMFC=soil r	moisture at field capacity (FC), H	C=hydraulic conductivity, WFPS=water filled
pore space, SD	esowing date, HD=harvesting dater	ite, PE=ploughing events, RR	=residue removal, PP=phenolog	ical parameters, TSC=total soil carbon,
SWD=soil wat	or dynamics, CCY=commercial c	crop yield, TDM=total dry ma	atter, CPR=carbon in plant residu	e, TN=total nitrogen, SOM=soil organic matte
rate, CRTT=cr	op rotation timing and type; IFTA	AT=inorganic fertilizer timing	g, amount and type, ITA=irrigatio	on timing and amount, RITA=residue
incorporation t	iming and amount, TTA=tillage t	timing and type, SMFC=soil n	moisture at field capacity (FC), H	C=hydraulic conductivity, WFPS=water filled
pore space, SD	=sowing date, HD=harvesting da	tte, PE=ploughing events, RR	=residue removal, PP=phenolog	ical parameters, TSC=total soil carbon,
SWD=soil wat	er dynamics, CCY=commercial c	prop yield, TDM=total dry ma	atter, CPR=carbon in plant residu	e, TN=total nitrogen, SOM=soil organic matte
rate, CRTT=cr	op rotation timing and type; IFTA	AT=inorganic fertilizer timing	g, amount and type, ITA=irrigatio	on timing and amount, RITA=residue
incorporation t	iming and amount, TTA=tillage t	timing and type, SMFC=soil n	moisture at field capacity (FC), H	C=hydraulic conductivity, WFPS=water fille
pore space, SD	esowing date, HD=harvesting da	tte, PE=ploughing events, RR	=residue removal, PP=phenolog	ical parameters, TSC=total soil carbon,
SWD=soil wat	er dynamics, CCY=commercial c	crop yield, TDM=total dry ma	atter, CPR=carbon in plant residu	e, TN=total nitrogen, SOM=soil organic matt
rate, CRTT=cr	op rotation timing and type; IFTA	AT=inorganic fertilizer timing	g, amount and type, ITA=irrigatio	on timing and amount, RITA=residue
incorporation t	iming and amount, TTA=tillage t	iming and type, SMFC=soil n	moisture at field capacity (FC), H	C=hydraulic conductivity, WFPS=water fille
pore space, SD	=sowing date, HD=harvesting da	ite, PE=ploughing events, RR	=residue removal, PP=phenolog	ical parameters, TSC=total soil carbon,
SWD=soil wat	er dynamics, CCY=commercial c	crop yield, TDM=total dry ma	atter, CPR=carbon in plant residu	e, TN=total nitrogen, SOM=soil organic matt
rate, CRTT=cr	op rotation timing and type; IFTA	AT=inorganic fertilizer timing	g, amount and type, ITA=irrigatio	on timing and amount, RITA=residue
incorporation t	iming and amount, TTA=tillage t	iming and type, SMFC=soil n	moisture at field capacity (FC), H	C=hydraulic conductivity, WFPS=water filled
pore space, SD	esowing date, HD=harvesting dater	ite, PE=ploughing events, RR	=residue removal, PP=phenolog	ical parameters, TSC=total soil carbon,
SWD=soil wat	er dynamics, CCY=commercial c	crop yield, TDM=total dry ma	atter, CPR=carbon in plant residu	e, TN=total nitrogen, SOM=soil organic matte
rate, CRTT=cr	op rotation timing and type; IFTA	AT=inorganic fertilizer timing	g, amount and type, ITA=irrigatio	in timing and amount, RITA=residue
incorporation t	iming and amount, TTA=tillage t	iming and type, SMFC=soil n	moisture at field capacity (FC), H	C=hydraulic conductivity, WFPS=water filled
pore space, SD	=sowing date, HD=harvesting da	ite, PE=ploughing events, RR	=residue removal, PP=phenolog	ical parameters, TSC=total soil carbon,
SWD=soil wat	er dynamics, CCY=commercial c	crop yield, TDM=total dry ma	atter, CPR=carbon in plant residu	e, TN=total nitrogen, SOM=soil organic matt
rate, CRTT=cr	op rotation timing and type; IFTA	AT=inorganic fertilizer timing	g, amount and type, ITA=irrigatio	n timing and amount, RITA=residue
incorporation t	iming and amount, TTA=tillage t	iming and type, SMFC=soil n	moisture at field capacity (FC), H	C=hydraulic conductivity, WFPS=water filled
pore space, SD	=sowing date, HD=harvesting da	ite, PE=ploughing events, RR	=residue removal, PP=phenolog	ical parameters, TSC=total soil carbon,
SWD=soil wat	er dynamics, CCY=commercial c	crop yield, TDM=total dry ma	atter, CPR=carbon in plant residu	e, TN=total nitrogen, SOM=soil organic matt
rate, CRTT=cr	op rotation timing and type; IFTA	AT=inorganic fertilizer timing	g, amount and type, ITA=irrigatio	n timing and amount, RITA=residue
incorporation t	iming and amount, TTA=tillage t	iming and type, SMFC=soil n	moisture at field capacity (FC), H	C=hydraulic conductivity, WFPS=water filled
pore space, SD	=sowing date, HD=harvesting da	ite, PE=ploughing events, RR	=residue removal, PP=phenolog	ical parameters, TSC=total soil carbon,
SWD=soil wat	er dynamics, CCY=commercial c	crop yield, TDM=total dry ma	atter, CPR=carbon in plant residu	e, TN=total nitrogen, SOM=soil organic matt
rate, CRTT=cr	op rotation timing and type; IFTA	AT=inorganic fertilizer timing	g, amount and type, ITA=irrigatio	n timing and amount, RITA=residue
incorporation t	iming and amount, TTA=tillage t	iming and type, SMFC=soil n	moisture at field capacity (FC), H	C=hydraulic conductivity, WFPS=water fille
pore space, SD	=sowing date, HD=harvesting da	ite, PE=ploughing events, RR	=residue removal, PP=phenolog	ical parameters, TSC=total soil carbon,
SWD=soil wat	er dynamics, CCY=commercial c	crop yield, TDM=total dry ma	atter, CPR=carbon in plant residu	e, TN=total nitrogen, SOM=soil organic matt
rate, CRTT=cr	op rotation timing and type; IFTA	AT=inorganic fertilizer timing	g, amount and type, ITA=irrigatio	n timing and amount, RITA=residue
incorporation t	iming and amount, TTA=tillage t	iming and type, SMFC=soil	moisture at field capacity (FC), H	C=hydraulic conductivity, WFPS=water filled
pore space, SD	=sowing date, HD=harvesting da	ite, PE=ploughing events, RR	=residue removal, PP=phenolog	ical parameters, TSC=total soil carbon,
SWD=soil wat	er dynamics, CCY=commercial c	crop yield, TDM=total dry ma	atter, CPR=carbon in plant residu	e, TN=total nitrogen, SOM=soil organic matt
rate, CRTT=cr	op rotation timing and type; IFTA	AT=inorganic fertilizer timing	g, amount and type, ITA=irrigatio	n timing and amount, RITA=residue
incorporation t	iming and amount, TTA=tillage t	iming and type, SMFC=soil n	moisture at field capacity (FC), H	C=hydraulic conductivity, WFPS=water filled
pore space, SD	=sowing date, HD=harvesting da	ite, PE=ploughing events, RR	=residue removal, PP=phenolog	ical parameters, TSC=total soil carbon,
SWD=soil wat	er dynamics, CCY=commercial c	erop yield, TDM=total dry ma	atter, CPR=carbon in plant residu	e, TN=total nitrogen, SOM=soil organic matte
rate, CRTT=cr	op rotation timing and type; IFTA	AT=inorganic fertilizer timing	g, amount and type, ITA=irrigatio	n timing and amount, RITA=residue
incorporation t	iming and amount, TTA=tillage t	iming and type, SMFC=soil n	moisture at field capacity (FC), H	C=hydraulic conductivity, WFPS=water filled
pore space, SD	esowing date, HD=harvesting da	ite, PE=ploughing events, RR	=residue removal, PP=phenolog	ical parameters, TSC=total soil carbon,
SWD=soil wat	er dynamics, CCY=commercial c	prop yield, TDM=total dry ma	atter, CPR=carbon in plant residu	e, TN=total nitrogen, SOM=soil organic matt
rate, CRTT=cr	op rotation timing and type; IFTA	AT=inorganic fertilizer timing	g, amount and type, ITA=irrigatio	n timing and amount, RITA=residue
incorporation t	iming and amount, TTA=tillage t	iming and type, SMFC=soil n	moisture at field capacity (FC), H	C=hydraulic conductivity, WFPS=water filled
pore space, SD	=sowing date, HD=harvesting da	ite, PE=ploughing events, RR	=residue removal, PP=phenolog	ical parameters, TSC=total soil carbon,
SWD=soil wat	er dynamics, CCY=commercial c	crop yield, TDM=total dry ma	atter, CPR=carbon in plant residu	e, TN=total nitrogen, SOM=soil organic matt
rate, CRTT=cr	op rotation timing and type; IFTA	AT=inorganic fertilizer timing	g, amount and type, ITA=irrigatio	n timing and amount, RITA=residue
incorporation t	iming and amount, TTA=tillage t	iming and type, SMFC=soil n	moisture at field capacity (FC), H	C=hydraulic conductivity, WFPS=water filled
pore space, SD	=sowing date, HD=harvesting da	ite, PE=ploughing events, RR	=residue removal, PP=phenolog	ical parameters, TSC=total soil carbon,
SWD=soil wat	er dynamics, CCY=commercial c	crop yield, TDM=total dry ma	atter, CPR=carbon in plant residu	e, TN=total nitrogen, SOM=soil organic matt
rate, CRTT=cr	op rotation timing and type; IFTA	AT=inorganic fertilizer timing	g, amount and type, ITA=irrigatio	n timing and amount, RITA=residue
incorporation t	iming and amount, TTA=tillage t	iming and type, SMFC=soil n	moisture at field capacity (FC), H	(C=hydraulic conductivity, WFPS=water fille
pore space, SD	=sowing date, HD=harvesting da	ite, PE=ploughing events, RR	=residue removal, PP=phenolog	ical parameters, TSC=total soil carbon,
SWD=soil wat	er dynamics, CCY=commercial c	crop yield, TDM=total dry ma	atter, CPR=carbon in plant residu	e, TN=total nitrogen, SOM=soil organic matt
rate, CRTT=cr	op rotation timing and type; IFTA	AT=inorganic fertilizer timing	g, amount and type, ITA=irrigatio	n timing and amount, RITA=residue
incorporation t	iming and amount, TTA=tillage t	iming and type, SMFC=soil n	moisture at field capacity (FC), H	(C=hydraulic conductivity, WFPS=water fille
pore space, SD	=sowing date, HD=harvesting da	ite, PE=ploughing events, RR	=residue removal, PP=phenolog	ical parameters, TSC=total soil carbon,
SWD=soil wat	er dynamics, CCY=commercial c	crop yield, TDM=total dry ma	atter, CPR=carbon in plant residu	e, TN=total nitrogen, SOM=soil organic matt

65

Table 15 Table 2. Description of current and improved land managements, and plant and manure organic carbon inputs (t ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>) used in the long-term simulation of attainable SOC sequestration across the highlands of Ethiopia

19. 20 21	Current land- use/cover	Description of current land-use/cover	Description of improved management	Area (ha)	Plant OC input in the soil (t ha <sup>-1</sup> y <sup>-1</sup> )	Manure OC input in the soil (t ha <sup>-1</sup> y <sup>-1</sup> )
22 23 24 25 26	Currently	The farming system is characterized by intensive continuous mono-cropping. The majority of animal manure is used for household fuel and almost all crop residues are collected from the field for	<i>Scenario 1*, conservation tillage-50</i> . Involves use of 50% crop residues directly incorporated after harvest, improved crop varieties, crop rotations, controlled soil erosion and addition of 50% manure in soils of cultivated lands one-to-two months before the cropping period.	20,796,518	2.59, 2.62, 3.86 <sup>a</sup>	1.3
27 28 29	land	livestock feed and household fuel (Abegaz et al. 2016).	<i>Scenario 2*, conservation tillage-80</i> . This scenario is different from scenario 1 only in the amount of manure input. In this scenario addition of manure in soils of cultivated lands was increased to 80%.	The same above	2.59, 2.62, 3.86 <sup>a</sup>	2.08
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37	Currently grazed land	Free grazing is practiced and cattle dung is collected from the field for household energy (Abegaz et al. 2020).	Adopting more productive deep-rooted grass species & controlled soil erosion. Involves introduction of more productive, deep rooted grass species with a cut-and-carry system of 50% above ground grass and controlled soil erosion. In this management, Napier grass is grown. This is a fast-growing perennial grass native to Sub-Saharan Africa that is widely grown across the tropical and subtropical regions of the world, also currently is being adopted in different parts of the highlands of Ethiopia, however only at local scale (Negawo et al. 2017). Soil erosion is also assumed to be controlled (Lal 2014).	4,270,034	5.00	
38 39 40 41 42	Barren land	This land-use/cover includes areas that have been extensively used for cultivation for a long period of time. It is characterized by thin soil, sparse and stunted plant growth and limited biodiversity.	<i>Afforestation.</i> Involves establishment of new forests on non-forested degraded land (FAO 2010). This system increases SOC stocks through the increase of organic materials input into the soil system.	90,919	3.60 <sup>b</sup>	
43 44 45 46 47	Degraded natural ecosystem	This land-use/cover is degraded because of forest clearing for cultivation and exploitation of forests and shrub-wood- bushes for fuelwood and charcoal without replanting.	<i>Reforestation.</i> Involves reforestation and plantation on degraded forest, alpine vegetation, shrub-wood-bushland, and swamps that are found in areas identified as region of priority 1 for tree-based landscape restoration by the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MEFCC, [MEFCC 2018; 2016]).	6,380,380	3.60 <sup>b</sup>	
48 49 50 51_	Natural ecosystem	This land cover is protected by the Government (MEFCC 2018; Bekele 2011). It has not been altered by human interference (Abegaz et al. 2020).	<b>Protection.</b> Involves protection of natural ecosystems (dense forest, alpine vegetation, closed shrub-wood-bushlands and swamps) that are found in areas identified as region of least priority for tree-based landscape restoration by MEFCC (MEFCC 2018; 2016).	6,172,995		

Note: <sup>a</sup> 2.59, 2.62, and 3.86 are average plant organic carbon inputs (t ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>) from crops used in rotation of (i) wheat, barley and faba bean; (ii) teff, sorghum, wheat, maize, barley and faba bean; and (iii) wheat, barley and maize, respectively. Input of 2.59 was used in cool submoist-perhumid, cold submoist-humid, and very cold submoist-humid; 2.62 was used in tepid semiarid, tepid submoist, cool moist, and warm semiarid-arid; and 3.86 was used in tepid moist, tepid subhumid, tepid humid, tepid perhumid, warm submoist, warm moist, warm subhumid, and warm humid agroclimatic zones. <sup>b</sup> is estimates given by Medina and Zelwer (1972, cited in Brown and Lugo, 1982)). \* 50 and 80% annual dry matter manure production was estimated from the livestock database of Ethiopian Central Statistical Agency (CSA, [CSA 2018]) and organic C input to the soil from manure was estimated by considering annual dry matter manure production of 1.8 t per cattle, and 55% C content of organic matter of dry manure (Snijders et al. 2013).

60

14 15

61

62

63

64

**Table 3.** Explanatory variables and coefficients of multiple linear regression model of soil organic carbon stock dynamics in 0–20 cm soil depth across the highlands of Ethiopia.

19								
20	No.	Constant & explanatory variables (x)	Unstand.	Standard	Stand.	Coefficient	Adjusted	ANOVA
21			(B)	error	Beta	of r	R <sup>2</sup> (%)	(F)
22		-						
22	1	Constant	169.6***	0.5		0.78***	60.6***	201817***
2.4	2	Land-use <sup>a</sup>	2 80***	0.01	0 173***			
25	-	Lund use	2.00	0.01	0.175			
25	3	Agroclimatic zone <sup>b</sup>	1.71***	0.009	0.237***			
20								
້ວຊ	4	Mean moisture at field capacity (vol%)	1.22***	0.008	0.148***			
20 20	5		0.001***	0.001	0.053***			
29 20	5	Mean annual rainfall (mm)	0.004***	0.001	0.052***			
30 21	6	Clay(%)	0 321***	0.004	0 072***			
20	0		0.021	0.001	0.072			
32	7	Soil pH	-15.5***	0.05	-0.299***			
33								
34	8	Mean annual temp. (°C)	-0.43***	0.01	-0.51***			
35								
36	9	Annual potential evapotranspiration (mm)	-0.029***	0.001	-0.052***			

\*\*\*coefficient is significant at the 0.001 level (2-tailed). Notes: a an interval scale was used for land-uses as barren land = 1; grassland = 2; cultivated land = 3; shrub-wood-bush land = 4;
swamps = 5; forest = 6; and alpine vegetation = 7. b an interval scale was used for agroclimatic zones as Warm semiarid-arid = 1; Warm submoist = 2; Tepid semiarid = 3; Tepid submoist = 4;
Warm moist = 5; Tepid moist = 6; Warm subhumid = 7; Warm humid = 8; Tepid subhumid = 9; Tepid humid = 10; Tepid perhumid = 11; Cool moist = 12; Cool submoist-humid = 13; Cold submoist-humid = 14; and very cold submoist-humid = 15 (as per increasing order of their mean altitude m asl).

- $\begin{array}{l} 18\\19 \end{array}$  Table 4. Absolute SOC sequestration t by agroclimatic zone and land-use after 50 years of improved land management across the highlands of Ethiopia.

21 Agroclimatic zone									Absolute r sequestrat	rate of SOC ion t ha <sup>-1</sup>
23	Absolute rate of SOC sequestration t after 50 years								-	
24				<b>(s</b>				(ha		
25		e	e	ore	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	_	_	rea	e	E
27	ъ.	tio	, itio	ke me d	n	h tion	h tion	d a	Itio	, tio
28	este	erva e-50	ervs 	ting ting	ests atio	wit rva e-50	wit rva 80	lan	erve e-50	erve 
29	for	onse lage	buse	rass dop odt	efor	otal nse lage	otal nse lage	otal	onse lage	onse lage
30	Af	til C	E C	pr (a	pl	ti c T c	E C C C	Ic	ĒČ	ĒČ
<sup>3</sup> Fepid semiarid	268,252	4,100,371	6,611,150	107,663	1,484,854	5,961,141	8,471,920	592,945	10.05	14.29
<sup>3</sup> Tepid submoist	90,830	5,500,741	12,261,391	2,543,363	6,324,876	14,459,811	21,220,460	2,238,239	6.46	9.48
34 epid moist	70,508	14,845,852	52,893,547	9,694,877	8,718,276	33,329,512	71,377,207	10,541,023	3.16	6.77
3 Fepid subhumid		-21,128,664	-3,853,750	$0^{\mathrm{a}}$	$0^{\mathrm{b}}$	-21,128,664	-3,853,750	4,033,841	-5.24	-0.96
3 Tepid humid		-14,553,798	-13,251,763	-6,464,261	Op	-21,018,059	-19,716,024	1,180,882	-17.80	-16.70
<sup>3</sup> Tepid perhumid		-1,298,052	-1,071,652	-255,795	0 <sup>b</sup>	-1,553,847	-1,327,447	58,100	-26.74	-22.85
3 Warm semiarid-arid	179,652	322,372	925,953	1,020,200	958,721	2,480,945	3,084,526	341,744	7.26	9.03
4 ₩arm submoist	189,933	13,959,931	20,394,072	5,007,240	5,385,214	24,542,317	30,976,459	2,992,108	8.20	10.35
4 Warm moist	112,547	-4,336,061	4,114,277	$0^{\mathrm{a}}$	$0^{\mathrm{b}}$	-4,223,514	4,226,825	2,479,909	-1.70	1.70
4 Warm subhumid		-10,689,496	-6,679,240	-5,709,121	0 <sup>b</sup>	-16,398,617	-12,388,361	1,398,707	-11.72	-8.86
4 Warm humid		672,589	1,395,086	405,276	0 <sup>b</sup>	1,077,865	1,800,362	345,806	3.12	5.21
4€ool moist		1,953,665	14,960,751	1,182,498	1,501,357	4,637,520	17,644,605	2,477,352	1.87	7.12
4 Cool submoist-perhumid		13,796,669	22,565,362	4,914,397	13,000,989	31,712,056	40,480,748	2,505,795	12.66	16.15
<sup>4</sup> Cold submoist-humid		578,822	1,032,649		4,619,966	5,198,789	5,652,616	282,857	18.38	19.98
43 yery cold submoist-humid		28,583	51,327		1,480,702	1,509,285	1,532,029	68,544	22.02	22.35
5 Total sequestrated SOC t	911,722	3,753,524	112,349,160	12,446,337	43,474,955	60,586,539	169,182,174			
5 <b>T</b> otal land area (ha)	90,919	20,796,518	20,796,518	4,270,034	6,380,380	31,537,851	31,537,851	31,537,851		
<sup>5</sup> Absolute rate of SOC <sup>5</sup> Sequestration (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	10.03	0.18	5.40	2.91	6.81	1.92	5.36		1.92	5.36

Note: ---- land-use/cover is unavailable; <sup>a</sup> SOC stock is at equilibrium/steady state; <sup>b</sup> land-use/cover exists but recommended for protection or conservation of existing stock, therefore, excluded from simulation, and rate sets at 0 (zero).