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#### Abstract

Antihydrogen atoms, $\overline{\mathrm{H}}$, are now routinely created and can be stored for long enough to allow comparison with ordinary matter. A major goal of these efforts is to test potential physics beyond the Standard Model. This will require further developments in the experiments including the accumulation of more antihydrogen atoms and their storage over longer times. The latter is limited by the unavoidable presence of normal hydrogen molecules. Interactions of H with $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ lead to the destruction of the hard-won antimatter. Little is known about these interactions but quantitative information will be crucial in guiding experimental developments. Physically realistic modelling of rearrangement scattering of "heavy" antimatter particles (antiprotons, $\bar{p}$, and antihydrogen atoms) by normal matter molecules, such as $\mathrm{H}_{2}+\overline{\mathrm{H}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pn}+\mathrm{Ps}+\mathrm{H}$ (where Pn represents protonium and Ps positronium), requires the development of new theoretical and computational methodologies. R-matrix theory offers a strong prospect for tackling such problems having proved itself in atomic, molecular and optical physics. It divides the problem into a computationally demanding but energy-independent inner region and simpler energy-dependent outer regions. We propose to adapt the new RmatReact ultracold chemistry approach for the more complex molecular matter-antimatter problems. Here, developments required for the inner region, the boundary and outer regions are outlined. We also report some preliminary bound-state calculations on the $\{p, p, \bar{p}\}$ system and a study of the required mixed coordinate systems for the general effective 3-body case and their transformations at the R-matrix boundary surfaces.
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## 1 Introduction

Antihydrogen atoms, $\overline{\mathrm{H}}$, can now be created and stored for long enough to allow very precise tests of their properties for comparison with ordinary matter [1-3] for example to test fundamental symmetries, such a charge-parity-time (CPT) and the weak equivalence principle (WEP) and other potential physics beyond the Standard Model, via spectroscopy experiments and gravitational measurements. This will require further developments in the experiments over the next few years including in the accumulation of more antihydrogen atoms and their storage over longer times (days or weeks). The latter is
limited by the unavoidable presence of normal hydrogen molecules in the apparatus. Interactions of $\overline{\mathrm{H}}$ with $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ lead to destruction of the hard-won antimatter. Little is known about these interactions, which include elastic and rotationally inelastic collisions, particle rearrangement processes, as well as in-flight proton-antiproton and electron-positron annihilation, and quantitative information will be crucial in guiding the experimental developments. Realistic modelling of rearrangement scattering of "heavy" antimatter particles (antiprotons, $\overline{\mathrm{p}}$, and antihydrogen atoms) by normal matter molecules, such as $\mathrm{H}_{2}+\overline{\mathrm{H}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pn}+\mathrm{Ps}+\mathrm{H}$ (where Pn represents protonium and Ps positronium), requires the development of new theoretical and computational methodologies. R-matrix theory [4] offers a strong prospect for tackling matter-antimatter rearrangement scattering having proved itself in many problems in atomic, molecular and optical physics. It divides the problem into a computationally demanding but energyindependent inner region and simpler energy-dependent outer regions. Indeed, $\overline{\mathrm{p}}$ capture by $\mathrm{He}^{+}$and H with emission of an electron has been investigated using the R-matrix formalism [5-7]. We propose to adapt the new RmatReact ultracold chemistry approach [8] for the more complex molecular matter-antimatter problems. Techniques used for normal chemical reactions will have to be redesigned to deal with the new interactions between particles associated with the mixed matter-antimatter collisions, in particular the nuclei-antinuclei Coulomb attractions, strong electron-positron correlations and particle-antiparticle annihilations.

In Section 2, developments required for the inner region, the boundary and outer regions of the R-matrix method are outlined. In Section 3 an initial study of the required mixed coordinate systems for the general effective 3-body case and their transformations at the R-matrix boundary surfaces is reported. These systems, which combine coordinates from each arrangement, are needed in the R-matrix inner region with associated links to the separate coordinate systems for each collisional and reactive arrangement outer region on the boundary hypersurface. In Section 4, we report some preliminary bound-state calculations on the $\{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{p}, \overline{\mathrm{p}}\}$ system (protonium plus proton-relevant to the inner region).

## 2 R-matrix approach

We propose to overcome a major bottleneck in understanding matter-antimatter collisions by developing the capability to calculate in full dimension and fully quantum mechanically, the scattering cross sections for molecular matter-antimatter rearrangements. Theory and simulation of antimatter formation and trapping are crucial in designing and interpreting experiments [9] but the necessary accurate scattering cross sections are lacking. We will make use of recent R-matrix developments [8] in the EPSRC High-End Computing (HEC) Consortium UK-AMOR [10], combined with recent variational approaches to solving matter-antimatter collision problems [5-7, 11].

Our early objectives are to adapt existing codes for the inner region, the boundary and outer regions of the R-matrix method for the adiabatic treatment of molecular matter-antimatter problems We will validate this work with test calculations on $\mathrm{Pn}+\mathrm{p}$ which we can compare with mass-scaled literature $\mathrm{Ps}+\mathrm{e}^{-}$results, then apply the methods and codes to calculate rearrangement cross sections for a system of high priority for experiments: $\mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{+}+\overline{\mathrm{p}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pn}+\mathrm{H}$ [12]


FIGURE 1
Schematic separation of configuration space in the R-matrix method.
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{+}\right.$may be produced and captured alongside positrons in the production of $\overline{\mathrm{H}}$ ).

Our later objectives are to further adapt the inner region, boundary and outer region codes for non-adiabatic processes, such as Ps formation and apply the methods and codes to calculate rearrangement cross sections for other systems of high priority for experimentalists, in particular $\mathrm{H}_{2}+\overline{\mathrm{H}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pn}+\mathrm{Ps}+\mathrm{H}$, together with some investigations of $\mathrm{H}_{2}^{+}+\overline{\mathrm{H}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pn}+\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{e}^{+}$, as a relevant and related process of intermediate complexity.

Our general approach to tackle the heavy-projectile/moleculartarget systems of interest will be to adapt and combine existing R-matrix methodologies from three areas: normal chemical reactions, light-particle (electron/positron/positronium) collisions with atoms and molecules; and antiproton-atom collisions. We will adapt the new UK-AMOR RmatReact code package [8]. Initially, the adiabatic approach will be adopted but this will be adaptable to the later non-adiabatic treatments. This will be essential as collisions involving excited states of $\overline{\mathrm{H}}$ are of experimental relevance and rearrangement channels involving separation of an electron, a positron or Ps are open even at zero collision energy for most of the systems considered. Nonadiabatic effects and processes will be incorporated by applying approaches used in light-particle-atom/molecule collisions [4]. We will solve the non-relativistic scattering problem. Ultra-short-range effects, and nuclear annihilation cross-sections, can be determined from the scattering wavefunctions using a delta function pseudo potential approach [13] which uses the zero range approximation of the strong force. This gives good results for $\mathrm{H}-\overline{\mathrm{H}}$, but a more sophisticated approach based on the Coulomb-corrected strong-force scattering length is available [14, 15]-see also the Supplementary Material. This may be required for systems such as $\mathrm{H}_{2}+\overline{\mathrm{H}}$ when the three nuclei approach the same point in space-the Coulomb attractions between the protons and the antiproton make this energetically feasible, unlike the case of normal nuclei.

In R-matrix theory the inner region contains the interacting systems at close range and a full $a b$ initio approach accounts for all interactions. As developed for electron atom/molecule scattering with excitation, the inner region boundary is a sphere centred on the nuclear centre of mass with radius $r=a$ such that the "target" atomic or molecular states are contained within the sphere and the colliding electron is the only particle which crosses the boundary-see Figure 1.


FIGURE 2
Separation of R-matrix configuration space for the $\mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{+}+\overline{\mathrm{p}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pn}+\mathrm{H}$ rearrangement $\left(A_{0}\right.$ and $a_{0}$ are the inner region boundary values for $\overline{\mathrm{p}}$ and $H$ radial coordinates respectively).

The outer region Schrödinger equation is a set of multichannel coupled differential equations and the R-matrix is the inverse logderivative matrix of the wavefunction at the boundary radius. At larger distances (the asymptotic region) the propagated solutions are matched to known forms consistent with non-vanishing potential interactions and the scattering parameters are calculated.

For low-energy atom-molecule collisions without rearrangement, the theory is applied in the centre of mass frame, using Jacobi coordinates for the three-body nuclear problem, or internal molecular coordinates and a collision vector between the atom and the molecular centre of mass in the $N$-body case. The boundary radius is determined by the requirement that the colliding bodies are distinguishable from the boundary outwards, that is, their component electronic and rovibrational states have negligible overlap. The outer region interaction is made up of inverse power potentials in the collision vector, $\boldsymbol{R}$, for $|\boldsymbol{R}|>A$. This defines the inner region for non-reactive collisions, with the intramolecular coordinates taken either over all space or to a radial value at which the included molecular wavefunctions effectively vanish. The inner region requires electronic and nuclear states for the all-body system (in practice expanded in bases of target state wavefunctions).

For reactive scattering the boundary definition is extended to a mixed coordinate system which includes $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ for each arrangement $\alpha$. The inner region part of the solution is a boundstate problem for all the interacting particles with modified finiterange boundary conditions to allow non-zero probability amplitudes associated with the $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$ in the initial and final arrangements.

The calculations in the outer regions for the different arrangements may be considered separately, linked together by the full R-matrix defined on the multidimensional boundary surface. This is chosen such that the pre- and post-reaction components are distinct entities outside the corresponding parts of the boundary surface, with no overlap between probability amplitudes for different arrangements $[4,8,16]$.

The divisions of configuration space for the $\mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{+}+\overline{\mathrm{p}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pn}+\mathrm{H}$ rearrangement are given in Figure 2.

Our non-adiabatic treatment will make use of and expand established theories. Burke [4] details an R-matrix formalism for dissociative attachment and vibrational excitation in electron molecule collisions: the treatment of Le Dourneuf et al [17], extended by Gillan et al [18] allows for Born-Oppenheimer electronic calculations on a grid of nuclear configurations to be combined non-adiabatically with motion of the nuclei. Alternatively, R-matrix calculations using the "energy balance adiabatic nuclei" method of Stibbe and Tennyson [19] include impact dissociation for electron collisions with $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ (see also Scarlett et al [20]). For antimatter interactions, Sakimoto [5-7] has investigated $\overline{\mathrm{p}}$ capture by $\mathrm{He}^{+}$and H with emission of an electron using the R-matrix formalism, with simultaneous grid-based treatment of the electron and the nuclei. We will adapt this approach and also use the Le Dourneuf et al treatment as seems necessary.

### 2.1 Adiabatic theory

The first task would be to adapt the bound-state code DVR3D [21] to tackle, adiabatically, closely interacting matter-antimatter atoms (with positively and negatively charged nuclei). The strongly attractive potentials will require large well-designed basis sets. The extension to rearrangement collisions will use theory for mixed coordinate systems [16, 22, 23]. DVR3D can use Lobatto shape functions and quadrature for the inner region basis functions of the $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}$, as utilized by Manolopoulos et al. [16], which (as do B-splines) have particularly useful properties to treat both bound-state and continuum basis requirements and which have been applied as a discrete variable representation (DVR) to the atom-atom code DUO in RmatReact ([8] and references). Burke [4] includes a rearrangement formalism for positronium formation in positron
atom collisions, and an early calculation [24] includes explicit formulation of the "Bloch operators", added to the inner region Hamiltonian in R-matrix and log-derivative Kohn [16] calculations to ensure Hermiticity with non-vanishing continuum functions at the boundary, for the mixed coordinate systems.

We will generate the multiple-arrangement boundary surface as indicated in ([4, 8, 16]), given in terms of the chosen magnitudes $R_{\alpha}=A_{\alpha}$ of the collision vectors $R_{\alpha}$ for each arrangement. We have studied the mixed coordinate systems and their transformations at the boundary surfaces for our requirements, guided by related work with Jacobi and hyperspherical coordinates [22, 25, 26]-see Section 3 below. For the different arrangements to be treated as uncoupled outside the inner region, while linked by the boundary R-matrix [4], the values of $A_{\alpha}$ are constrained by the kinematics. For example, for $\mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{+}+\overline{\mathrm{p}}$, Pn can be produced in states with principal quantum number up to 68 [12], with associated expected value radius 7.6 Bohr, or an extent of ~10 Bohr.

The outer region RmatReact codes PFARM ([8, 27, 28] form asymptotic solutions for charged and neutral collision products and when needed, can propagate R -matrices to suitable matching distances ( $A_{p}$ and $a_{p}$ in Figure 2). Minor adaptations are needed for propagation with two or more arrangement matrix blocks [4]. PFARM can already propagate two uncoupled sets of states linked only by off-diagonal block terms.

Following initial test calculations on $\mathrm{Pn}+\mathrm{p}$ scattering, elastic, inelastic, rearrangement and (in-flight) annihilation cross-sections for $\mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{+}+\overline{\mathrm{p}}$ collisions would be determined. The reaction of $\mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{+}+$ $\overline{\mathrm{p}}$ to give $\mathrm{Pn}+\mathrm{H}$ is the most amenable to an adiabatic treatment [29] and has been observed experimentally [12] but so far observations are not explained by theory [30, 31]. Modifications for taking account of the strong interaction at short range will be made as needed and their effects examined. Interactions of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ with $\overline{\mathrm{H}}$ are of the greatest interest for predicting the lifetime of stored antimatter, but this system is arguably the most challenging of those considered here. Cross sections for $\mathrm{H}_{2}+\overline{\mathrm{H}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pn}+\mathrm{PsH}$ would be computed, adiabatically as a first step.

### 2.2 Non-adiabatic theory

For our treatment of non-adiabatic processes and additional rearrangements such as $\{\mathrm{Pn}+\mathrm{Ps}\}+\mathrm{H}$, we aim to incorporate Sakimoto's DVR grid methods into our mixed Jacobi-type bases for all particles. Our experience with adiabatic applications will act as a guide to the feasibility of this approach. The sizes of basis set required will determine how many further dimensions for the light particles can be included explicitly.

Following Sakimoto's approach [5-7], we will further adapt DVR3D to include electronic/positronic basis functions and matrix elements. The inner region boundary and outer region treatment for two-body break up channels would be defined as for the adiabatic cases but three-body break up, including $\mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{+}+\overline{\mathrm{H}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pn}+\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{e}^{+}$, will be considered by analogy with existing double-ionisation theory [32]. It seems likely that a full-dimensional inner-region treatment of the six-particle $\mathrm{H}_{2} / \overline{\mathrm{H}}$ system may be impractical. Thus we will also implement the theory of Le Dourneuf et al and Gillan et al. For


FIGURE 3
Jacobi coordinates.

3-body break-up, light and heavy particles will have to be treated equivalently in the boundary surface and R-matrix.

## 3 Coordinate systems and transformations

While [8] considers various approaches, bases and coordinates for the RmatReact inner region in useful detail for many-particle (atommolecule) interacting systems, it does not give explicit details of how the boundary requirements for rearrangement affect the physical shape of the inner region shown in Figure 1. The [8] discussions build on [4] chapters 7 (positron atom collisions with positronium formation) and 11 (non-adiabatic electron molecule collisions with dissociation) which set out details of internal region basis expansions in both arrangements, and transformations at the boundaries chosen so that the one relevant expansion has non-zero surface amplitudes at each boundary. However it is assumed that the inner region integrals over the mixed coordinate hypervolume can be carried out without undue difficulty. Sakimoto's atomic rearrangement calculations [5-7] are able to use the product channel Jacobi coordinates to describe both arrangements in the inner region and boundary definitions by taking advantage of the lightness of the electron. Reference [16] gives a general description of the mixedcoordinate approach. We may briefly illustrate the kind of necessary coordinate changes and make-up of the R-matrix inner region hypervolume and boundary transformations between internal and external regions using the example of three spinless (for ease of notation) interacting particles $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$, and C , with an initial arrangement of A colliding with molecular (ionic) structure BC and an additional final arrangement $\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{CA}$. The standard Jacobi coordinate structure is shown in Figure 3, which also establishes notation.

In the centre of mass frame, as described by Miller [22] the six coordinates $\boldsymbol{R}_{a}, \boldsymbol{r}_{a}$ describe the system in arrangement $a(\mathrm{~A}+\mathrm{BC})$, while $\boldsymbol{R}_{b}, \boldsymbol{r}_{b}$ describe the system in arrangement $b(\mathrm{~B}+\mathrm{CA})$. In arrangement $a$, it is convenient [22] to use the revised coordinates $\left\{R_{a}, r_{a}, \gamma_{a}\right\}$ and $\left\{\theta_{a}, \phi_{a}\right.$, $\left.\psi_{a}\right\}$ where $\theta_{a}$ and $\phi_{a}$ are the spherical polar angle coordinates of $\boldsymbol{R}_{a}$ and $\psi_{a}(0-2 \pi)$ is the orientation of the ABC triangle about $\boldsymbol{R}_{a}$. The inner region basis functions of $\left\{r_{a}, \gamma_{a}\right\}$ and $\left\{\theta_{a}, \phi_{a}, \psi_{a}\right\}$, in R-matrix terms the target states combined with the colliding particle angular coordinate


FIGURE 4
Stabilization diagram for the $\{p, p, \bar{p}\}$ system. The (near) horizontal regions at approximately $-130,-113,-62$ and $-54 E_{\mathrm{h}}$ represent resonance states-in every case they are higher in energy than expected from mass scaling of accurate calculations on Ps ${ }^{-}\left(-139.6,-116.9,-64.9\right.$ and $\left.-54.8 E_{h}\right)$. The horizontal dotted lines represent the protonium $n=2\left(-114.7 E_{h}\right)$ and $n=3\left(-51.0 E_{h}\right)$ states (non-relativistic values for consistency).
functions, with label $i$ and angular quantum numbers $\left\{J, M_{J}, l_{i}\right\}$ can be summarized as products of rotation matrix angular momentum functions $D_{m M_{J}}^{J^{*}}\left(\psi_{a}, \theta_{a}, \phi_{a}\right)$ [33] with spherical harmonic functions $Y_{j_{i} m}\left(\gamma_{a}, 0\right)$, multiplied by a function $U_{a i}\left(r_{a}\right)$ and summed over $m$ as in equation (13) of [22]: $J$ and $j_{i}$ combine to give $l_{i}$. These basis states are used with basis functions of $R_{a}$ to form a wavefunction expansion of the scattering system in arrangement $a$. Equation (14) of [22] is an example of this with a wavefunction including expansions in more than one arrangement.
[22] and its successor papers perform integrals over all space, whereas in the R-matrix method all three particles interact in a finite volume which must interface with two (to begin with) exit arrangements, $a$ and $b$. This finite volume is bounded by a hypersurface defined by $R_{a}=A_{a}$ and $R_{b}=A_{b}$, thus both of these conditions must be included in the coordinates defining the inner region. That this approach is feasible can be illustrated by our ABC example. The coordinates $\left\{r_{a}, \gamma_{a}\right\}$ may be replaced by $\left\{R_{b}, \gamma_{a b}\right\}$, with $\gamma_{a b}(0-\pi)$ as shown in Figure 3. The relation between the volume elements is given in [22] (equation between (25) and (26)), or in [23] equation ( 2.18 d ).

$$
\begin{aligned}
d \tau & =r_{a}^{2} R_{a}^{2} \sin \gamma_{a} d r_{a} d R_{a} d \gamma_{a} \\
& =\left(\frac{\mu_{a} \mu_{b}}{m_{a} m_{b}}\right)^{3 / 2} R_{a}^{2} R_{b}^{2} \sin \gamma_{a b} d R_{a} d R_{b} d \gamma_{a b}
\end{aligned}
$$

We note the reduced mass factors can be removed by switching to mass-scaled Jacobi coordinates: details are given in our Supplementary Material along with the full set of transformations from the $a$ coordinates to the $b$ coordinates ([22] Appendix A). With (for example) particle $A$ having mass $M_{A}$ and total mass $M$, the $B-C$ reduced mass $m_{a}=M_{B} M_{C} /\left(M_{B}+M_{C}\right)$ and the A plus BC collisional reduced mass $\mu_{a}=M_{A} M_{B} M_{C} /\left(m_{a} M\right)$ with cyclic permutation for the $b$ and $c$ arrangements.

There is no problem in performing the transformed integrals over all space, however we are interested in the transformation at the R-matrix boundaries from the mixed coordinate system to the $a$ and $b$ systems. We note that for the orientation coordinates the transformation of the rotation matrices of $\left\{\theta_{a}, \phi_{a}, \psi_{a}\right\}$ to corresponding rotation matrices of coordinates $\left\{\theta_{b}, \phi_{b}, \psi_{b}\right\}$, dependent on angle $\gamma_{a b}$, given by equation (24) in [22], is standard angular momentum theory [33].

At the $R_{a}=A_{a}$ boundary, the $\left\{R_{a}, R_{b}, \gamma_{a b}\right\}$ mixed coordinates need to be related to arrangement $a$ coordinates:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{A_{a}} d R_{a} R_{a}^{2} \int_{0}^{A_{b}} d R_{b} R_{b}^{2} \int_{0}^{\theta_{a b}} d \gamma_{a b} \sin \gamma_{a b} \\
& \quad=\left(\frac{\mu_{a} \mu_{b}}{m_{a} m_{b}}\right)^{-3 / 2} \int_{0}^{A_{a}} d R_{a} R_{a}^{2} \int_{0}^{a_{a}} d r_{a} r_{a}^{2} \int_{\theta_{a, \min }}^{\theta_{a, \max }} d \gamma_{a} \sin \gamma_{a}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we may take $\theta_{a b}=\pi$ and $\left(R_{a}\right.$ dependent $) a_{a}$ can be no larger than

$$
a_{a}=\mu_{a}\left(\frac{R_{a}}{M_{c}}+\frac{A_{b}}{m_{b}}\right)
$$

([22] Appendix A). Our Supplementary Material gives expressions for $\theta_{a, \text { max }}$ and $\theta_{a, \text { min }}$. As preliminary work, programs have been written to evaluate test integrals over a mixed Jacobi coordinate inner region volume using single arrangement coordinates: the single arrangement limits for a given hypervolume can be found for given numerical quadrature grid sizes on the fly.

The relevance of this work for the larger project with full description of the colliding atoms and molecules is that values of $A_{a}$ and $A_{b}$ must be chosen not only so that the reactants are separated at the boundary for a particular arrangement, but also so that the limits placed on $r_{a}$ and $r_{b}$ by the mixed coordinate system do not affect the surface transformations to the external regions. In practice this means that for initial calculations the wavefunctions decribing the target (or product) states should be
effectively zero outside of the range indicated in the integral transformation to be fully consistent with the inner region, as the external region R-matrix formulation assumes all coordinates apart from $R_{a}$ (or $R_{b}$ ) have been fully integrated over at the boundary. Thus the choice of $A_{a}$ and $A_{b}$ needs to take into account more factors than in standard R-matrix calculations. Example figures demonstrating geometrical constraints on coordinate limits are included in the Supplementary Material. The transformation of surface amplitudes from mixed coordinates to single arrangement coordinates is in principle sraightforward. The preliminary test work and programs on the 3 -particle Jacobi coordinates included transformations of test function amplitudes at fixed boundary values.

The work summarized in the Supplementary Material also studied alternatives to the mixed coordinate systems, such as Delves coordinates and tangent sphere coordinates, and principal axis hyperspherical coordinates (see, for example [25, 26, 34]), which are formulated for composite systems. These can be employed in the antimatter work if the mixed coordinate inner region and boundary transformations prove to be problematic. However, in real problems, when combined with additional bases and states describing the component particles of the composite colliding systems, the mixed Jacobi-type coordinate system seems so far to be the most straightforward and natural extension to the existing R-matrix packages.

## 4 Bound-state calculations

The RmatReact project [8] plans to modify existing nuclear motion programs $[21,35]$ to solve the inner region problem. Here we have used the unmodified Triatom code [36] (a finite basis representation code related to DVR3D) to conduct some preliminary bound-state calculations on $\{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{p}, \overline{\mathrm{p}}\}$ (or $\mathrm{Pn}+\mathrm{p}$ ) - a system of heavy particles common to the inner region problems for a number of interesting matter/antimatter interactions (such as $\mathrm{H}_{2} / \overline{\mathrm{H}}$, $\mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{+} / \overline{\mathrm{p}}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{+} / \overline{\mathrm{H}}$ ). The (bound-state) energies (and wavefunctions) can be compared with mass-scaled literature $\left\{\mathrm{e}^{-}, \mathrm{e}^{-}, \mathrm{e}^{+}\right\}$results [37] (that is $\mathrm{Ps}^{-}$or $\mathrm{Ps}+\mathrm{e}^{-}$). We consider the non-relativistic problem - that is only taking into account the Coulomb force proton-proton repulsion and proton-antiproton attractions. Details of the calculations are given in the Supplementary Material.
$\mathrm{Pn}+\mathrm{p}$ has only one bound state (by analogy with $\mathrm{Ps}^{-}$) so to make a more demanding test of the dynamics of this mixed matter-antimatter system that a code designed for normal molecules can reproduce, we have investigated the lowest-lying $s$-wave resonance states using a simple stabilization method [38, 39]. The radial basis functions consist of products of a Gaussian and associated Laguerre polynomials, all defined in terms of the quantity $y=\beta r^{2}$ (where $r$ is a $\mathrm{p}-\overline{\mathrm{p}}$ distance). Thus varying $\beta$ changes the length scale of the basis set. The energy of a resonance is expected to remain nearly constant over a range of scaling parameter values. Here we use $\beta^{-1 / 2}$ which has dimension of length.

### 4.1 Results

We report calculations here where $\beta^{-1 / 2}$ is varied from 0.000859 to $0.008125 \mathrm{a}_{0}$ - see Figure 4 and Supplementary Figure S4 in the Supplementary Material. The latter also includes a tabulation of the plotted data.

The energy of the bound state of $\mathrm{Pn}+\mathrm{p}$ is minimised with respect to $\beta$ at $-483.1 E_{\mathrm{h}}$, that is below the expected $-481.0 E_{\mathrm{h}}$. ( $E_{\mathrm{h}}$ represents Hartree, the atomic unit of energy.) This discrepancy is due to inaccuracy in the numerical quadratures required for the Coulomb potential energy integrals. In the case of the integrals involving the proton-antiproton distances, Triatom uses GaussLaguerre quadrature and numerical problems were encountered when large numbers of quadrature points were used (that is greater than the 103 used for the reported results).

In spite of this issue, we pursued the exploration of $\mathrm{Pn}+\mathrm{p}$ resonances to see if the chosen basis set could reproduce, at least qualitatively, the main features. Indeed the stabilization diagram, Figure 4, does show (near) horizontal regions, representing resonance states, at approximately $-130,-113,-62$ and $-54 E_{\mathrm{h}}$. In every case they are higher in energy than expected from mass scaling of accurate calculations on $\mathrm{Ps}^{-}(-139.6,-116.9,-64.9$ and $-54.8 E_{\mathrm{h}}$ ). Note also that the second lowest resonance should be below the protonium $n=2$ energy (represented by the horizontal dotted line at $-114.7 E_{h}$ ). The mean energies of the horizontal regions vary with $\beta$ and the values obtained for the largest $\beta$, giving a horizonal and stable region, are $-132.5,-113.4,-61.5$ and $-53.5 E_{\mathrm{h}}$. See also Supplementary Table S1 where the present calculated energies of the $\{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{p}, \overline{\mathrm{p}}\}$ bound state and lowest-lying s-wave resonances are compared with the literature results (mass-scaled from $\mathrm{Ps}^{-} / \mathrm{Ps}+\mathrm{e}^{-}$); the $\beta^{-1 / 2}$ values corresponding to each energy are also given. We attribute the discrepancies in the energies, in the case of lower resonance states especially, to the inability of the chosen basis set to simultaneously represent both long- and short-range effects (in addition to the quadrature error already noted). This must be taken into account in forthcoming calculations.

## 5 Conclusion

We have set out a road-map for treatment of matter-antimatter scattering processes including rearrangement using the R-matrix method. We have described the complexities involved and set out an approach to solve these problems. This will be extendable to larger systems including for example molecular antimatter. We note that spectroscopic investigation of the antihydrogen molecular anion $\overline{\mathrm{H}}_{2}{ }^{-}$ (the antimatter equivalent of $\mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{+}$) may allow much more sensitive tests of CPT [40, 41]. We have also reported an initial study of the required mixed coordinate systems and some preliminary bound-state calculations on $\{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{p}, \overline{\mathrm{p}}\}$.
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