

Sex-specific lifetime risk of cardiovascular events: the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer-Norfolk prospective population cohort study

Tiberiu A. Pana (1)^{1,2}*, Mamas A. Mamas (1)³, Nicholas J. Wareham (1)⁴, Kay-Tee Khaw (1)⁵, Dana K. Dawson (1)², and Phyo K. Myint (1)^{1,2}

¹Aberdeen Cardiovascular and Diabetes Centre, Institute of Medical Sciences, School of Medicine, Medical Sciences and Nutrition, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen, UK; ²Ageing Clinical and Experimental Research Team, Institute of Applied Health Sciences, School of Medicine, Medical Sciences and Nutrition, University of Aberdeen, Room 1:031, Polwarth Building, Foresterhill, Aberdeen AB25 2ZD, UK; ³Keele Cardiovascular Research Group, Keele University, Stoke-on-Trent, UK; ⁴MRC Epidemiology Unit, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK; and ⁵Gonville and Caius College, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

Received 7 June 2023; revised 21 August 2023; accepted 26 August 2023; online publish-ahead-of-print 30 November 2023

See the editorial comment for this article 'Gender disparities in cardiovascular lifetime risk: do not forget myocardial infarction in men', by E. Prescott, https://doi.org/10.1093/eurjpc/zwad336.

Aims	Better understanding of sex differences in cardiovascular disease (CVD) is essential in tailoring appropriate preventative strategies. Using a large population-based study with follow-up >25 years, we aimed to determine sex-specific lifetime risks of incident CVD and cardiovascular (CV) mortality amongst populations with and without prevalent CVD.
Methods and results	Participants were drawn from the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer-Norfolk and followed up for a median of 26.2 years. Sex-specific lifetime risks were ascertained accounting for the competing risk of death. Models were adjusted for ethnicity and time-updated covariates: material deprivation, CV risk factors, lifestyle factors, comorbidities, and medication. A total of 23 859 participants [54.5% women; mean age (standard deviation) 59.2 (9.3) years at baseline] were included. Adjusted lifetime risks of incident CVD were higher in men than in women (69.1 vs. 57.7% at age 75): cause-specific hazard ratio (cHR) (99% confidence interval)—1.49 (1.41–1.57), while the risks of CV mortality at age 75 were 4.4% (men) and 3.1% (women): cHR—1.42 (1.31–1.54). Myocardial infarction was the predominant first presentation in men until the eighth decade. In women, the first CVD manifestations after their sixth decade were predominantly atrial fibrillation and stroke. The male-associated excess relative risks of incident CVD and CV mortality were halved in people with prevalent CVD.
Conclusion	We characterized the sex-specific lifetime CV risks in a large cohort. Men had substantially higher risk of incident CVD and CV mortality than women, which was attenuated amongst people with prevalent CVD. Our findings provide an evidence base for sex-specific CV prevention.
Lay summary	In this population-based study, we aimed to understand the sex-specific lifetime trajectories of different heart and circulatory disorders and their relationship with death from heart disease. We included \sim 24 000 participants in the analyses, who were followed up for >25 years. Men had a higher lifetime risk of heart and circulatory disorders compared with women. Heart attacks were the predominant first presentation in men until the eighth decade, while in women this was manifested as heart rhythm disorders and stroke after their sixth decade. The excess risk of death from heart disease observed in men with pre-existing heart disease was attenuated compared with those free of heart disease at baseline. In conclusion, men and women require tailored heart disease prevention efforts given the marked sex disparities in heart disease and death over the very long-term highlighted by our study.

© The Author(s) 2023. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the European Society of Cardiology.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel: +44 (0) 1224 437841, Email: tiberiu.pana@abdn.ac.uk

Graphical Abstract



Keywords

Cardiovascular disease • Cardiovascular mortality • Sex differences • Myocardial infarction • Stroke • Heart failure • Atrial fibrillation • Peripheral artery disease

Introduction

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) remains an important driver of death, disability, and healthcare expenditure worldwide,¹ rendering a granular understanding of CVD epidemiology essential in tailoring effective preventative efforts at an individual patient level. Sex differences are an important such consideration. While it is known that men have higher rates of incident CVD than women,^{2–13} the estimates for different important incident cardiovascular (CV) disorders in people with and without prevalent CVD were not derived from the same populations. This renders their direct comparison difficult due to inter-population heterogeneity. This is particularly important, as these different scenarios are likely to have different implications for primary and secondary prevention.

A comprehensive analysis of sex differences of a wide range of relevant CV outcomes both in people with and without prevalent CVD of the same population is therefore warranted. Such an investigation requires an extensive and reliable follow-up information in order to inform robust sex-specific lifetime risk estimates. The European Prospective Investigation into Cancer (EPIC)-Norfolk study¹⁴ is a large population-based cohort with very long follow-up data in excess of 25 years and benefits from robust ascertainment of incident CV events.¹⁵

We therefore aimed to utilize these unique advantages of the EPIC-Norfolk cohort in order to comprehensively characterize the sex-specific lifetime trajectories of different CV phenotypes [incident CVD further subdivided into myocardial infarction (MI), stroke, peripheral artery disease (PAD), atrial fibrillation (AF), heart failure (HF), and

valve disease (VD)] and their relationship with CV mortality. We aimed to determine these both before and after CVD incidence in the same population, given the different implications for primary and secondary prevention, respectively.

Methods

Ethical considerations

This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (1975) and later amendments. Ethical approval was obtained from the Norwich District Health Authority Ethics Committee (Rec Ref: 98NC01). All participants gave informed signed consent for the examination of medical records and use of the data.

Data source

Participants were selected from the EPIC-Norfolk prospective cohort study. Study recruitment methods have been previously described.¹⁴ Briefly, men and women aged 40–79 years (at study baseline) from 35 General Practices in Norfolk, UK, were invited to participate. Three health checks (HC1, HC2, and HC3) occurred in 1993–98 (study baseline), 1998–2000, and 2004–12. At each HC, data on age, demographic characteristics, behavioural parameters, blood pressure measurements, and medication were collected. Plasma levels of important biomarkers, including LDL cholesterol (LDLc) and glycated haemoglobin A1c (HbA_{1c}), were determined from blood samples collected at each health check. Self-reported co-morbidities were ascertained during the first and second HCs. A follow-up questionnaire obtained between 2000



and 2006 ascertained self-reported co-morbidities before the third health check. $^{\rm 16}$

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Figure 1 illustrates the participant population flowchart. Of the 25 636 eligible participants attending the HC1, 229 participants were excluded due to missing or implausible follow-up information. Further 1536 participants were excluded due to missing data on key variables collected at HC1: ethnicity, the Townsend index, systolic blood pressure, smoking status, alcohol intake, physical activity levels, body mass index (BMI), and fruit/vegetable intake. After the further exclusion of 12 participants aged <40 years at HC1, a total of 23 859 participants were included in the present study.

Analyses of participants free of cardiovascular disease at baseline

In order to ascertain sex differences in the lifetime risk of incident CVD, 2459 participants who self-reported prevalent CVD at the study baseline— HC1—were excluded for these analyses, leading to the inclusion of 21 400 participants.

Analyses of participants with prevalent cardiovascular disease

In order to ascertain sex differences in CV mortality and recurrent CVD amongst participants with prevalent CVD, this cohort was established by

including (i) 2337 participants aged 50 years or older self-reporting prevalent CVD at the study baseline—defined as self-reported angina, MI, cerebrovascular, peripheral vascular disease, or a prescription of digoxin at HC1 and (ii) 9063 participants aged 50 years or older who developed CVD over the duration of the EPIC-Norfolk study follow-up (median 26.2 years) and did not succumb to their first incident CVD event, leading to the inclusion of a total of 11 400 participants.

Definition of outcomes, exposure, and confounders

Outcomes and exposure

The exposure of interest was participants' sex. The outcomes of interest were incident CVD other than essential uncomplicated hypertension [International Classification of Disease (ICD), ninth edition (ICD-9), 402–448; ICD-10, I11–I79], further subdivided into MI (410 and I21–I22), stroke (430–438 and I60–I69), AF (427.3 and I48), HF (428 and I50), peripheral arterial disease (440–448 and I70–I79), and VD (I34–I37) as well as CV mortality. Cardiovascular mortality was ascertained using death certificate data and ICD-10 codes (I10–I79) obtained through record linkage with the National Health Service hospital information system and East Norfolk Commission Record to allow notification of any hospital admission. Incident CVD was defined as any hospital admission/primary care diagnosis with the relevant ICD-9/10 codes.

Confounders

All multivariable models were adjusted for baseline ethnicity, the Townsend deprivation index, ^{17,18} and the following other potential confounders ascertained at HC1, HC2, and HC3: systolic blood pressure, hypercholesterolaemia, diabetes mellitus, smoking status, alcohol consumption, BMI, fruit and vegetable consumption (HC1 and HC2 only), self-reported non-CV comorbidities (cancer, asthma, bronchitis), and medication (aspirin, antihypertensive agents, digoxin, lipid-lowering agents, and antidiabetic agents). Hypercholesterolaemia was defined as self-reported high cholesterol levels at baseline, LDLc > 3.3 mmol/L, or therapy with lipid-lowering medication at the respective HC. Similarly, diabetes mellitus was defined as self-reported diabetes at baseline, HbA_{1c} > 6.5%, or therapy with antidiabetic agents at the respective HC. Food and vegetable consumption levels were derived from the food frequency questionnaires collected at HC1 and HC2.¹⁹

Statistical analyses

Data were analysed using Stata 15.1 SE for Windows (StataCorp 2017, Stata Statistical Software: Release 15, College Station, TX, USA). A 1% threshold of statistical significance was used (P < 0.01) in order to reduce the probability to Type I error given the multiple statistical comparisons undertaken.

Handling of missing data

Participants with missing data on any key variables collected at HC1 were excluded. The only variables with missing data at HC1 were plasma levels of LDLc [2013 (9.41%)] and HbA_{1c} [12 027 (56.20%)], leading to the utilization of a compound definition also including the self-reported and medication data in order to ascertain hypercholesterolaemia and diabetes mellitus. Some of the included key variables of interest measured at HC2 and HC3 had missing data (see Supplementary material online, *Tables S1* and S2). For the purposes of their inclusion as time-updated covariates in survival analyses, these were replaced by values carried forward from the most recent health check at which they were last ascertained successfully.

Descriptive statistics

Patient characteristics were compared between men and women at each health check for the cohorts included in both the analyses of participants with and without prevalent CVD, using the χ^2 test, Student's t-test, or Mann–Whitney U test, as appropriate.

Survival analyses

The participants included in the analysis of people without CVD at baseline were followed up from HC1 until death (n = 9376), attrition from the study

(n = 806), or the end of March 2022 $(n = 11\ 218)$. The median [interquartile range (IQR)] follow-up time was 26.2 (25.1–27.3) years, while the maximum follow-up was 29 years. In order to ascertain lifetime risks, delayed entry survival models were constructed, with participants entering the 'at risk' group at the age at which they were recruited in the EPIC-Norfolk study at HC1. Additional analyses of all incident CVD and CV mortality stratifying by (i) smoking status and (ii) whether participants developed cancer during the study follow-up were undertaken for this group in order to estimate the smoking- and cancer-related lifetime CVD risks.

The participants included in the analyses of people with prevalent CVD either had prevalent CVD at baseline or developed incident CVD over the EPIC-Norfolk study follow-up. They were followed up from the date of their incident CVD until death (n = 7081), attrition from the study (n = 154), or the end of March 2022 (n = 4165), yielding a median (IQR) follow-up time of 17.8 (8.9–25.1) years, while the maximum follow-up was 29 years. In order to ascertain lifetime risks, delayed entry survival models were constructed, with participants entering the 'at risk' group at the age at which they developed CVD. Given that the EPIC-Norfolk data linkage only ascertained the first incident event for each given combination of ICD-9/10 codes, participants with specific prevalent conditions were excluded from analyses of incidence of the same condition.

The satisfaction of the proportional hazards assumption was verified by plotting log-negative plots of the sex-stratified survival function by time for each analysis. Cause-specific hazard ratios were ascertained using Weibull regression models. In order to determine absolute risk estimates, sexspecific cumulative incidence functions (CIFs) for each outcome of interest were determined using the Stata stpm2cif command, an extension of the Royston–Parmar flexible parametric survival model accounting for competing risks.²⁰ For analyses of incident CVD, the competing risk of death was considered, while analyses of CV mortality considered the competing risk of non-CV mortality. This approach ensures that participants leaving the analyses having reached a competing risk are not considered at risk for the outcome of interest, therefore avoiding over-estimation of its cumulative incidence.²¹ All models were adjusted for the confounders detailed above. Covariates were time-updated for the duration of the EPIC-Norfolk study, integrating changes in each parameter ascertained at HC2 and HC3, where these data were available. The sex-specific CIFs were determined at the mean values of all included covariates for the respective cohort.

In order to delineate potential treatment effects, additional survival regressions modelling the risk of CV mortality amongst participants with prevalent CVD were constructed, also including an interaction term between sex and prescription of CV preventative medications (lipid-lowering agents, antihypertensive, and antidiabetic drugs). Sex differences in the likelihood of ever being prescribed CVD preventative medications were determined using Poisson with a robust variance estimator,^{22,23} both as univariable models as well as multivariable models adjusting for age, ethnicity, the Townsend index, comorbidities, and lifestyle factors.

Results

Descriptive statistics

Table 1 and Supplementary material online, Tables S3 and S4 detail the sex-stratified descriptive statistics across the three health checks for those without prevalent CVD at baseline and with prevalent CVD. Amongst participants free of CVD at baseline, men were older, had higher systolic blood pressure levels, had higher HbA_{1c}, had higher alcohol intake, had lower fruit/vegetable intake, and more likely to have ever smoked. They conversely had a lower BMI and were more likely to be physically active compared with women. Compared with women, men were more likely to be taking aspirin and antidiabetic agents. Men were only more likely to be taking lipid-lowering agents at the third health check, but not at preceding health checks. Men had higher raw rates of CV mortality and incident CVD.

Amongst participants with prevalent CVD, there were no sex differences in age, systolic blood pressure, or HbA_{1c}. Men had higher alcohol intake, had lower fruit and vegetable intake, and were more likely to have ever smoked. They conversely had lower LDLc levels, lower BMI, higher

N First health check (1993-98) 9352 12.046 Second health check (1993-2000) 5549 7447 Their health check (2004-12) 3169 4072 Age median (028) 62.00 (55.30, 65.90) 01.002 Second health check 62.70 (55.30, 65.90) 01.002 Second health check 62.70 (55.30, 65.90) 0.002 Second health check 62.70 (55.30, 65.90) 67.00 (61.01.73.80) -0.001 Ethnicity, N (3b) 0.073 White 9310 (69.553) 12.016 (99.733) Back 11 (0.123) 11 (0.073) 0.005 Bock median (CR) -2.63 (-3.68, -1.21) -2.61 (-3.68, -1.29) 0.085 Bocd preasure (media, median (CR) 140.0373 White 9300 (79.33) 14.97 (19.28) -0.001 Datable check 59.001 38.36 (17.93) 13.49.7 (19.28) -0.001 Datable check 59.72 (17.98) 14.40.6 (18.94) -0.001 Datable check 39.7 (16.1) 87.54 (1.16.9) 0.01 Datable check 39.7 (16.9) 39.7 (16.9) <th></th> <th>Men</th> <th>Women</th> <th>P-value</th>		Men	Women	P-value
N 12.048 Second health check (1993-98) 9352 12.048 Second health check (2004-12) 3169 4072 Age. medsan (0Qh) 5549 7447 First health check (2004-12) 3169 4072 Age. medsan (0Qh) 0.0021 5580 (53.00, 5590) 61.02 (54.10, 68.70) -0.0001 Third health check 62.00 (53.00, 5590) 67.00 (62.10, 73.80) -0.001 Third health check 62.00 (53.00, 5590) 12.01 (64.10, 68.70) -0.001 Elnheity, N(%) 0.0223 11 (10.0783) 15.00 (41.00, 67.10) 0.085 Block 11.0 (2035) 16 (0.133) Townsend index, median (10(R) -2.45 (-3.68, -1.21) -2.41 (-3.65, -1.07) 0.085 Blocd pressure (mmHg), man (SD) Townsend index, median (10(R) 2.45 (-3.68, -1.21) -2.41 (-3.65, -1.07) 0.001 Disotolic 138.36 (17.93) 134.40 (17.94) 0.001 5.001 0.001 Disotolic 139.29 (17.96) 134.40 (17.94) 0.001 5.001 0.001 5.001 0.001 5.001 0.001				•••••
First Realth Check (1994-396) 95.2 12.048 Second health Check (1996-2000) 5459 7.477 Third health Check (1997-2000) 3169 4072 Age, median (1987)	N			
Second health check (1994–2000) 5439 7447 Tindr health check (2004–12) 3169 4072 Age, medan (IQR)	First health check (1993–98)	9352	12 048	
Third health check (204-12) 3169 4072 First health check 58.00 (50.70; 66.30) 57.80 (50.20; 65.30) 0.000 Second health check 66.270 (55.30; 69.90) 67.20 (54.10; 68.70) -0.001 Ethnictly, N (%) 0.073 0.001 White 910 (99.55%) 12016 (99.73%) Böck 11 (0.078) 10.004%) Other 910 (99.55%) 12016 (99.73%) Böck 11 (0.13%) 5.0004%) Other 910 (99.55%) 12016 (99.73%) Böck 11 (0.078) Control Soch Asian 12 (0.13%) 5.0004%) Control Control Control Other 19 (0.20%) 16 (0.13%) Torrestend index, median (QR) -2.65 (-3.68, -1.21) -2.61 (-3.65, -1.09) Control Diatolic 84.87 (11.64) 81.48 (11.74) <0.001	Second health check (1998–2000)	5459	7447	
Age, medan (IQR)	Third health check (2004–12)	3169	4072	
First health check 58.40 (50.70; 66.30) 97.80 (50.30; 65.70) 0.0001 Second health check 68.90 (63.00; 75.00) 67.00 (62.10; 73.80) 0.0001 Third health check 68.90 (63.00; 75.00) 67.00 (62.10; 73.80) 0.0001 Ehnicity, N (%) 10 (07.53) 12016 (99.733) 0.0031 Black 11 (0.123) 11 (0.09%) 0.0085 South Asian 12 (0.133) 5 (0.04%) 0.0085 Disod pressure (mirklg, mean (SD) 7 7.026 (-3.66; -1.21) -2.61 (-3.65; -1.09) 0.0085 Disod pressure (mirklg, mean (SD) 134.36 (17.93) 134.97 (19.28) -0.0011 Diatasolic 84.87 (11.64) 81.48 (11.74) -0.001 Diatasolic 84.87 (11.64) 80.07 (11.5) -0.001 Diatasolic 135.94 (15.95) 134.64 (18.94) -0.001 Diatasolic 135.94 (15.95) 135.44 (17.94) 0.215 Diatasolic 137.97 (17.98) 134.06 (18.94) -0.001 Distasolic 137.97 (17.98) 134.06 (18.94) -0.001 Distasolic (mirkl),	Age, median (IQR)			
Second health check 62.70 (55.30; 69.90) 61.20 (54.10; 68.70) -0.001 Ethnicty N (K) 0073 White 9310 (99.55%) 12016 (99.73%) Black 11 (0.12%) 11 (0.07%) South Asian 12 (0.13%) 5 (0.04%) Cher 19 (0.20%) 16 (0.13%) Townsend index, median (IQR) -2.65 (-3.68, -1.21) -2.61 (-3.65, -1.09) 0.085 Blood pressure (mmHg), mean (SD) First health check 114.97 (19.28) -0.001 Systolic 138.36 (17.93) 134.97 (19.28) -0.001 Socand health check 115.94 (17.64) 80.75 (11.45) -0.001 Systolic 137.92 (17.98) 134.06 (18.94) -0.001 Datatolic 84.87 (11.64) 80.75 (11.45) -0.001 Systolic 135.94 (15.95) 135.44 (17.84) 0.215 Datatolic 79.50 (0.11) 76.73 (76.2) -0.001 Didesterrol (mmol/), mean (SD) First health check 3.99 (10.89) -0.001 Second health check 2.678 (3.29) 2.647 (4.33) -0.001 <td>First health check</td> <td>58.40 (50.70; 66.30)</td> <td>57.80 (50.30; 65.90)</td> <td>0.002</td>	First health check	58.40 (50.70; 66.30)	57.80 (50.30; 65.90)	0.002
Third health check 64700 (6210, 73.00) <0.007 White 9310 (99.55%) 12016 (99.73%) 0.073 White 9310 (99.55%) 12016 (99.73%) 0.073 Black 11 (0.12%) 11 (0.03%) 5 (0.04%) Other 19 (0.20%) 16 (0.13%) 0.0085 Blocd pressure (mmHg), mean (GD) Townsend index, median (IQR) -2.65 (-3.68, -1.21) -2.61 (-3.65, -1.09) 0.085 Blocd pressure (mmHg), mean (GD) Tist health check 5 5 0.001 Diastolic 84.87 (11.64) 81.48 (11.74) <0.001	Second health check	62.70 (55.30; 69.90)	61.20 (54.10; 68.70)	<0.001
Ehnicity, N (5) 0.073 White 9310 (99,55%) 12016 (99,73%) Back 11 (0.12%) 11 (0.09%) South, Akan 12 (0.13%) 5 (0.04%) Other 19 (0.20%) 16 (0.13%) Townsend index, median (IQR) -265 (-3.68, -1.21) -2.61 (-3.65, -1.09) 0.085 Bood pressure (nmHg), mean (SD) 13497 (19.28) <0.001	Third health check	68.90 (63.00; 75.00)	67.00 (62.10; 73.80)	<0.001
White 9310 (99:55%) 12016 (99:73%) Back 11 (0.12%) 11 (0.09%) South Asian 12 (0.13%) 5 (0.04%) Other 19 (0.20%) 16 (0.13%) Townsend index, median (IQR) -2.65 (-3.68, -1.21) -2.61 (-3.65; -1.09) 0.085 Blood pressure (mmHg), mean (SD) 134.97 (19.28) <0.001	Ethnicity, N (%)			0.073
Black 11 (0.12%) 11 (0.9%) South Asan 12 (0.13%) 5 (0.04%) Other 19 (0.20%) 16 (0.13%) Other 19 (0.20%) 16 (0.13%) Other 19 (0.20%) 16 (0.13%) Townsend index, median (IQR) -2.65 (-3.68, -1.21) -2.61 (-3.65, -1.09) 0.008 Blood pressure (mmblg), mean (SD) Trat health check 0.001 0.001 Stotic 13.3.6 (17.93) 13.4.97 (19.28) <0.001	White	9310 (99.55%)	12016 (99.73%)	
South Asian 12 (0.13%) 5 (0.04%) Other 19 (0.02%) 16 (0.13%) Townsend index, median (IQR) -2.65 (-3.68, -1.21) -2.61 (-3.65, -1.09) 0.085 Blood pressure (mmHg), mean (SD) ************************************	Black	11 (0.12%)	11 (0.09%)	
Other 19 (0.20%) 16 (0.13%) Townsned index, median (UR) -2.65 (-3.68, -1.21) -2.66 (-0.65, -1.09) 0.085 Blood pressure (mmHg), mean (SD) First health check 38.36 (17.93) 134.497 (19.28) <0.001	South Asian	12 (0.13%)	5 (0.04%)	
Townsend index, median (UQR) -2.65 (-3.68, -1.21) -2.61 (-3.65; -1.09) 0.085 Blood pressure (mmHg), mean (SD) ************************************	Other	19 (0.20%)	16 (0.13%)	
Blood pressure (mmHg), mean (SD) First health check Systolic 138.36 (17.93) 134.97 (19.28) <0.001	Townsend index, median (IQR)	-2.65 (-3.68; -1.21)	-2.61 (-3.65; -1.09)	0.085
First health check 38.36 (17.9) 134.97 (19.28) <0.001	Blood pressure (mmHg), mean (SD)			
Sytolic 138.36 (17.93) 134.97 (19.28) <0.001	First health check			
Dasolic 84.87 (11.64) 81.48 (11.74) <0.001 Second health check </td <td>Systolic</td> <td>138.36 (17.93)</td> <td>134.97 (19.28)</td> <td><0.001</td>	Systolic	138.36 (17.93)	134.97 (19.28)	<0.001
Second health check 137.92 (77.98) 134.06 (18.94) <0.001 Diastolic 137.92 (77.98) 130.60 (18.94) <0.001	Diastolic	84.87 (11.64)	81.48 (11.74)	<0.001
Systolic 137.92 (17.98) 134.06 (18.94) <0.001	Second health check			
Diastolic 84.37 (11.61) 80.75 (11.45) <0011 Third health check 35544 (15.95) 135.44 (17.84) 0.215 Diastolic 79.50 (10.11) 76.73 (9.62) <0001	Systolic	137.92 (17.98)	134.06 (18.94)	<0.001
Third health check 135,94 (15,95) 135,44 (17,84) 0.215 Systolic 135,94 (15,95) 135,44 (17,84) 0.2001 Diastolic 79,50 (10.11) 76,73 (9,62) <0.001	Diastolic	84.37 (11.61)	80.75 (11.45)	<0.001
Systolic 135.94 (15.95) 135.44 (17.84) 0.215 Dastolic 79.50 (10.11) 76.73 (9.62) <0.001	Third health check			
Diastolic 79.50 (10.11) 76.73 (9.62) <0001 LDL cholesterol (mm0/L), mean (SD)	Systolic	135.94 (15.95)	135.44 (17.84)	0.215
LDL cholesterol (mmol/L), mean (SD) 3.91 (0.96) 3.99 (1.08) <0.001	Diastolic	79.50 (10.11)	76.73 (9.62)	< 0.001
First health check 3.91 (0.96) 3.99 (1.08) <0001 Second health check 3.70 (0.97) 3.84 (1.09) <0001	LDL cholesterol (mmol/L), mean (SD)			
Second health check 3.70 (0.97) 3.84 (1.09) <0.001 Third health check 2.96 (0.96) 3.39 (0.97) <0.001	First health check	3.91 (0.96)	3.99 (1.08)	<0.001
Third health check 2.96 (0.96) 3.39 (0.97) <0.001 HbAt _{1c} (%), mean (SD) <	Second health check	3.70 (0.97)	3.84 (1.09)	<0.001
HbA _{1c} (%), mean (SD) First health check 5.32 (0.85) 5.25 (0.77) <0.001	Third health check	2.96 (0.96)	3.39 (0.97)	<0.001
First health check 5.32 (0.85) 5.25 (0.77) <0.001 Second health check 5.50 (0.68) 5.46 (0.61) <0.001	HbA _{1c} (%), mean (SD)	· · ·		
Second health check $5.50\ (0.68)$ $5.46\ (0.61)$ <0001 Third health check $5.83\ (0.66)$ $5.79\ (0.56)$ 0.035 Body mass index (kg/m ²), mean (SD)First health check $26.40\ (3.24)$ $26.14\ (4.29)$ <0.001 Second health check $26.78\ (3.29)$ $26.47\ (4.33)$ <0.001 Second health check $26.78\ (3.29)$ $26.47\ (4.33)$ <0.001 Third health check $26.78\ (3.29)$ $26.47\ (4.33)$ <0.001 Smoking status, N (%) <0.001 <0.001 First health check $26.78\ (3.29)$ $26.47\ (4.33)$ <0.001 Current1137\ (12.16\%)1360\ (11.29\%) <0.001 Former $4923\ (52.64\%)$ $3821\ (31.71\%)$ <0.001 Current1137\ (12.16\%) $1360\ (11.29\%)$ <0.001 Second health check 0.001 <0.001 <0.001 Current1330\ (52.64\%) $3821\ (31.71\%)$ <0.001 Second health check 0.001 <0.001 <0.001 Current534\ (8.61\%) $732\ (8.56\%)$ <0.001 Former $3360\ (54.17\%)$ $2787\ (32.59\%)$ <0.001 Never $2309\ (37.22\%)$ $5034\ (58.8\%)$ <0.001 Current $156\ (4.22\%)$ $236\ (4.71\%)$ <0.001 Former $2125\ (57.48\%)$ $1805\ (3.605\%)$ <0.001 Never $1416\ (38.30\%)$ $2966\ (59.24\%)$ <0.001 Comorbidities, N\ (\%) <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 </td <td>First health check</td> <td>5.32 (0.85)</td> <td>5.25 (0.77)</td> <td><0.001</td>	First health check	5.32 (0.85)	5.25 (0.77)	<0.001
Third health check 5.83 (0.66) 5.79 (0.56) 0.035 Body mass index (kg/m ²), mean (SD) - - - First health check 26.40 (3.24) 26.14 (4.29) <0.001	Second health check	5.50 (0.68)	5.46 (0.61)	<0.001
Body mass index (kg/m ²), mean (SD) 26.40 (3.24) 26.14 (4.29) <0.001	Third health check	5.83 (0.66)	5.79 (0.56)	0.035
First health check 26.40 (3.24) 26.14 (4.29) <0.001	Body mass index (kg/m ²), mean (SD)			
Second health check 26.78 (3.29) 26.47 (4.33) <0.001 Third health check 26.78 (3.29) 26.47 (4.33) <0.001	First health check	26.40 (3.24)	26.14 (4.29)	< 0.001
Third health check 26.78 (3.29) 26.47 (4.33) <0.001 Smoking status, N (%) First health check Current 1137 (12.16%) 1360 (11.29%) Former 4923 (52.64%) 3821 (31.71%) Never 3292 (35.20%) 6867 (57.00%) Second health check Current 534 (8.61%) 732 (8.56%) Former 3360 (54.17%) 2787 (32.59%) Never 2309 (37.22%) 5034 (58.86%) Third health check Current 156 (4.22%) 236 (4.71%) Former 2125 (57.48%) 1805 (36.05%) Never 1416 (38.30%) 2966 (59.24%)	Second health check	26.78 (3.29)	26.47 (4.33)	< 0.001
Smoking status, N (%)	Third health check	26.78 (3.29)	26.47 (4.33)	< 0.001
First health check <0.001	Smoking status N (%)			
Current 1137 (12.16%) 1360 (11.29%) Former 4923 (52.64%) 3821 (31.71%) Never 3292 (35.20%) 6867 (57.00%) Second health check <0.001	First health check			<0.001
Former 4923 (52.64%) 3821 (31.71%) Never 3292 (35.20%) 6867 (57.00%) Second health check <0.001	Current	1137 (12 16%)	1360 (11 29%)	
Never 3292 (35.20%) 6867 (57.00%) Second health check <0.001	Former	4923 (52.64%)	3821 (31 71%)	
Neter 3272 (35200) 0007 (37.000) Second health check <0.001	Never	3292 (35.20%)	6867 (57.00%)	
Second heath check 534 (8.61%) 732 (8.56%) Former 3360 (54.17%) 2787 (32.59%) Never 2309 (37.22%) 5034 (58.86%) Third health check <0.001	Second health check	5272 (55.20%)	0007 (37.0070)	<0.001
Former 3360 (54.17%) 2787 (32.59%) Never 2309 (37.22%) 5034 (58.86%) Third health check <0.001	Current	534 (8 61%)	732 (8 56%)	<0.001
Never 2309 (37.22%) 5034 (58.86%) Third health check <0.001	Former	3360 (54 17%)	752 (0.50%)	
Third health check <	Novor	2309 (37.22%)	5034 (58.86%)	
Current 156 (4.22%) 236 (4.71%) Former 2125 (57.48%) 1805 (36.05%) Never 1416 (38.30%) 2966 (59.24%)	Third health check	2307 (37.22%)	5054 (50.00%)	<0.001
Former 2125 (57.48%) 1805 (36.05%) Never 1416 (38.30%) 2966 (59.24%)		156 (4 22%)	236 (4 71%)	\U.UU
Never 1416 (38.30%) 2966 (59.24%) Comorbidities, N (%) 2000 (50.00%) 2000 (50.00%)	Former	130 (T.22/0) 2125 (57/40%)	1805 (74 05%)	
Comorbidities, N (%)	Nover	1416 (20 20%)	7966 (50 74%)	
	Comorbidition $N(\%)$	טודו (٥٠.٥٥/	2700 (37.27/0)	
Hypercholesterolaemia	Hypercholecterolaemia			

Table 1 Descriptive statistics of the 21 400 participants aged 40 years or older from the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer-Norfolk study free of cardiovascular disease at the first health check of the study, stratified by sex

Continued

Table 1 Con	itinued
-------------	---------

	Men	Women	P-value
First health check	6268 (67.02%)	7986 (66.28%)	0.256
Second health check	4222 (77.34%)	5792 (77.78%)	0.557
Third health check	2455 (77.47%)	3269 (80.28%)	0.004
Diabetes			
First health check	380 (4.06%)	310 (2.57%)	<0.001
Second health check	529 (9.69%)	668 (8.97%)	0.163
Third health check	563 (17.77%)	711 (17.46%)	0.735
Cancer			
First health check	352 (3.76%)	807 (6.70%)	<0.001
Second health check	277 (5.49%)	636 (9.91%)	<0.001
Third health check	278 (8.77%)	487 (11.96%)	<0.001
Medications, N (%)			
Aspirin			
First health check	408 (4.36%)	370 (3.07%)	<0.001
Second health check	559 (10.24%)	481 (6.46%)	<0.001
Third health check	717 (22.63%)	493 (12.11%)	<0.001
Antihypertensive agents			
First health check	1178 (12.60%)	1928 (16.00%)	<0.001
Second health check	1036 (18.98%)	1558 (20.92%)	0.006
Third health check	1194 (37.68%)	1405 (34.50%)	0.005
Digoxin			
First health check	0 (0%)	0 (-%)	_
Second health check	35 (0.64%)	12 (0.16%)	<0.001
Third health check	39 (1.23%)	24 (0.59%)	0.004
Lipid-lowering agents			
First health check	50 (0.53%)	131 (1.09%)	<0.001
Second health check	176 (3.22%)	252 (3.38%)	0.616
Third health check	789 (24.90%)	742 (18.22%)	<0.001
Diabetes medication			
First health check	178 (1.90%)	142 (1.18%)	<0.001
Second health check	121 (2.22%)	102 (1.37%)	<0.001
Third health check	165 (5.21%)	109 (2.68%)	<0.001
Outcomes, N (%)			
All-cause mortality	4549 (48.64%)	4827 (40.06%)	<0.001
Cardiovascular mortality	2077 (22.21%)	2099 (17.42%)	<0.001
Incident cardiovascular disease	5115 (54.69%)	5229 (43.40%)	<0.001
Myocardial infarction	948 (10.14%)	662 (5.49%)	<0.001
Stroke	1453 (15.54%)	1897 (15.75%)	0.677
Atrial fibrillation	2016 (21.56%)	1952 (16.20%)	<0.001
Heart failure	1366 (14.61%)	1393 (11.56%)	<0.001
Peripheral arterial disease	878 (9.39%)	698 (5.79%)	<0.001
Valve disease	597 (6.38%)	599 (4.97%)	<0.001

Further descriptives statistics are detailed in Supplementary material online, Table S3. IQR, inter-quartile range; SD, standard deviation; HbA_{1c}, glycated haemoglobin A1.

physical activity levels, and lower rates of non-CV comorbidities compared with women.

Analyses of participants free of cardiovascular disease at baseline

Figure 2 and Supplementary material online, Figure S1 detail the unadjusted and fully adjusted sex-specific lifetime risks of incident CVD and CV mortality amongst participants free of CVD at baseline. After full confounder adjustment, the cumulative incidence of all CVD was 4.7% for men and 3.2% for women at age 60 years, 31.9% for men and 22.9% for women at age 75 years, and 68.9% for men and 57.4% for women at age 90 years. This translated into a 49% increase in relative risk of all incident CVD for men vs. women: cause-specific hazard ratio [99% confidence interval (Cl)] for overall incident CVD [1.49 (1.42–1.58)], incident MI [1.96 (1.71–2.23)], incident AF [1.48



Figure 2 Sex-specific lifetime risk of all incident cardiovascular disease and cardiovascular mortality amongst 21 400 men and women participants of the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer-Norfolk study followed up for a median 26.2 years. The cause-specific hazard ratios were obtained from Weibull regression models. The adjusted estimates were obtained also adjusting for ethnicity, ethnicity, the Townsend deprivation index, systolic blood pressure, hypercholesterolaemia, diabetes mellitus, smoking status, alcohol consumption, body mass index, fruit and vegetable consumption, self-reported non-cardiovascular comorbidities (cancer, asthma, bronchitis), and medication (aspirin, antihypertensive agents, digoxin, lipid-lowering agents, and antidiabetic agents). The sex-specific cumulative incidence functions were determined using modified univariable and multivariable Royston–Parmar regression models, also taking into account the the competing risk of death (for incident cardiovascular disease) or non-cardiovascular mortality (for cardiovascular mortality). The cumulative incidence estimates from the multivariable models were obtained by setting the values of all covariates at their mean values within the cohort. CVD, cardiovascular disease; uHR, unadjusted cause specific hazard ratio (99% confidence interval); aHR, adjusted cause specific hazard ratio (99% confidence interval), men vs. women.

(1.36–1.61)], incident HF [1.41 (1.28–1.56)], incident PAD [1.71 (1.50– 1.96)], and incident VD [1.37 (1.18–1.59)]. There were no statistically significant sex differences in incident stroke [1.09 (0.99–1.19)]. The fully adjusted cumulative incidence of CV mortality was 0.11% for men and 0.08% for women at age 60, 4.3% for men and 3.0% for women at age 75, and 29.7% for men and 23.5% for women at age 90, resulting in a 43% relative risk increase [1.43 (1.31–1.55)]. Figure 3 details the results of the analyses of the sex-specific risk of the type of first incident CVD. Amongst men, MI had the highest incidence as a first CV event throughout lifetime. The earliest incidence rise in MI occurred by 50 years and was followed by AF, stroke, PAD, and HF over the following decades. In women, the incidence of MI as a first CV event was highest between 50 and 60 years but was overtaken by AF by 65 years, stroke by 70 years, and HF by 85 years. Compared with women, men had therefore higher risk of first incident MI [2.22 (1.91-2.58)], PAD [1.88 (1.59-2.22)], AF [1.50 (1.35–1.67)], HF [1.39 (1.20–1.61)], VD [1.29 (1.00–1.66)], and stroke [1.15 (1.03-1.28)].

Supplementary material online, *Figure S2* details the results of the analyses stratifying by smoking status. The cause-specific hazard ratios for sex were similar amongst smokers and non-smokers for both incident CVD [1.63 (1.39–1.92) vs. 1.56 (1.48–1.65), respectively] and CV mortality [1.60 (1.25–1.92) vs. 1.56 (1.48–1.65), respectively]. In terms of absolute risk, active smokers experienced CVD and CV mortality earlier, with differences in absolute risks as high as 17.1% for incident

CVD in men, 13.4% for incident CVD in women, 11.6% for CV mortality in men, and 9.7% for CV mortality in women.

Supplementary material online, *Figure* S3 details the results of the analyses stratifying by whether participants developed cancer during the study follow-up. The cause-specific hazard ratios for sex were similar amongst people with and without cancer for both incident CVD [1.43 (1.26–1.63) and 1.51 (1.42–1.60), respectively] and CV mortality [(1.48 (1.25–1.74) and 1.40 (1.28–1.55)].

Analyses of participants with prevalent cardiovascular disease

Figure 4 details the sex-specific lifetime risk of CV mortality and incident MI, stroke, HF, and PAD amongst patients with prevalent CVD. Compared with women, men with CVD had a higher lifetime risk of CV mortality [cause-specific hazard ratio—1.22 (1.12–1.33)], incident MI [1.63 (1.37–1.94)], incident PAD [1.56 (1.32–1.83)], and incident HF [1.27 (1.13–1.42)], but not incident stroke [0.95 (0.84– 1.07)]. Figure 5 details the sex-specific lifetime risk of CV mortality amongst participants with different prevalent CV disorders. Compared with women, men had a significantly higher risk of CV mortality after MI [1.22 (1.02–1.45)] and stroke [1.23 (1.07–1.42)], but not after developing AF [1.12 (0.99–1.28)], HF [1.08 (0.94– 1.25)], or PAD [1.17 (0.98–1.41)]. Supplementary material online,

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/eurjpc/article/31/2/230/7442038 by University of Aberdeen user on 29 February 2024



Figure 3 Sex-specific lifetime risk of different first incident cardiovascular diseases amongst 21 400 men and women participants of the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer-Norfolk study followed up for a median 26.2 years. The cause-specific hazard ratios were determined using a multivariable Weibull regression model also adjusting for ethnicity, ethnicity, the Townsend deprivation index, systolic blood pressure, hypercholesterolaemia, diabetes mellitus, smoking status, alcohol consumption, BMI, fruit and vegetable consumption, self-reported non-cardiovascular comorbidities (cancer, asthma, bronchitis), and medication (aspirin, antihypertensive agents, digoxin, lipid-lowering agents, and antidiabetic agents). The sex-specific cumulative incidence functions were determined using a modified multivariable Royston–Parmar regression model also taking into account the competing risk of death, setting the values of all covariates at their mean values within the cohort. MI, myocardial infarction; CVA, cerebrovascular accident; AF, atrial fibrillation; HF, heart failure; PAD, peripheral arterial disease; VD, valve disease; CV, cardiovascular; HR, cause specific hazard ratio (99% confidence interval), men vs. women.

Figure S4 details differential treatment effects on sex-specific CV mortality amongst participants with prevalent CVD. After adjustment for potential confounders, men had higher likelihood of receiving lipid-lowering drugs [risk ratio (99% CI) -1.32 (1.16–1.50)], inhibitors of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system [1.45 (1.27–1.66)], and antidiabetic agents [2.00 (1.58–2.53)] as well as antihypertensive agents overall [1.06 (1.00–1.13)] compared with women throughout the duration of the study. There were, however, no statistically significant interactions between treatment with preventative agents and the male-associated excess risk of CV mortality.

Discussion

In this large British population-based cohort, we have comprehensively characterized the sex differences in the lifetime risks of CVD incidence and mortality over a follow-up in excess of 25 years. Our analyses including >20 000 participants free of CVD at baseline have delineated that men had a higher lifetime absolute risk of up to ~11% for any incident CVD compared with women (68.9% in men vs. 57.4% in women by the age of 90 years), after an extensive adjustment for relevant confounders. These differences were largely driven by incident MI and



Figure 4 Sex-specific lifetime risk of different incident cardiovascular diseases and cardiovascular mortality amongst 11 400 men and women participants of the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer-Norfolk study with prevalent cardiovascular disease followed up for a median 17.5 years. Participants with a prevalent cardiovascular disorder were excluded from the analyses of incidence of the same disorder. The cause-specific hazard ratios were determined using multivariable Weibull regressions model also adjusting for ethnicity, ethnicity, the Townsend deprivation index, systolic blood pressure, hypercholesterolaemia, diabetes mellitus, smoking status, alcohol consumption, body mass index, fruit and vegetable consumption, self-reported non-cardiovascular comorbidities (cancer, asthma, bronchitis), and medication (aspirin, antihypertensive agents, digoxin, lipid-lowering agents, and antidiabetic agents). The sex-specific cumulative incidence functions were determined using modified multivariable Royston–Parmar regression models also taking into account the competing risk of death (for incident cardiovascular disorders) or non-cardiovascular mortality (for cardiovascular mortality), setting the values of all covariates at their mean values within the cohort. MI, myocardial infarction; CVA, cerebrovascular accident; AF, atrial fibrillation; HF, heart failure; PAD, peripheral arterial disease; CV, cardiovascular; cHR, cause specific hazard ratio (99% confidence interval), men vs. women.

PAD, followed by AF and HF. There were no sex differences in incident stroke. We also described the sex-specific presentation patterns of first incident CV event over an extensive follow-up period: MI was the most common first CVD event in men until the eighth decade, while in women, this was overtaken by AF and stroke after the sixth decade. Men also had up to ~6% higher lifetime absolute risk of CV mortality compared with women (29.7% in men vs. 23.5% in women by the age of 90 years). Interestingly, these differences were similar in univariable models, suggesting that they were not driven by sex differences in potential confounders, such as lifestyle factors, cardio-metabolic profile, or comorbidity burden.

Similar sex differences in incident CVD were also observed amongst the \sim 11 000 participants with prevalent CVD. The sex differences in both incident CVD CV mortality amongst participants with prevalent CVD were less pronounced, with the male-associated excess relative risk halved in people with prevalent CVD. These differences were only observed amongst participants with prevalent MI and stroke, but not HF, AF, or PAD. This interesting pattern may be determined by the higher male-associated case fatality of incident CVD, leading to the selection of less severe phenotypes amongst men with prevalent CVD. Women were consistently undertreated with secondary CV preventative therapies throughout the duration of the study. These differences did not result in any significant treatment effects on the male-associated excess risk of CV mortality amongst participants with prevalent CVD.

This study presents the most comprehensive analysis of sex differences in lifetime risks of a wide range of relevant CV outcomes both in people with and without prevalent CVD of the same population,



Figure 5 Sex-specific lifetime risk of cardiovascular mortality amongst men and women participants of the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer-Norfolk study with different prevalent cardiovascular diseases. The cause-specific hazard ratios were determined using multivariable Weibull regressions model also adjusting for ethnicity, ethnicity, the Townsend deprivation index, systolic blood pressure, hypercholesterolaemia, diabetes mellitus, smoking status, alcohol consumption, body mass index, fruit and vegetable consumption, self-reported non-cardiovascular comorbidities (cancer, asthma, bronchitis), and medication (aspirin, antihypertensive agents, digoxin, lipid-lowering agents, and antidiabetic agents). The sex-specific cumulative incidence functions were determined using modified multivariable Royston–Parmar regression models also taking into account the competing risk of non-cardiovascular mortality, setting the values of all covariates at their mean values within the cohort. MI, myocardial infarction; CVA, cerebrovascular accident; AF, atrial fibrillation; HF, heart failure; PAD, peripheral arterial disease; CV, cardiovascular; cHR, cause specific hazard ratio (99% confidence interval), men vs. women.

utilizing a large cohort with the longest follow-up period described so far. Our results reinforce our current understanding of this important topic by extending previous findings and adding important insights. A previous Dutch cohort study including ~8400 participants free from CVD at baseline who were followed up for a median of 13.5 years found less marked sex differences in incident CVD or CV mortality but described a similar sex-specific pattern of first incident CVD event, with men more likely to suffer coronary heart disease first while cerebrovascular disease was the predominant first presentation in women.⁵ A similar pattern was also described in other cohorts.^{3.8} Our results were able to not only confirm this but also show that stroke incidence in women is preceded very closely by incident AF, highlighting the importance of appropriate AF management in women for stroke prevention.

A previous study of the UK Biobank with a maximum follow-up of 9 years found that men had an overall higher incidence of stroke compared with women over a maximum follow-up of 9 years.²⁴ While

our study found a numerically higher cumulative incidence of stroke in men, this difference failed to reach statistical significance despite having observed ~3400 incident stroke events throughout the duration of the study. Our results therefore highlight that sex differences in stroke incidence may be attenuated over longer follow-up, given previous observations that stroke incidence in women significantly increases in later life.²⁵ It has been previously recognized that male sex is a significant risk factor for incident HF, particularly HF with a reduced ejection fraction.²⁶ Our study reinforces these findings not only amongst people free of CVD at baseline but also amongst those with prevalent CVD. Men have higher burden of AF risk factors and incident AF compared with women.^{10,27,28} Women with AF had higher rates of adverse outcomes including stroke and mortality.¹⁰ Our results confirm the male-associated lifetime excess risk of incident AF, even after extensive adjustment for relevant risk factors. Recent studies have shown that women may have a higher burden of PAD, especially in later life, also recognizing the potential relative under-diagnosis of this condition in

women.^{29,30} Adverse outcomes amongst PAD patients have been previously described as similar between men and women.³⁰ However, a recent Swedish study including ~52 000 participants with prevalent PAD followed up for a maximum of 8 years found a 10–20% male-associated excess of CV events and mortality.⁹ Our analyses suggest that the sexspecific lifetime incidence of PAD largely mirrored MI incidence, with a clear male-associated excess risk both in participants with and without prevalent CVD. We did not find any statistically significant CV mortality differences between men and women with PAD.

The results of our study allow the derivation of several important implications for clinical practice. Firstly, we highlight the need to focus primary preventative efforts in a sex-specific manner. Men require early and heavy focus on MI prevention given their two-fold relative increase in lifetime risk of MI with early onset as first-ever incident CVD shortly after the age of 50 years. On the other hand, in women, first incident CVD was more likely to manifest as AF and stroke, with a later onset from the age of 60 years onwards, suggesting the primary preventative efforts in women should focus on specific stroke risk factors, including AF, diabetes, hypertension, and obesity.^{24,31} Furthermore, routine screening for AF in this age group amongst women may be an effective intervention to reduce overall CVD burden, although further interventional studies are required to evaluate the cost and clinical effectiveness of this approach. Enhanced stroke preventative efforts are also warranted in women with female-specific risk factors such as gestational hypertension, pre-eclampsia, multiparity, and early menopause.³¹ In terms of the secondary preventative setting, our study highlights that the male-associated excess relative risk of adverse CV events is almost halved in people with prevalent CVD. This is an important observation, especially as it pertains to the same unselected population, minimizing issues with heterogeneity which may have affected previous similar estimates.³² This may be explained by the higher case fatality of incident CV events in men, driving the selection of less severe phenotypes amongst men with prevalent CVD. Interestingly, further analysis of specific prevalent CV conditions revealed no significant sex differences in CV mortality amongst patients with prevalent AF, HF, or PAD in the context of high absolute CV mortality rates for both men and women. This is particularly important given the relative under-treatment of women, which was observed not only in our cohort but also in several previous studies^{9,11–13} and reinforces the importance of minimizing sex disparities in secondary prevention in contemporary practice. Clinicians should evaluate their services to detect whether such disparities exist in their clinical services and aim to understand the driving factors which may be targeted effectively by specific local interventions.

This study has several strengths. Firstly, we included a large cohort of participants selected from the general population in Norfolk and were followed up for an extensive period between 1993-1998 and 2022 with low attrition rates, leading to a median follow-up time of 26 years, the longest described to date in the literature. This allowed us to delineate sex differences in CV risk both in individuals with and without pre-existing CVD from the same population. Furthermore, data linkage with hospital admissions within the National Health Service over the follow-up period allow accurate determination of all incident CV events, including AF, PAD, and HF. Record linkage with death certification data also allowed robust ascertainment of CV mortality. We were able to adjust for prospectively collected data on relevant confounders including CV medication, our models also accounting for time-updated covariates as informed by measurements at subsequent health checks of the study. We employed robust survival analyses methods which also account for competing risks, allowing accurate ascertainment of absolute risk values. Therefore, having undertaken a comprehensive analysis powered by an adequate sample size, a long follow-up period, and a robust statistical methodology, our results are an important contribution to current knowledge of sex differences in CVD.

Limitations

We also acknowledge some limitations. As a volunteer study with longterm follow-up, healthy volunteer bias needs to be considered. Indeed, our absolute rates of incident CVD at age 55 were substantially lower than in a similar study of a Dutch cohort,⁵ which may be driven by healthy volunteer bias. Furthermore, our included cohort were 99.6% White British, and therefore, our results may not be generalizable to more ethnically diverse populations. We were also unable to explore ethnic differences in sex disparities and treatment. We also lacked data on sexual orientation and were unable to provide CVD risk estimates across such groups. As an observational study, residual confounding cannot be excluded. Finally, we were unable to account for any changes in medications over the last 10-15 years of the study follow-up, as these data were not collected after the third health check (2004-12), and it is likely that prescription rates of antihypertensive, lower lipid, and antidiabetic medications may have increased substantially over this timeframe as participants entered their seventh decade and experienced increasing CVD rates.

Future directions

The results of our study have several important implications for further research efforts. The different patterns of presentation of first CV event should warrant formulation of sex-specific CVD preventative guidelines. Our results showing that the predominant presentations of CVD in women after their sixth decade were AF and stroke suggest that further investigations assessing whether routine AF screening in this group is warranted to reduce overall CVD burden in this group, especially in the context of recent results from the STROKESTOP study supporting clinical and cost effectiveness of this intervention.^{33,34} Further research efforts should focus on evaluating the main drivers of the sex disparities in outcomes delineated in this study, with a particular focus on factors such as differential treatment and prevention as well as the impact of race/ethnicity and socio-economic factors. Finally, reporting sex- and race-specific estimates in future CV epidemiological investigations is essential in order to explore these disparities in different settings.

Conclusions

This study provides a comprehensive description of sex differences in lifetime CV risk using a large, population-based cohort with >25 years of follow-up. Men had a higher lifetime risk of incident MI, PAD, AF, and HF, but not stroke. First presentation of CVD in men was driven predominantly by MI until their eighth decade, while in women this was driven by AF and stroke after their sixth decade. The male-associated excess risk of CV mortality observed in participants free of CVD at baseline was attenuated in those with prevalent CVD. Women experienced absolute lifetime CV mortality risks as high as men amongst participants with prevalent AF, HF, and PAD. These results provide evidence to develop and implement sex-specific primary and secondary CV preventative strategies in order to more effectively address ongoing global burden of CVD.

Supplementary material

Supplementary material is available at European Journal of Preventive Cardiology.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to all the participants who have been part of the project and to the many members of the study teams at the University of Cambridge who have enabled this research. We would like to acknowledge the principal investigators and staff of the EPIC-Norfolk study. We would also like to thank Dr Graham Horgan, PhD (Principal Consultant for Human Health and Nutrition, Biomathematics & Statistics Scotland), for his help and support with the statistical analyses.

Authors' contributions

T.A.P., D.K.D., and P.K.M. conceived the study. N.J.W. and K.-T.K. are Pls of EPIC-Norfolk cohort. TAP performed the literature search, data analysis, and writing the first draft of the paper. T.A.P. and .P.K.M. verified the underlying data. All authors contributed in writing the paper. P.K.M. is the guarantor.

Funding

The EPIC-Norfolk study (DOI 10.22025/2019.10.105.00004) has received funding from the Medical Research Council (MR/N003284/1 and MC-UU_12015/1) and Cancer Research UK (C864/A14136).

Conflict of interest: None declared.

Data availability

The data underlying this study are available from the EPIC-Norfolk Steering Committee upon reasonable request to the authors. The Stata code used to perform the analyses has been supplied as Supplementary material online (see Supplementary material online, *File* S1).

References

- Tsao CW, Aday AW, Almarzooq ZI, Alonso A, Beaton AZ, Bittencourt MS, et al. Heart disease and stroke statistics—2022 update: a report from the American Heart Association. *Circulation* 2022;**145**:e153–e639.
- Peters SAE, Muntner P, Woodward M. Sex differences in the prevalence of, and trends in, cardiovascular risk factors, treatment, and control in the United States, 2001 to 2016. *Circulation* 2019;139:1025–1035.
- Stenling A, Häggström C, Norberg M, Norström F. Lifetime risk predictions for cardiovascular diseases: competing risks analyses on a population-based cohort in Sweden. *Atherosclerosis* 2020;**312**:90–98.
- Lloyd-Jones DM, Larson MG, Beiser A, Levy D. Lifetime risk of developing coronary heart disease. *Lancet* 1999;353:89–92.
- Leening MJG, Ferket BS, Steyerberg EW, Kavousi M, Deckers JW, Nieboer D, et al. Sex differences in lifetime risk and first manifestation of cardiovascular disease: prospective population based cohort study. BMJ 2014;349:g5992.
- Petrea RE, Beiser AS, Seshadri S, Kelly-Hayes M, Kase CS, Wolf PA. Gender differences in stroke incidence and poststroke disability in the Framingham Heart Study. Stroke 2009;40:1032–1037.
- Lloyd-Jones DM, Larson MG, Leip EP, Beiser A, D'Agostino RB, Kannel WB, et al. Lifetime risk for developing congestive heart failure. *Circulation* 2002;106:3068–3072.
- George J, Rapsomaniki E, Pujades-Rodriguez M, Shah AD, Denaxas S, Herrett E, et al. How does cardiovascular disease first present in women and men? *Circulation* 2015; 132:1320–1328.
- Sigvant B, Hasvold P, Thuresson M, Jernberg T, Janzon M, Nordanstig J. Myocardial infarction and peripheral arterial disease: treatment patterns and long-term outcome in men and women results from a Swedish nationwide study. *Eur J Prev Cardiol* 2021;28: 1426–1434.
- Ko D, Rahman F, Martins MAP, Hylek EM, Ellinor PT, Schnabel RB, et al. Atrial fibrillation in women: treatment. Nat Rev Cardiol 2017;14:113–124.
- Zhao M, Vaartjes I, Graham I, Grobbee D, Spiering W, Klipstein-Grobusch K, et al. Sex differences in risk factor management of coronary heart disease across three regions. *Heart* 2017;**103**:1587–1594.

- Xia S, Du X, Guo L, Du J, Arnott C, Lam CSP, et al. Sex differences in primary and secondary prevention of cardiovascular disease in China. *Circulation* 2020;**141**: 530–539.
- Peters SAE, Colantonio LD, Zhao H, Bittner V, Dai Y, Farkouh ME, et al. Sex differences in high-intensity statin use following myocardial infarction in the United States. J Am Coll Cardiol 2018;71:1729–1737.
- Day N, Oakes S, Luben R, Khaw KT, Bingham S, Welch A, et al. EPIC-Norfolk: study design and characteristics of the cohort. European Prospective Investigation of Cancer. Br J Cancer 1999;80:95–103.
- Sinha S, Myint PK, Luben RN, Khaw K-T. Accuracy of death certification and hospital record linkage for identification of incident stroke. BMC Med Res Methodol 2008; 8:74.
- The EPIC-Norfolk Study. About EPIC-Norfolk: Health checks & follow-ups. https:// www.epic-norfolk.org.uk/about-epic-norfolk/health-checks/ (11 April 2023).
- Townsend P, Phillimore P, Alastair B. Health and Deprivation: Inequality and the North. London: Croom Helm; 2023.
- Shohaimi S, Boekholdt MS, Luben R, Wareham NJ, Khaw KT. Distribution of lipid parameters according to different socio-economic indicators- the EPIC-Norfolk prospective population study. BMC Public Health 2014;14:782.
- The EPIC-Norfolk Study. About EPIC-Norfolk. Nutritional Methods FFQ. https:// www.epic-norfolk.org.uk/about-epic-norfolk/nutritional-methods/ffq/ (11 April 2023).
- Hinchliffe SR, Lambert PC. Extending the flexible parametric survival model for competing risks. Stata J 2013;13:344–355.
- Zhang Z. Survival analysis in the presence of competing risks. Ann Transl Med 2017;5: 47–47.
- Holmberg MJ, Andersen LW. Estimating risk ratios and risk differences: alternatives to odds ratios. JAMA 2020;324:1098–1099.
- 23. Cummings P. Methods for estimating adjusted risk ratios. Stata J 2009;9:175–196.
- Peters SAE, Carcel C, Millett ERC, Woodward M. Sex differences in the association between major risk factors and the risk of stroke in the UK Biobank cohort study. *Neurology* 2020;95:e2715–e2726.
- Reeves MJ, Bushnell CD, Howard G, Gargano JW, Duncan PW, Lynch G, et al. Sex differences in stroke: epidemiology, clinical presentation, medical care, and outcomes. *Lancet Neurol* 2008;**7**:915–926.
- Ho JE, Enserro D, Brouwers FP, Kizer JR, Shah SJ, Psaty BM, et al. Predicting heart failure with preserved and reduced ejection fraction. *Circ Heart Fail* 2016;9:e003116. 10.1161/CIRCHEARTFAILURE.115.003116
- Schnabel RB, Yin X, Gona P, Larson MG, Beiser AS, McManus DD, et al. 50 Year trends in atrial fibrillation prevalence, incidence, risk factors, and mortality in the Framingham Heart Study: a cohort study. *Lancet* 2015;**386**:154–162.
- Kanazawa S, Kaneko H, Yano Y, Suzuki Y, Okada A, Matsuoka S, et al. Sex differences in the association between hypertension and incident atrial fibrillation. J Am Heart Assoc 2023;12:e026240.
- Matsushita K, Sang Y, Ning H, Ballew SH, Chow EK, Grams ME, et al. Lifetime risk of lower-extremity peripheral artery disease defined by ankle-brachial index in the United States. J Am Heart Assoc 2019;8:e012177.
- Pabon M, Cheng S, Altin SE, Sethi SS, Nelson MD, Moreau KL, et al. Sex differences in peripheral artery disease. Circ Res 2022;130:496–511.
- Rexrode KM, Madsen TE, Yu AYX, Carcel C, Lichtman JH, Miller EC. The impact of sex and gender on stroke. *Circ Res* 2022;**130**:512–528.
- Peters SAE, Colantonio LD, Chen L, Bittner V, Farkouh ME, Rosenson RS, et al. Sex differences in incident and recurrent coronary events and all-cause mortality. J Am Coll Cardiol 2020;76:1751–1760.
- Lyth J, Svennberg E, Bernfort L, Aronsson M, Frykman V, Al-Khalili F, et al. Cost-effectiveness of population screening for atrial fibrillation: the STROKESTOP study. Eur Heart J 2023;44:196–204.
- Svennberg E, Friberg L, Frykman V, Al-Khalili F, Engdahl J, Rosenqvist M. Clinical outcomes in systematic screening for atrial fibrillation (STROKESTOP): a multicentre, parallel group, unmasked, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2021;**398**: 1498–1506.